

Exposure Characteristics of Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome Patients, United States, 1993–2015

Annabelle de St. Maurice, Elizabeth Ervin, Mare Schumacher, Hayley Yaglom, Elizabeth VinHatton, Sandra Melman, Ken Komatsu, Jennifer House, Dallin Peterson, Danielle Buttke, Alison Ryan, Del Yazzie, Craig Manning, Paul Ettestad, Pierre Rollin, Barbara Knust

Medscape EDUCATION ACTIVITY

This activity has been planned and implemented through the joint providership of Medscape, LLC and *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. Medscape, LLC is accredited by the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), to provide continuing education for the healthcare team.

Medscape, LLC designates this Journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1.00 **AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)**[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

All other clinicians completing this activity will be issued a certificate of participation. To participate in this journal CME activity: (1) review the learning objectives and author disclosures; (2) study the education content; (3) take the post-test with a 75% minimum passing score and complete the evaluation at <http://www.medscape.org/journal/eid>; and (4) view/print certificate. For CME questions, see page 890.

Release date: April 12, 2017; Expiration date: April 12, 2018

Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this activity, participants will be able to:

- Assess the geographic distribution, seasonal pattern, and clinical presentation of hantavirus infection
- Distinguish the most common subtype of hantavirus associated with infection in the United States
- Evaluate the epidemiology of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome
- Identify the most common setting for exposure to hantavirus among cases of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in the current study.

CME Editor

P. Lynne Stockton Taylor, VMD, MS, ELS(D), Technical Writer/Editor, *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. *Disclosure: P. Lynne Stockton Taylor, VMD, MS, ELS(D), has disclosed no relevant financial relationships.*

CME Author

Charles P. Vega, MD, Clinical Professor of Family Medicine, University of California, Irvine. *Disclosure: Charles P. Vega, MD, has disclosed the following financial relationships: served as an advisor or consultant for McNeil Consumer Healthcare; served as a speaker or a member of a speakers bureau for Shire Pharmaceuticals.*

Authors

Disclosures: Annabelle de St. Maurice, MD, MPH; Elizabeth Ervin, MPH; Mare Schumacher, MS; Hayley Yaglom, MS, MPH; Elizabeth VinHatton, BS, BA; Sandra Melman, MS; Ken Komatsu, MPH; Jennifer House, DVM, MPH; Dallin Peterson, BS; Danielle Buttke, DVM, PhD, MPH; Alison Ryan; Del Yazzie, MPH; Craig Manning, BA; Paul Ettestad, DVM, MS; Pierre Rollin, MD; and Barbara Knust, DVM, MPH, have disclosed no relevant financial relationships.

Author affiliations: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA (A. de St. Maurice, E. Ervin, C. Manning, P. Rollin, B. Knust); Coconino County Public Health Services District, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA (M. Schumacher); Arizona Department of Health Services, Phoenix, Arizona, USA (H. Yaglom, K. Komatsu); New Mexico Department of Health, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA (E. VinHatton, S. Melman,

P. Ettestad); Colorado Department of Health, Denver, Colorado, USA (J. House); Utah Department of Health, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA (D. Peterson); National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA (D. Buttke); Navajo Department of Health, Window Rock, Arizona, USA (A. Ryan, D. Yazzie)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2305.161770>

Rodents can transmit hantaviruses to humans. In the Americas, human infection causes severe respiratory illness known as hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. Using national surveillance system data, we assessed demographics and rodent exposure settings for 662 case-patients during 1993–2015. American Indians accounted for 18% of case-patients, and case-fatality rates for this population (46%) were higher than those for whites (33%). Case-patients reported rodent exposures in the home (71%), at work (32%), or in a recreational setting (24%). Cars, trailers, or mobile homes accounted for 7% of rodent exposures; 17% of case-patients reported having cleaned rodent-infested areas. Of those whose exposure was work related, 53% had jobs with potential risk for rodent exposure. The proportion of recreational exposures was significantly higher among case-patients residing in the eastern (47%) than in the western (23%) United States. Regionally and culturally appropriate educational materials can be used to direct prevention messages to persons in these risk groups.

Hantaviruses are negative-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses in the family *Bunyaviridae* (1). Hantavirus infections in humans are associated with several disease syndromes, including hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS; also known as hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome) (2,3). Although hantavirus infections had long been recognized in Asia and Europe, a 1993 outbreak of severe pulmonary disease in the Four Corners area of the United States (i.e., Utah, New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado) led to the discovery of Sin Nombre virus, the leading cause of HPS in the United States (1,4). In 1995, HPS became a nationally notifiable disease; the Viral Special Pathogens Branch (Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases) at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) maintains an HPS surveillance system and registry of reported HPS cases in the United States (5,6).

In the United States, most HPS cases are caused by Sin Nombre virus, for which the North American deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) serves as reservoir (7). Other New World hantaviruses that cause human disease in the United States include New York and Monongahela viruses, transmitted by the North American deer mouse and white-footed deer mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*); Black Creek Canal virus, transmitted by the hispid cotton rat (*Sigmodon hispidus*); and Bayou virus, transmitted by the marsh rice rat (*Oryzomys palustris*). Infected rodents excrete virus in their saliva, urine, and feces; inhalation of virus in rodent-infested areas is thought to be the primary mode of transmission to humans, although direct inoculation through a rodent bite is possible (8–10). Previous case-control studies have identified risk factors for HPS, such as having high rodent densities in the home;

handling rodents; and performing cleaning activities, such as sweeping, in rodent-infested areas (9,10). Other factors that may precipitate exposure to hantaviruses include occupational and recreational activities, such as working outdoors or camping (9,11).

We sought to further describe demographics of HPS case-patients and possible occupational and environmental exposures associated with HPS. We examined surveillance data collected by the national HPS surveillance system.

Methods

Since 1993, as part of national surveillance activities, state and local health departments have provided CDC with standardized clinical and exposure information for all laboratory-confirmed HPS cases (12). To be included as an HPS case-patient, patients were required to have no other cause of illness and to have an acute febrile illness with unexplained acute respiratory distress syndrome or evidence of interstitial pulmonary infiltrates on chest radiograph or to have an unexplained respiratory illness that resulted in death and an autopsy finding compatible with noncardiogenic pulmonary edema (13). In addition, all case-patients had laboratory confirmation of infection by either hantavirus-specific serologic testing (IgM and IgG) or reverse transcription PCR.

State and local health departments recorded all case-patient data on standardized surveillance case report forms, which asked closed-ended questions about case-patient demographics and open-ended questions about exposure (location and activities) and occupation (online Technical Appendix Figure, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/5/16-1770-Techapp1.pdf>). For 158 cases from 1993–1999, investigators used a structured questionnaire to interview case-patients or family member proxies as part of routine surveillance; the methods used to collect these data and preliminary summary data have been published (9). The data gathered from the questionnaires were used to supplement the data included in the surveillance case report forms for the early case-patients. These data contained more detailed, systematically collected information about exposures, including specific questions about rodent exposure at home, in a recreational setting, and in the workplace.

On the basis of the free-text descriptions of reported rodent exposures and their locations, we classified rodent exposures as occurring at the case-patient's home, at work, or in a recreational setting. Case-patients could be classified by >1 potential exposure setting. We also noted whether reported rodent exposure occurred in cars, trailers, or mobile homes and whether reported exposure included cleaning a rodent-infested area, regardless of the setting in which the exposure probably occurred. Among case-patients with a reported occupation, we created 2

categories: occupations for which direct or indirect contact with rodents was likely (i.e., outdoor activities or cleaning) and occupations for which such contact was unlikely (i.e., primarily indoors and office based). We subclassified occupations with opportunities for rodent contact as forestry/outdoor recreation, agriculture/ranching, construction/landscaping, professional cleaning, animal handling (e.g., wildlife biologist or exterminator), or oil field work. We defined the eastern United States as states east of the Mississippi River. We compared differences in frequency distribution between groups by using the Pearson χ^2 for categorical variables and differences in means for all continuous variables by using the Student *t* test with unequal variance. We considered results statistically significant if the *p* value was <0.05.

Results

During 1993–2015, a total of 662 laboratory-confirmed HPS case-patients were reported to CDC and included in the analysis. Of 651 case-patients for whom outcome information was recorded, 230 (35%) died; case-fatality rates did not vary by geographic region (*p*>0.05).

Race information was recorded for 648 case-patients. Most (78%) were white, although American Indians accounted for 18% of case-patients (Table 1). Most (89%) American Indian case-patients resided in the Four Corners area. American Indian case-patients were significantly younger than white case-patients (mean 34 vs. 39 years of age, respectively; *t* = 2.71, *df* = 164, *p* = 0.01), and the case-fatality rate among American Indian case-patients was significantly higher than that among white case-patients (46% vs. 33%, respectively; χ^2 = 6.4, *df* = 1, *p* = 0.01). After stratification by age group, case-fatality rates were significantly higher among American Indian women 40–64 years of age than among white women of the same age group (Table 2).

Rodent exposure was reported for 319 persons. We classified rodent exposure settings as being in the home, in a recreational setting, or at work (Table 3). Home exposure was most frequent in the eastern and western United States; however, home exposure was significantly more common among case-patients residing in the western United States (Table 3). Rodent exposure in a recreational setting was more common among case-patients residing in the eastern United States. Rodent exposures in cars, trailers, or mobile homes were reported for 49 (7%) case-patients. A history of cleaning a probable rodent-infested area (e.g., crawl spaces or outbuildings) was reported for 114 (17%) case-patients. The proportion of home exposures was greater for American Indian than for white case-patients (Table 4).

Occupation status was reported for 450 (68%) case-patients, and a specific occupation was reported for 354. Those with occupations for which contact with rodents was

deemed unlikely (e.g., teaching or clerical work) accounted for 54% of case-patients with a reported occupation (Table 1). Further analysis of the frequency of occupational exposure among 187 persons (28% of total case-patients) with both reported occupation and exposure (Table 5) indicated that those who worked in an occupation for which frequent rodent contact was possible were more likely to be occupationally exposed than those who worked in an occupation without the potential for frequent rodent exposure.

Discussion

Using exposure data for >600 case-patients reported by the national HPS surveillance system, we were able to define occupations and exposures that may contribute to increased risk of acquiring HPS; in this regard, our findings are consistent with those of previous studies. Early surveillance data identified possible risk factors for acquiring hantavirus infection as cleaning or entering structures that had been previously closed or uninhabited for long periods (8). Our

Table 1. Demographics of laboratory-confirmed hantavirus pulmonary syndrome case-patients, United States, 1993–2015*

Case-patients, n = 662	No. (%)
Age, y	
<18	53 (8)
18–39	303 (46)
40–64	250 (38)
≥65	48 (7)
Race, n = 648	
White	488 (78)
American Indian	113 (18)
Black	8 (1)
Asian/Pacific Islander	10 (2)
Other	1 (<1)
Male, n = 655	414 (63)
US Region, n = 662	
Eastern	27 (4)
Western	635 (96)
Not Hispanic, n = 525	404 (77)
Employment status	
Not reported	212 (32)
Unemployed	29 (4)
Retired	28 (4)
Student	39 (6)
Employed with reported occupation	354 (54)
Reported occupations, n = 354	
No frequent rodent exposure	190 (54)
Potential frequent rodent exposure	164 (46)
Agriculture/ranching†	80 (49)
Construction/landscaping‡	43 (26)
Forestry/parks/outdoor recreation§	14 (9)
Cleaning¶	12 (7)
Oil field#	9 (5)
Animal work**	6 (4)

*Median patient age (interquartile range) 37 (26–50) years.

†Farmer, rancher, rodeo worker, feedlot rider, dairy manager, bovine hoof trimming specialist, hay transporter.

‡Masonry, roofer, horticulturalist, electrician, building inspector, appliance repair, field laborer, and surveyor.

§Conservation worker, rafting outfitter, fisheries technician, outdoor guide, outdoor researcher with no direct animal contact.

¶Janitor and carpet cleaner.

#Well digger, oil field worker.

**Small mammal researcher, exterminator.

Table 2. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome deaths, stratified by patient race, sex, and age group, United States, 1993–2015

Patient age, y	American Indian		χ^2	p value*	American Indian		χ^2	p value*
	male, no. (%)	White male, no. (%)			female, no. (%)	White female, no. (%)		
<18	3 (38)	6 (26)	0.38	0.54	3 (30)	5 (56)	1.3	0.26
18–39	13 (42)	54 (38)	0.14	0.71	12 (50)	28 (37)	1.2	0.27
40–64	5 (31)	35 (29)	0.037	0.85	11 (69)	21 (30)	8.1	0.004
>64	1 (33)	6 (29)	0.029	0.87	1 (100)	3 (23)	2.7	0.10

*df = 1.

study demonstrated that a possible source of hantavirus exposure may be cleaning rodent-infested areas because 17% of case-patients had a recorded history of cleaning areas that may have been rodent infested. Zietz et al. demonstrated that HPS was more likely to develop in herders but that risk was not increased for ranchers, farmers, and construction workers; however, their study was limited by small numbers of case-patients and was restricted to the Four Corners region, where herders are relatively overrepresented among occupations with rodent-exposure risk (9). In addition, given the dry, dusty environment in the Four Corners region and the likelihood of inhaling infected matter, persons in this region may be increasingly exposed to infected dust. Previous serologic studies of persons with occupational risk for rodent exposure did not reveal many with serologic evidence of past infection (14–17). However, because HPS is rare (i.e., typically 20–40 cases are reported in the United States annually), serologic surveys may not accurately portray risk for exposure to hantavirus when incidence is very low. We identified 2 cases, in addition to 3 previously published case reports, of HPS in persons who were not wearing adequate personal protective equipment while trapping wild mice for field research studies (18,19) and for whom direct contact with rodents in an occupational setting may have contributed to their risk. Therefore, the use of staff training along with appropriate personal protective equipment in field research settings (20) should be emphasized.

We identified that persons with occupations with potential for frequent rodent exposure should be aware of the risks for hantavirus infection; these persons include those working in agriculture (e.g., farmers, ranchers, and temporary laborers), construction (e.g., electricians, carpenters and roofers), forestry/outdoor recreation, oil drilling, and the cleaning industry (e.g., janitors and house cleaners). Employers should continue to educate employees about hantavirus transmission, steps to take to reduce the risk of contracting hantavirus infection in the

workplace, and signs and symptoms of hantavirus infection. Current examples of employee education programs include informational sessions for river rafters and power industry workers in Arizona and industrial hygiene workers in Colorado and prevention education for National Park Service, Bureau of Land Management, and mining industry employees in New Mexico. Online educational materials for employees with frequent rodent exposure can be found at the websites of California Department of Public Health and the National Park Service (online Technical Appendix Table).

Educational efforts to reduce exposure risk in the home should be continued because 71% of case-patients with a specified exposure reported rodent exposure at home. During the 1993 Four Corners outbreak, a case-control study found a significant association between higher rodent densities in the home and HPS (10). Our study echoed earlier surveillance data that identified risk factors to be cleaning or inhabiting structures that had been previously closed or uninhabited, because many of these structures may be rodent infested (8). Typical domestic cleaning activities, such as sweeping and vacuuming, are presumed to increase risk by aerosolizing infectious excreta. When performed in a confined area with limited ventilation, these activities may expose persons to a sufficient inoculum of virus to lead to infection. Public education programs for prevention of HPS in the residential setting, such as the Seal Up, Trap Up, Clean Up campaign launched by the New Mexico Department of Health in 1994 and adopted nationally, emphasize safe cleaning methods (e.g., wet mopping) and exclusion and removal of rodents from the peridomestic environment (online Technical Appendix Table) (21). Simple and relatively inexpensive rodent exclusion methods, including the application of expanding foam and wire mesh to eliminate points of entry into living spaces, effectively reduce rodent infestations in homes. Our study demonstrated that home exposure was more common among American

Table 3. Frequency of recorded rodent exposure types by US region of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome case-patients, United States, 1993–2015

Exposure	All case-patients, no. (%), n = 319	Western region, no. (%), n = 302	Eastern region, no. (%), n = 17	χ^2	p value*
Home	228 (71)	220 (73)	8 (47)	5.2	0.022
Occupational	102 (32)	96 (32)	6 (35)	0.091	0.76
Recreational	78 (24)	70 (23)	8 (47)	5.0	0.026

*df = 1.

Table 4. Frequency of recorded rodent exposures by race for hantavirus pulmonary syndrome case-patients, United States, 1993–2015

Exposure	White, no. (%), n = 255	American Indian, no. (%), n = 43	χ^2	p value*
Home	181 (71)	37 (86)	4.3	0.039
Occupational	90 (35)	7 (16)	6.1	0.014
Recreational	63 (25)	6 (14)	2.4	0.12

*df = 1.

Indian case-patients than among those of other racial/ethnic groups. Targeted rodent exclusion projects in American Indian communities have successfully decreased rodent intrusion (22). Support for environmental health efforts aimed at rodent exclusion should be continued in American Indian communities. More recently, Navajo Nation has worked closely with CDC on a variety of educational projects, including presentations to Navajo Department of Health and Indian Health Service clinicians, an interactive radio forum on hantavirus, development of radio public service announcements in the Navajo language, and workshops with students at Dine College (Tsaile, AZ) to develop health communication videos for the general public.

A recreational exposure was recorded for 78 (24%) case-patients, 10 of whom were exposed during the 2012 outbreak in Yosemite National Park (11). The National Park Service has increased its efforts to educate visitors through its website, park brochures, and posters (online Technical Appendix Table). In some settings, the National Park Service encourages overnight visitors to read a brief statement about hantavirus and prevention methods. The National Park Service is in the process of developing a comprehensive smartphone application for visitors. This application will not only serve as a resource for general details about the parks but will also contain information about safety precautions and animalborne diseases in the park. Because recreational exposures were proportionally more frequent among case-patients residing in the eastern United States, clinicians (even those caring for patients in low-incidence states) should assess recent travel history in addition to rodent exposures in the home and at work and consider hantavirus as a possible cause of disease.

Over the past few decades, educational materials on HPS and hantavirus for general audiences have been developed by health departments and distributed through local jurisdictions. A variety of local efforts to increase hantavirus awareness exist, through traditional and nontraditional

news sources. These interventions are relevant, particularly in the spring when hantavirus infection prevalence may be higher among North American deer mice (23,24) and when persons may be more likely to participate in cleaning or recreational activities that could increase risk for rodent exposure. In 2016, spring electric bills in a Colorado county were accompanied by letters containing hantavirus information. Arizona works collaboratively with local public health and environmental health agencies to share prevention messages with the public to minimize the risk for rodent exposure in recreation, occupation, and peridomestic settings. The Coconino County (AZ) Public Health Department also posts preventive messages on Facebook and Twitter.

States in which risk for HPS is high send seasonal Health Alert Network messages to public health staff and clinicians. The New Mexico Department of Health answers hantavirus-related questions through an all-hours phone line and informs the public of new cases and prevention techniques through statewide press releases. On a national level, CDC manages a Hantavirus Hotline, which the general public and providers can call with hantavirus-related questions (online Technical Appendix Table). CDC, New Mexico Department of Health, and clinicians from the University of New Mexico (Albuquerque, NM) have given educational seminars to healthcare providers through the University of New Mexico Project ECHO, which targets Indian Health Service clinicians, and through Clinician Outreach and Communication Activity calls, which target a wide range of clinical professionals (online Technical Appendix Table).

It is useful not only to define settings where HPS risk is increased because of rodent exposure but also to define demographic risk factors for HPS and subsequent death. HPS disproportionately affects American Indians, who represent $\approx 2\%$ of the US population (25) yet account for 18% of reported US HPS cases. Because 89% of American Indian HPS case-patients reside in the Four Corners region, where most HPS cases occur, the disproportionate number

Table 5. Occupation risk and frequency of reported rodent exposure type for hantavirus pulmonary syndrome case-patients with specified occupation and exposure, United States, 1993–2015

Exposure	Occupation without frequent rodent exposure, n = 91	Occupation with potential frequent rodent exposure, n = 96	χ^2	p value*
Home	67 (74)	60 (63)	2.7	0.10
Occupational	34 (37)	51 (53)	4.7	0.030
Recreational	22 (24)	15 (16)	2.2	0.14

*df = 1.

of American Indian case-patients may in part result from environmental factors that increase the risk of inhaling infected dust particles. Biological factors that may increase HPS risk among American Indians have not been identified. We found that American Indians with HPS were younger and that mortality rates were significantly higher than those among whites of the same age group, particularly among American Indian women 40–64 years of age. According to the 2010 US Census, the median age for American Indians and Alaskan Natives is 28.8 years, compared with the median age for white Americans of 38.4 years (25); therefore, the age difference in our study may be a result of overall differences in age distribution between American Indians and white Americans. Sex disparities in death from HPS, by age, have been noted both within and outside the United States but are poorly understood (26–29). Different mortality rates could result from hormonal effects on the immune response, concurrent medical conditions, or exposure type. Among Norway rats infected with Seoul virus, immune responses vary by sex; Th1 response is greater for males than females (30). Of note, male and female humans with acute hantavirus infection have similar Th1 and Th2 responses but different levels of other cytokines, including interleukin-9, fibroblast growth factor 2, granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and interleukin-8 (31). To prevent more cases and improve outcomes, investigations of the health disparities observed for American Indians and the increased mortality rates observed for American Indian women should continue.

Although we did not systematically collect information on physical location of rodent exposure, 49 case-patients were exposed in a vehicle, trailer, or mobile home. More information is needed to better understand if manufactured housing and vehicles increase the risk for rodent infestation and hantavirus exposure because of their construction. A recent HPS outbreak among overnight visitors to Yosemite National Park led to an association between staying in a particular type of housing (i.e., tents with drywall interiors) and risk for HPS (32). These tents were noted to have evidence of active rodent infestation, holes in the canvas, and gaps between the tent and insulated wall, enabling rodent entry. National Park Service employees and migrant workers (33) may also reside in temporary on-site housing or use vehicles provided by their employers; therefore, employers should also be prudent about excluding rodents from these items.

Our findings have several limitations. Because of underreporting or misdiagnosis, we may not have captured all cases of HPS in the United States. Ethnicity and race data were missing from 7% and 26% of case report forms, respectively, because some states have only recently begun collecting that information. Because occupation and exposure history were collected by use of free-text responses, data for these variables

were not collected systematically for all reported cases. Persons completing case report forms may have overreported occupations for those persons who are more likely to have been exposed to rodents at work, and the HPS-associated exposure could have occurred at another site not reported on the case report form. In addition, for case-patients who lived at their workplace (e.g., forestry, agriculture), it was difficult to distinguish where rodent exposure occurred. As a result of this analysis, we have modified our case report form to systematically capture more detailed information regarding type of exposure and setting.

Although HPS is rare in the United States, surveillance data suggest that persons in certain occupations and certain populations may be at increased risk for HPS because of potential for rodent exposure. Physicians should recognize HPS risk factors and consider HPS for patients with documented rodent exposure or who are at high risk for rodent exposure. Educational efforts and awareness focused on high-risk populations should continue so that persons can decrease their risk of acquiring HPS.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to public health staff who completed the case report forms and provided assistance with hantavirus surveillance. In particular, we would like to thank Curtis Fritz for his contributions.

Dr. de St. Maurice is an Epidemic Intelligence Service officer in the Viral Special Pathogens Branch, Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, CDC. She is board certified in pediatrics and pediatric infectious diseases and has research interests in the prevention of infectious diseases through education and vaccine efforts.

References

- Nichol ST, Spiropoulou CF, Morzunov S, Rollin PE, Ksiazek TG, Feldmann H, et al. Genetic identification of a hantavirus associated with an outbreak of acute respiratory illness. *Science*. 1993;262:914–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.8235615>
- Peters CJ, Simpson GL, Levy H. Spectrum of hantavirus infection: hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. *Annu Rev Med*. 1999;50:531–45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.50.1.531>
- Khan AS, Khabbaz RF, Armstrong LR, Holman RC, Bauer SP, Graber J, et al. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome: the first 100 US cases. *J Infect Dis*. 1996;173:1297–303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/173.6.1297>
- Duchin JS, Koster FT, Peters CJ, Simpson GL, Tempest B, Zaki SR, et al.; The Hantavirus Study Group. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome: a clinical description of 17 patients with a newly recognized disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;330:949–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199404073301401>
- Tappero JW, Khan AS, Pinner RW, Wenger JD, Graber JM, Armstrong LR, et al. Utility of emergency, telephone-based national surveillance for hantavirus pulmonary syndrome.

- Hantavirus Task Force. *JAMA*. 1996;275:398–400. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1996.03530290068039>
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Case definitions for infectious conditions under public health surveillance. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 1997;46(RR-10):1–55.
 7. Mills JN, Amman BR, Glass GE. Ecology of hantaviruses and their hosts in North America. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2010;10:563–74. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2009.0018>
 8. Armstrong LR, Zaki SR, Goldoft MJ, Todd RL, Khan AS, Khabbaz RF, et al. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome associated with entering or cleaning rarely used, rodent-infested structures. *J Infect Dis*. 1995;172:1166. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/172.4.1166>
 9. Zeitz PS, Butler JC, Cheek JE, Samuel MC, Childs JE, Shands LA, et al. A case-control study of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome during an outbreak in the southwestern United States. *J Infect Dis*. 1995;171:864–70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/171.4.864>
 10. Childs JE, Krebs JW, Ksiazek TG, Maupin GO, Gage KL, Rollin PE, et al. A household-based, case-control study of environmental factors associated with hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in the southwestern United States. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1995;52:393–7.
 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in visitors to a national park—Yosemite Valley, California, 2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2012;61:952.
 12. MacNeil A, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome, United States, 1993–2009. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17:1195–201. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1707.101306>
 13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome 2015 case definition. [cited 2016 Sep 27]. <https://www.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/hantavirus-pulmonary-syndrome/case-definition/2015/>
 14. Zeitz PS, Graber JM, Voorhees RA, Kioski C, Shands LA, Ksiazek TG, et al. Assessment of occupational risk for hantavirus infection in Arizona and New Mexico. *J Occup Environ Med*. 1997;39:463–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00043764-199705000-00013>
 15. Wilken JA, Jackson R, Materna BL, Windham GC, Enge B, Messenger S, et al.; Yosemite Hantavirus Outbreak Investigation Team. Assessing prevention measures and Sin Nombre hantavirus seroprevalence among workers at Yosemite National Park. *Am J Ind Med*. 2015;58:658–67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22445>
 16. Fulhorst CF, Milazzo ML, Armstrong LR, Childs JE, Rollin PE, Khabbaz R, et al. Hantavirus and arenavirus antibodies in persons with occupational rodent exposure. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;13:532–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1304.061509>
 17. Fritz CL, Fulhorst CF, Enge B, Winthrop KL, Glaser CA, Vugia DJ. Exposure to rodents and rodent-borne viruses among persons with elevated occupational risk. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2002;44:962–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00043764-200210000-00016>
 18. Torres-Pérez F, Wilson L, Collinge SK, Harmon H, Ray C, Medina RA, et al. Sin Nombre virus infection in field workers, Colorado, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:308–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1602.090735>
 19. Sinclair JR, Carroll DS, Montgomery JM, Pavlin B, McCombs K, Mills JN, et al. Two cases of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in Randolph County, West Virginia: a coincidence of time and place? *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2007;76:438–42.
 20. Mills JN, Childs J, Ksiazek T, Peters CJ. Methods for trapping and sampling small mammals for virologic testing. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1995.
 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS) [cited 2016 Sep 29]. <http://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/hps/prevention.html>
 22. Hopkins AS, Whitetail-Eagle J, Corneli AL, Person B, Ettestad PJ, DiMenna M, et al. Experimental evaluation of rodent exclusion methods to reduce hantavirus transmission to residents in a Native American community in New Mexico. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2002;2:61–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/153036602321131850>
 23. Kuenzi AJ, Douglass RJ, Bond CW. Sin Nombre virus in deer mice captured inside homes, southwestern Montana. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2000;6:386–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid0604.000411>
 24. Douglass RJ, Calisher CH, Wagoner KD, Mills JN. Sin Nombre virus infection of deer mice in Montana: characteristics of newly infected mice, incidence, and temporal pattern of infection. *J Wildl Dis*. 2007;43:12–22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-43.1.12>
 25. Annual estimates of the resident population by sex, race, and Hispanic origin for the United States, states, and counties: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2015 June 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 10]. https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=PEP_2016_PEPANNRES&src=pt
 26. MacNeil A, Nichol ST, Spiropoulou CF. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. *Virus Res*. 2011;162:138–47. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.09.017>
 27. Martinez VP, Bellomo CM, Cacace ML, Suarez P, Bogni L, Padula PJ. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in Argentina, 1995–2008. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:1853–60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1612.091170>
 28. Klein SL, Marks MA, Li W, Glass GE, Fang LQ, Ma JQ, et al. Sex differences in the incidence and case fatality rates from hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in China, 2004–2008. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52:1414–21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir232>
 29. Hjertqvist M, Klein SL, Ahlm C, Klingstrom J. Mortality rate patterns for hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome caused by Puumala virus. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:1584–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1610.100242>
 30. Klein SL, Bird BH, Glass GE. Sex differences in immune responses and viral shedding following Seoul virus infection in Norway rats. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2001;65:57–63.
 31. Klingström J, Lindgren T, Ahlm C. Sex-dependent differences in plasma cytokine responses to hantavirus infection. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2008;15:885–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00035-08>
 32. Núñez JJ, Fritz CL, Knust B, Buttke D, Enge B, Novak MG, et al.; Yosemite Hantavirus Outbreak Investigation Team. Hantavirus infections among overnight visitors to Yosemite National Park, California, USA, 2012. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2014;20:386–93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2003.131581>
 33. Marx G, Stinson K, Deatrich M, Albanese B. Notes from the Field: hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in a migrant farm worker – Colorado, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2017;66:62–3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6602a6>

Address for correspondence: Annabelle de St. Maurice, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop A30, Atlanta, GA 30329-4027, USA; email: yzv6@cdc.gov

Exposure Characteristics of Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome Patients, United States, 1993–2015

Technical Appendix

Technical Appendix Table. Online and telephone resources for hantavirus education

Website source, types of information provided	URL(s)
Arizona Department of Health Information about vector control workshops in the community Hantavirus fact sheets Links to CDC Web site about hantavirus	http://azdhs.gov/preparedness/epidemiology-disease-control/vector-borne-zoonotic-diseases/index.php
California Department of Health Training videos about hantavirus, safe methods for cleaning up after rodents and rodent exclusion techniques Hantavirus self-test Work place posters Brochures	http://www.cdph.ca.gov/HealthInfo/discond/Pages/HantavirusToolkit.aspx
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention General information about hantavirus and HPS Seal up, Trap Up, Clean up manual on preventing rodent infestation Hantavirus Clinician Outreach and Communication Activity (COCA)	http://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/ , http://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/hps/prevention.html , https://emergency.cdc.gov/coca/calls/2016/callinfo_063016.asp
Colorado Department of Health Information about hantavirus prevention at home and in the workplace Information about cleaning up after rodents Access to case investigation resources for local public health agencies Colorado HPS Annual Reports	https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/cdphe/hantavirus
National Park Service General information about hantavirus, HPS, and prevention methods for workers and park visitors General hantavirus information and rodent exclusion brochure targeted to museum workers	https://www.nps.gov/grte/planyourvisit/hps.htm , https://www.nps.gov/museum/publications/conservoogram/02-08.pdf
Navajo Department of Health Public Service Announcement for Hantavirus	http://www.nndoh.org
New Mexico Department of Health Information for the general public, home owners, public health officials, occupational workers at risk of exposure and physicians about HPS, hantavirus prevention, rodent proofing, case investigation, hantavirus surveillance, and caring for HPS cases	https://nmhealth.org/about/erd/ideb/zdp/hps/
Utah Department of Health Information for the general public and public health departments about hantavirus, case investigation, and preventing rodent infestations	http://health.utah.gov/epi/diseases/hantavirus/

Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome Case Report Form

Please return to: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Special Pathogens Branch
 Ph: (404) 639-1510 Fax: (404) 639-1118 Email: dvd1spath@cdc.gov
 Site: www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/hanta/hps/noframes/phys/specimen/hlthdept.htm

Patient Identification

__	__	__	__	__	__	__	__
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

-FIPS- -YR-

Information below is required for identification and meaningful interpretation of laboratory diagnostic results. HPS may not be confirmed without compatible clinical and/or exposure data.

PATIENT INFORMATION		PATIENT'S BACKGROUND and EXPOSURE INFORMATION	
Last name:		Occupation:	Race: <input type="checkbox"/> American Indian/Alaska Native
First name:	MI:	Ethnicity: <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Asian <input type="checkbox"/> Black or African American
Age:	Sex: <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	History of rodent exposure in 6 weeks prior to onset of illness? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	
Street address:		If yes, type of rodent? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	
City/town:		Place of contact (town, county, state):	
County:		Notes:	
State:		ZIP:	

TIMELINE		
Date of onset of symptoms: _____	Patient hospitalized? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Date of hospitalization: _____

CLINICAL INFORMATION	CLINICAL INFORMATION	SPECIMEN INFORMATION
Fever > 101° F (38.3° C)? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Supplemental oxygen required? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Specimen acquisition date: _____
Thrombocytopenia? (platelets <150,000/mm) <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Was patient intubated? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Type of specimen: _____
Lowest platelet count measured: _____	CXR with unexplained bilateral interstitial infiltrates or suggestive of ARDS? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Has specimen been tested for hantavirus at a laboratory? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>
Elevated hematocrit (Hct)? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	If yes, where? _____	
Highest hematocrit measured: _____	Results (i.e., titer, OD): _____	
Elevated creatinine? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	Outcome of illness? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	_____
Highest creatinine measured: _____	Date of death: _____	_____
WBC total: _____	Autopsy performed? <input type="text" value="Choose one"/>	_____
Total neutrophils: _____ %	Notes: _____	
Band neutrophils: _____ %	_____	
Lymphocytes: _____ %	_____	

FOR STATE HEALTH DEPARTMENTS		
State Health Department reporting case: _____	State/local ID number: _____	Date form completed: _____
Person completing Report: _____		Phone number: _____
Name of patient's physician: _____		Phone number: _____

Instructions: You must have internet access and an email address to submit this Form electronically. Upon hitting the 'Submit by Email' button, a PDF is created, attached to an email, which you should then send to the address which appears in the address header; you may also cc: others. Acknowledgement of receipt by CDC is not provided.

Submit by Email

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-0009).

Technical Appendix Figure. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome case report form.