

NEW EVIDENCE

EXHIBIT D

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

This is new evidence. As I said earlier, when I filed my claim in 2002, I gave only basic information about [redacted] employment. I did not realize the significance of this. I thought that information needed from me about his employment would mostly be only the period of his employment. I thought Mathieson Chemical Company would have records of job descriptions and duties as well as dates of changes in job classifications and other personnel information regarding their employees. If Mathieson Chemical Company records of [redacted] employment exist, my assumption would be that they would be stored in the same place as records of employees of Blockson Chemical Company. These Blockson Chemical Company records are stored at the Olin Corporation in Norwalk, CT. according to EEOICPA Bulletin No. 02-02, Issue Date: March 29, 2002. I was surprised when I was told that [redacted] employment at Blockson Chemical Company in Joliet, Illinois had been verified by DOE. DOE also had verified that [redacted] employment began [redacted] 1949 and ended 1979. These are two discrepancies which were given by DOE. He had never worked in Illinois or even visited in Illinois. Please see Exhibit F for more on that. [redacted] employment at Mathieson Chemical Company in Pasadena, Texas began 1949 and ended in [redacted] 1978. Please see Exhibit H for more on this. I do not believe this one year difference (1978 vs 1979) would have any effect on my claim, but I mention it to point out another discrepancy in information that has been used in my claim.

I did not know, and still do not know specific dates that [redacted] performed specific duties and what some of those duties were, but I have talked with others and have tried to remember as much as possible. No employment records showing these dates are available to me. In the absence of exact dates of his tenure in each department, I am giving approximations. As far as I know, persons who could have provided more accurate information about these dates are deceased. As I have said earlier, I am 78 years old. How many [redacted] can give exact dates of job classification changes and detailed information about [redacted] employment duties and supervisors approximately sixty years ago? I do know that for many years, as he got older, he was a very sick man. He suffered from four of the 22 diseases that are specified under the EEOICPA. Evidence that he had two of these diseases has been authenticated, but little information was documented on the other two. Please see Exhibit E for more on that.

At the time [redacted] was hired, some new employees began their employment in the [redacted] I have been told that he worked in the [redacted] in 1949 and 1950. His duties there were to be available for assignment to any part of the plant to assist whenever any type of [redacted] needed to be done.

This was the group who did any type of _____ that needed to be done at any location. It could have been _____ or other (usually strenuous) type of labor required to maintain a Chemical Plant. I did not put this on the original claim application because I had not yet met him at that time and I did not consider this to be a job "Title". I got this information from other people who knew _____ at that time.

In the latter part of 1950 he became a _____ When I met him in 1957 he was _____ After approximately 2 or 3 years he became a _____ An employee could progress to _____ after he had sufficient training, after a _____ job position became vacant, and after the bid on the job, and was considered along with other bidders and seniority. The job then was awarded to the employee who had sufficient training and experience to fill it based on these factors. The _____ was in training and was there to assist. The _____ had more responsibility for how the job was performed. I have been told that the job duties in that department were

_____ and _____ when necessary. This could mean _____ I know of no special or protective clothing that he had at work. Duties included _____

I have been told that he was _____ until about the late 1950s or early 1960s when he became _____ His duties as _____ were to _____ This included _____ and _____ where required in any part of the plant. He could have been assigned to work on _____ or related work to _____ This could have meant _____ which was in any condition, to work on _____ This type of _____ could mean an assignment in any part of the plant, at any time.

He was a _____ from approximately 1972 until his employment ended in 1978. This job was in the _____ Department as _____ His duties as a _____ included _____

He was responsible for _____

These duties could have required him to make frequent trips to any part of the plant.

I was asked who his supervisors were and the dates of their supervision. My memory of names connected with specific dates over fifty years ago does not serve me well. I

I was asked who his supervisors were and the dates of their supervision. My memory of names connected with specific dates over fifty years ago does not serve me well. I remember many names. I know the names of some of his supervisors, but I cannot be specific as to whether some of the following named persons were supervisors or other employees because, as I said earlier, these men worked well together and were friends as well as fellow employees. Some of the names I remember as supervisors and/or possibly supervisors are

These gentlemen have all died, most of them from cancer.

did shift work and worked a lot of overtime. He worked a rotating shift. He would work a few days from 3:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m., from 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. and from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. with time off between shift changes. He worked a lot of overtime. It was not unusual for him to call and say he would be working an extra eight hour shift after his regular shift was over. As I remember, overtime was always in periods of eight hours. The Mathieson plant operated on a 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, schedule. During his employment at Mathieson he had a few short periods of time when he worked straight days. I would guess that approximately 90% of his schedule was on shift work.

became a member of the union in 1952 or early 1953. Mathieson Chemical Company was organized for the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers International Union at about that time. The Mathieson Group was put into charge of the plant and later became the plant manager and kept that position for a few years. He was also

for the In addition to this, he helped to organize the plant and was an active member in that for a few years. He was an outgoing person (a "people person"). He loved to meet people and talk to them. As a supervisor he would be expected to go to any part of the plant where anyone had a problem or needed help of any kind. He also met with employees in all areas of the plant in his capacity as a representative of the above- mentioned groups or of any special committee (Christmas toys, Company picnics, etc.) to which he was assigned or asked to help. He was involved in a lot of plant activities.