Effective I	Date: 2	/02/2015
-------------	---------	----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

d and questione ing this issue at ages were shown and it was available. are ongoing. ndicate urinalyst ses samples were xample urinalys n is getting acce nal records. listings that
orded external a ng of external a DRs and
ng

Issue 1 & 9 – NIOSH is working on sampling review, waiting for guidance from the SEC WG coworker plan.

Effective Date: 2	2/02/2015
-------------------	-----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
2	Worker Location, Job Category, and Coworker Model – Because of the varied historic operations at the KCP, coupled with the lack of specific worker locations and job categories, the application of coworker or generalized technical basis document derived doses could result in incorrect dose assignments. This could involve a relatively large number of workers because in many cases there is a lack of (or illegible) bioassay and/or external dose records. Therefore, the adequacy and completeness of the available data used for the coworker model need to be addressed, along with their applicability to different categories of workers.	Based on 5/5-8/14 KCP interviews, there were conflicting accounts of how freely workers "on the plant floor" were able to move from one department to another. One interviewee recollected moving from job to job, while another disagreed, noting that the union restricted such movement. Based on past interviews, the organizational codes did not necessarily match the assigned jobs, which could change over time; however, the distinction between operators, supervisors, and administrative staff was seen as clear. There appears to be a clear delineation and access restriction afforded the operating area containing the natural and depleted uranium work (Depts. 20 and 26). While some scanned records on the SRDB are not legible, the original records are readable. Further review warranted to ascertain whether worker location and job category are sufficiently distinguishable for coworker modelling.	This is primarily a TBD issue and NIOSH is planning a revision to the TBD. The adequacy and completeness of the available data are being addressed in issue 1 above. Interviewees during the May 2014 visit indicated that historic radiological operations were not that varied (e.g. five separate operations), and that personnel movement throughout the radiological facility was limited. A better understanding of these classified operations is desirable; however, NIOSH has not received any new information that thus far appears to conflict with the bounding assumptions documented in the SEC00210 Evaluation Report (ER).

June 10, 2014 WG: Remaining issue revolves around application of coworker model to KCP worker categories. Additional bioassay records have been requested by NIOSH (as part of medical records) and a future site visit will be scheduled to obtain additional information regarding the adequacy and completeness of available data used for the coworker model, along with applicability to various job categories.

NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: After the writing of the ER, NIOSH became aware of additional bioassay monitoring that was performed by Los Alamos for KCP (128346). NIOSH worked with the KCP HP to locate any records of this previously unknown monitoring, and on May 15, 2014, the KCP HP uncovered one such record. Learning from this approach, NIOSH compiled a list of employee names from access lists for the Model Shop and Project Royal. That list of 550 names was submitted to KCP as part of the data request prior to the October 2014 site visit. As a result, additional bioassay records that were filed with medical records were retrieved by NIOSH, and 164 new medical examination and hospital card entries were made to the SRDB. These documents, along with additional information regarding the adequacy and completeness of data used for a coworker model and its applicability to various job categories, were also retrieved and will be incorporated in the next TBD revision.

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	TBD ISSUE: The WG has combined matrix issues #2 and #3, and agrees that they can be considered TBD issues and moved to the site profile matrix for later review.		
3	 Chronic vs. Acute – Default chronic pattern of intake used in the uranium coworker model, apparently being applied to most KCP workers, may not be applicable to a large number of them. SC&A's review of actual claims reveals that workers that have legible bioassay records show patterns of excretion rates that indicate that the coworker model may not be necessarily claimant favorable for all workers. June 10, 2014 WG: Work group agreed that question regarding chronic versus acute intake patterns does not represent an SEC issue and can be accommodate by the TBD 6000 model. The issue of unexplained higher bioassay readings in 1960–1961 will be addressed under matrix issue #18, as part of review of KCP incidents. The work group decided to hold matrix issue #3 in abeyance pending further discussion of an internal coworker model for KCP (NIOSH indicates 		
		s coworker model in the ER) and whether scope of wo natrix issues #2 and #3, and agrees that they can be	e considered TBD issues and moved to the site profile matrix
4	Super S Uranium – Type S, high-fired uranium oxide (UO ₂) may have been handled at KCP and needs to be addressed in terms of : 1) source term and exposure potential; and 2) how solubility factors will be addressed. [Note: confirmation of Type S uranium would not confound dose estimation – requires explicit acknowledgment in site profile).	While it was likely that some of the uranium handled at KCP was "high fired," there is no clear evidence of insolubility that would preclude dose reconstruction with sufficient accuracy. Previously addressed by Board for Y-12 and INL; no dose reconstruction concerns concluded. <u>Recommend closure by the work group.</u>	NIOSH does not need to address a high-fired uranium oxide source-term and exposure potential separately from other KCP uranium work because SC&A has previously agreed with NIOSH that high-fired uranium oxide is adequately bounded by the Type S solubility class (see, <i>White Paper – SC&A Review of</i> <i>LBNL Issue #3, dated 9/5/12</i>).

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

June 10, 2014 WG: Work group <u>closed</u> Recycled Uranium - SC&A is aware	this matrix issue.			
Recycled Uranium - SC&A is aware		June 10, 2014 WG: Work group <u>closed</u> this matrix issue.		
of the potential for even DU to include recycled uranium once Hanford established its recycling program in the early 1950s. A cursory search of available documents did not reveal explicit mention of KCP as a recipient, but the potential for trace contaminants may exist. Therefore, the potential for exposure to radionuclides from recycled uranium at the KCP needs to be addressed. [Note: confirmation of recycled uranium by itself would not confound dose estimation – issue is explicit acknowledgment as source term).	It is the standing position of NIOSH to assume the presence of recycled uranium beginning in the mid-1950s and to account for it in dose reconstruction. TBD 6000, for example, addresses recycled uranium in its model calculations. NIOSH will assume recycled uranium was present at KCP and dose reconstruct, accordingly. <u>Recommend closure by</u> <u>the work group.</u>	TBD-6000 Section 2.3 identifies 1952 as the start of RU availability. The bounding methodology used during uranium work after 1952 includes the exposure contribution from RU nuclides. This was implied by reference in the ER to TBD 6000. [Section 3.1, page 19, discusses how RU is addressed, with Table 3.2 providing the assumed nuclide mix].		
DU After 1971 and During and After 1997 - The nature and extent of work with depleted uranium after 1971 and again during and after 1997, as well as any intakes that may have resulted, remain to be adequately established.	this matrix issue. An interview conducted during 5/5-8/14 KCP visit, indicates that uranium machining equipment remained in place and was not D&D'd until 1975. Depleted uranium "ballast" parts were used in the KCP telemetry program in the 1980s (and possibly beyond) and show up in KCP waste inventory; not clear if they were fabricated onsite. Further review of "ballast" source term activity warranted.	NIOSH requests that SC&A be more specific as to the DU work information missing from the ER after 1971 to the end of the evaluated period (12/31/93). NIOSH is not aware of any DU work that is not bounded by the methods documented in the ER. The period after 1993 did not qualify for evaluation.		
eaetreuarcet J II vaar	 aarly 1950s. A cursory search of available documents did not reveal explicit mention of KCP as a recipient, but the potential for trace contaminants nay exist. Therefore, the potential for exposure to radionuclides from recycled uranium at the KCP needs to be addressed. [Note: confirmation of ecycled uranium by itself would not confound dose estimation – issue is explicit acknowledgment as source erm). DU After 1971 and During and After 1997 - The nature and extent of work with depleted uranium after 1971, as well as any intakes that may have resulted, emain to be adequately established. 	 arly 1950s. A cursory search of available documents did not reveal explicit mention of KCP as a recipient, but the potential for trace contaminants may exist. Therefore, the potential for exposure to radionuclides from recycled tranium at the KCP needs to be didressed. [Note: confirmation of ecycled uranium by itself would not confound dose estimation – issue is explicit acknowledgment as source erm). DU After 1971 and During and After 1971 and puring and after 1971 and gain during and after 1971, as well as mny intakes that may have resulted, emain to be adequately established. An interview conducted during 5/5-8/14 KCP visit, indicates that uranium machining equipment remained in place and was not D&D'd until 1975. Depleted uranium "ballast" parts were used in the KCP telemetry program in the 1980s (and possibly beyond) and show up in KCP waste inventory; not clear if they were fabricated onsite. Further review of "ballast" source term activity 		

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: DU ballasts were not specifically addressed in the ER; therefore, NIOSH was interested to discover if they were machined or if they otherwise introduced a new exposure pathway at KCP. NIOSH consulted the literature to gain an understanding of how DU ballasts may have been used at KCP, and found the following in NUREG 1717, Section 3.17. Counterweights or ballasts made of DU are used for military ballast and counterweight applications. DU counterweights may be used as anti-flutter devices in missile test applications, and have been used in the Trident missile program.		
	NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 visit to generate search terms used to locate records related to DU ballast parts and other radioactive source-terms. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports, SWIMS and other documents that provide detailed information regarding DU ballasts. However, there were no additional source-terms identified beyond those previously discussed in this Issues Matrix.		
	NIOSH reviewed documents that showed ballast parts ordered from ORNL and procedures for the safe handling and assembling of ballast parts (137064, 128378). NIOSH also reviewed records where DU ballasts appear in KCP's waste stream. It is noteworthy that when the term "ballast" appears in waste records it is with the descriptors "pieces" and or "parts" (e.g. 128402 pp. 45, and 137081), instead of what appears in other known machining processes such as the Mg-Th work where the descriptors include "turnings" and "machining waste" (e.g. 134675, pp. 98).		
	In light of documents recently reviewed by NIOSH, and classified discussions with Nelson Beard, it is apparent that KCP activities with DU ballasts included only the installation of pieces/parts and not on-site machining. Therefore, NIOSH concludes that the ER's DU bounding methods are also adequate for this additional DU work.		
			d would not have presented an exposure potential for worker uring recent onsite data captures. Accordingly, the work

Effective l	Date:	2/02/2015	5
-------------	-------	-----------	---

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
7	Radioactive Waste - Further evaluation is warranted in regard to the processes and isotopes contributing to liquid radioactive waste shipments from the Kansas City Plant, the time period during which these activities and shipments occurred, and the potential for unmonitored internal exposures from spills, leaks, cleanup, and routine handling/storage of contaminated drums.	Several KCP interviews on 5/5-8/14 indicated that DU and MgTh turnings were collected in small barrels with oil, and staged in a "dump room" for disposition. Interviewees did not recall instances of leakage or contamination from these drums or from the waste disposal process, itself. However, LANL documentation indicates instances of leakage upon receipt. Further review is warranted, with a particular focus on whether leakage was limited to uranium.	NIOSH has reviewed liquid radioactive waste shipment records (e.g. SRDB 123835). More records [Solid Waste Information Management System (SWIMS)] have also been requested (SWIMS example SRDB 123881). NIOSH is not aware of any processes or unmonitored internal exposures from spills, leaks, cleanup and routine handling/storage of contaminated drums that are inadequately bounded with the methodologies described in the ER utilizing models developed with approved TIBs and TBD 6000.
			ble waste disposition records (KCP and LANL) to ascertain send team to KCP to capture at least first two document sets].

Effective Dat	te: 2/02/2015
---------------	---------------

pathways that were not bounded by the ER's methodology.

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
1				
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 visit to generate search terms used to locate waste disposition records. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports, SWIMS and other documents that provide detailed information regarding radiological waste composition, timeframes and exposure potential.			
	Because of this recently obtained information and further research, NIOSH has developed a better understanding of KCP's waste types, volumes and disposition methods. Examples include, Department 20 D&D waste shipment information (128412, 128419, 137067, 137033, p. 6 and 137081, p. 14, 137045, p. 24), and that KCP's irradiated waste was created by Sandia (134514, p. 14). NIOSH has also reviewed KCP's Waste Management Implementation Plan (128145, p. 76), and found documents that corroborated information obtained during employee interviews. Specifically, maps and details about waste stored in a converted jet engine test cell, and at the "Red X lot" (128145, pp. 46, 71, 86, 89, 91). Other documents besides the SWIMS that NIOSH found informative included the Radioactive Waste Management Site Plans (128375, 128413, 128414, 128415, and 137045) that provide an annual summary of waste generating activities. For example, they describe the amount of waste generated in cubic feet per year, and document a running total of waste stored on-site. Note: The SRDB Ref. IDs provided are not an all-inclusive listing of the information now available on this topic.			
	Records indicate that KCP's routine waste generation was minimal and that waste shipments were typically made every two years (137081, p. 16). There were many years where a waste shipment was not necessary (137080, p. 5). In fact, there was no waste shipped from June 26, 1974 to 1979 (128375, p. 8 and 137080 p. 31). There are communications to the DOE that describe the amount of low-level radioactive waste generated at KCP as "essentially insignificant" (134675, p. 14). Generation rates at this time were one barrel (134675, p. 96) or 0.283 cubic meters per year (137094, p. 78). NIOSH did observe some years where shipments were not routine and larger, explained by the end of KCP's primary DU machining campaign or the D/20 D&D (e.g. 21 barrels in 1979; 134514, p. 46).			
	As part of this review, NIOSH did not discover any new information that suggests KCP's waste generating and disposition activities presented exposure			

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A indicated that the waste generation characterization provided by NIOSH is only true after the early 1970s; <u>hundreds of drums</u> were shipped per year from the late 1950s through early 1970s, particularly during the active DU operational period (1960–1972) (see SRDB 123806, 38160, as detailed below). KCP began shipping radwaste to LANL on 3/29/59 (SRDB 38160). In response to WG's request: <u>Waste composition</u> : DU, "laboratory wastes," including sources, equipment, some Th-232 oxide (SRDB 108241); <u>Timeframes</u> : (SRDB 123806) — based on available manifests located (appear incomplete). <u>Exposure potential</u> : Minor contamination found on trucks and on drums of Jan 1962 shipment of 355 drums from KCP to LASL for burial. No evidence of KCP worker exposure; no evidence of drum contamination (other than isolated) after 1962 incident, following KCP program response to LANL complaints.		
	SC&A cited a sampling of shipments for	a 10-year period:	
	 1961: 56 drums + 150 "barrels" classified + 295 "containers" of contaminated/radwaste material 1962 (JAN): 137 drums (55 gal) + 218 drums (20 gal)*; (APR): 99 drums (55 gal) + 69 drums (15 gal); (MAY): 123 drums (55 gal) + 12 drums (15 gal) 1964: (MAY): 111 + 51 + 55 drums/containers (AUG): 112 drums radwaste + 3 boxes 1965: 111 drums radwaste 		
	 1966: 87 + 158 = 245 drums radwaste 		
	• 1967: 124 drums radwaste		
	• 1968: $60 + 127 = 187$ drums of radwaste		
	• 1969: 2 truckloads of classified/radwaste (17 drums radwaste, classified waste drums)		
	• 1970: 2 truckloads of classified/radwaste		
	While SC&A disagrees with the NIOSH characterization of waste shipment frequency and volumes as it applies to 1959–early 1970s, we find that the one major contamination experienced at LASL appears to have been isolated and spurred KCP to take comprehensive actions to eliminate future contamination. No evidence exists that the contamination involved in the one incident led to worker exposure at KCP or LASL. Review of KCP procedures and records for radwaste handling have yet to surface any reports of contamination or exposures.		
	ACTION: The WG considers this issue open pending additional document searches and worker interviews for information regarding KCP radwaste handling in the 1960s, as well as in the 1980s, with specific attention to whether there existed a radwaste handling group, or whether project personnel handled radwaste during these time periods (names of contact personnel were identified at the WG meeting; it was also mentioned by a former worker present at the WG meeting that personnel handling waste in the 1980s were disqualified from further work because they were deemed illiterate by KCP management).		

This is a working document prepared by NIOSH or its contractor for use in discussions with the ABRWH or its Working Groups or Subcommittees. Draft, preliminary, interim, and White Paper documents are not final NIOSH or ABRWH (or their technical support and review contractors) positions unless specifically marked as such. This document represents preliminary positions taken on technical issues prepared by NIOSH or its contractor. **NOTICE: This report has been reviewed to identify and redact any information that is protected by the** <u>Privacy Act 5 USC §552a</u> and has been cleared for distribution.

Effective Date: 2/02/2015DraftPage 9 of 33
--

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	7/17/15 – Issue 7 – 17 – WG came to and D&D workers)	agreement on both these issues (NIOSH will a	oply DU coworker model to all unmonitored radwaste

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
8	Metal Tritides – It needs to be established the degree to which metal tritides were present and how doses would be reconstructed to account for this potential source of exposure, with particular attention to any incidents that may have occurred (i.e., only one isolated incident?).	KCP interview of 5/5-8/14 indicates that several types of metal tritides were likely handled at KCP in sealed components. Historically, there appears to be only one instance where a component leaked, resulting in tritium contamination involving erbium tritide in 1987 but with no evidence of intake. Further review is warranted of incident records to confirm no evidence of contamination involving tritium and tritide containing components.	confirmed that the presence of metal tritides at KCP wase solely related to contaminated parts being returned without adequate decontamination. A second occurrence of metal
	June 10, 2014 WG: NIOSH and SC&A to review weekly activity reports and other information sources to ascertain the frequency and exposure potential for metal tritides at KCP. [Proposal for ORAUT to send team to KCP to capture weekly activity reports]. NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 visit to generate search terms used to locate metal tritide records. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports and other documents that provide detailed information		
	 regarding frequency and exposure potential for metal tritides. NIOSH reviewed reports of weapon's test assemblies contaminated with low levels of tritides during testing at Pantex and Sandia. There is a timeline of events and decisions that KCP, Pantex and Sandia undertook to ensure these assemblies were decontaminated prior to their return to KCP (123923, p. 65). Representatives from each site participated in a demonstration of decontamination techniques in April of 1984 at Pantex (123923, p. 40). KCP established an acceptance testing protocol where assemblies were surveyed upon arrival and compared to the shipper's survey results (e.g., 123862, p. 51). Records of these contamination surveys performed by KCP's consultant health physicist (Benjamin Friesen, PhD) are available (e.g., 123862, pp. 69, 88) 		
	There are reports of four instances where contamination greater than 100 dpm/100 cm ² was detected at KCP during acceptance tests in 1985 and 1988 (123862, pp. 30, 97, 143). There are three other events described as unusual occurrences where low-levels of contamination were discovered at KCP from 1987 to 1990 (108267; 128248, p. 8; 128248, p. 15).		
	Because of these three unusual occurrences, the problem was elevated to the attention of the resident authority (senior DOE officials), and a meeting with the Albuquerque and Kansas City Area Managers was held in October of 1990 to discuss the problem (123923, p. 51). After this meeting, KCP, Sandia and Pantex revised their procedures to prevent future occurrences, and NIOSH has not found evidence of additional tritide contamination finds at KCP after these procedural upgrades.		

Effective Date	: 2/02/2015
----------------	-------------

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A agreed that the exposure potential at KCP to metal tritide contamination was minimal and isolated, with no evidence of worker uptake. Accordingly, the WG <u>closed</u> this issue.		
9	External Coworker Dose – Legibility, accuracy, and completeness of the databases (original and electronic) for use in developing a coworker external dose model has not been verified. Preliminary review indicates the lack of legibility and raises questions concerning the completeness of the external dose records	 a) See Issue #1 above concerning QA/QC of transferred data. b) To date, neither NIOSH nor SC&A has found the reason for all the recorded external doses for 1969 being zero. During the KCP visit, Brent Nasca agreed to try to determine the cause of the records for 1969 being all zero. Brent will contact SC&A if he finds anything. 	The legibility of monitoring records was verified during the May 2014 site visit and NIOSH is satisfied that there are methods available to obtain reliable monitoring results.
June 10, 2014 WG: SC&A awaiting communication from Brent Nasca, KCP, regarding status of 1969 records, which may require further follow-u NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH has located a document that independently confirms a zero dose for 1969 (137215, p. 19). KCP not been able to locate the Eberline reports from 1969, and has been notified that Eberline's successor, Thermo Fisher, does not maintain copies of reback as 1969.		y confirms a zero dose for 1969 (137215, p. 19). KCP to date has	
	ACTION for January 20, 2015 WG: The same as for matrix issue #1, with NIOSH to develop and provide a plan on how it will validate the KCP electronic record for external doses with original raw records. 7/17/15 – SEC matrix issues		
	Issue 1 & 9 – NIOSH is working on sampling review, waiting for guidance from the SEC WG coworker plan.		

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
10	Non-penetrating Dose - It appears that there are periods (especially 1950– 1963) where the details of non- penetrating exposure, dose, and records are lacking, making it difficult to evaluate non-penetrating doses to workers and for developing a coworker model.	SC&A's research of KCP claims files indicates that before 1964, there was a column labeled "RADS" th may have been used for recording of the beta dose. However, this has not been addressed in the ER or su profile documents. The relationship between recorde RADS, ROENTGENS, REM, and BETA RAD, as recorded at the KCP, needs to be defined, and how these quantities will be applied during DR (i.e., how will the non-penetrating dose be calculated from the recorded data) to determine if appropriate data were recorded for DR purposes.	of the dosimetry used from 1950 to 1963, and that KCP records show 5,000 entries for non-penetrating doses during this time. NIOSH is satisfied that the maximally exposed work group and work scenario are represented with the available data, and can bound doses to others in the evaluated class with their data.
	 questions, prior to 1964: [Notes from cal 1) Is the "Shallow" dose (such as la data cards? 2) Which column in the original da "ROENTGENS" or from the "R. 3) For DR purposes, is the non-pendot 	l and subsequent written statement of issue disposition	erived from the values in the "RADS" column of the original O of the SRDB Ref ID#14707) being derived from; the dose?

Effective Date: 2/02/2015	Draft	Page 13 of 33
---------------------------	-------	---------------

_		Post-data capture	NIOSH response			
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: The technical call between Matt Smith, ORAUT, and Ron Buchanan, SC&A, was conducted on 7/22/14. The notes from that call were sent to the Work Group by Pete Darnell on 7/31/14.					
,	The following Action Item was created during the technical call on 7/22/14:					
-	NIOSH will provide a summary of their analysis of external dose record terms and what data values are used for DR purposes.					
	The hand-entered film-badge dosimetry data (typically seen prior to 1965) had several columns labeled "RADS," "ROENT." and "REM." After reviewing the derivation of these values and information provided by site personnel, it is determined that the columns can be defined and are used by the Dose Reconstructors as follows:					
	RADS = Open Window (gamma/x-ray + beta)					
	ROENT. = Shielded (gamma/x-ray) REM = RADS + ROENT. NOTE: The REM value is a total of the RADS and ROENT. values and is not used by the dose reconstructor.					
	Historically, dose reconstructors have been assigning the RAD value for non-penetrating dose. This is a claimant-favorable approach.					
	NIOSH will also add this analysis of the pre-1965 data to the next revision of the TBD.					

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

0.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
		·	
1	N/P issues – The KCP neutron-to- photon (n/p) ratios as recommended by NIOSH are not technically correct and require additional investigation in order to develop a technically sound approach for dose reconstruction.	NIOSH's proposed method is not technically correct This is still an issue that NIOSH needs to address.	. Based on OTIB-024, the n/p ratio for alpha reaction in uranium and thorium would result in n/p ratios less than one; therefore, the ratio stated in the ER is bounding. The KCP Site Profile advises the use of an n/p ratio approach rather than the use of NTA film with a correction factor for neutron dose assignment. The data used to recommend the n/p ratio of one are from the post-NTA film era, as noted in Section 6.4.3 of the Site Profile. The occurrence of positive neutron dose during the post-NTA era is very rare, indicating a low potential for neutron exposure. It is also noted (from Table 4 in the Site Profile) that the same neutron sources were operational during the NTA and post-NTA dosimetry eras; therefore, using the modern data set to recommend an n/p ratio for the earlier era should be valid.

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
	 NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: The SRDB was searched for information related to neutron radiation at Kansas City Plant. The documents located generally corroborated the information provided in the KCP Site Profile, though some neutron sources were found to have arrived at the site earlier than stated in the site profile. Neutron generators were in use at the plant in the Test Laboratory. The sources of neutron radiation are described below: A Ra-Be source (20.48 milligrams) was used by Sandia workers while working at KCP January 19–20, 1955. Ra-Be sources typically produce an average neutron energy of 3.6 MeV. A Ra-Be source (10 milligrams) was received on July 20, 1962. A Pu-Be source was received on May 11, 1964, from General Atomics. This source was unusually strong at 10 Ci. Pu-Be sources typically produce average neutron energy ranging from 4.2–5.0 MeV. 			
	• A Pu-Be source (73 mCi) was used	from 1966–2004 for Boron-10 analysis.		
	 There were several neutron generators used (unable to determine the first period of use, but likely used in 1959). The generators identified were a Kaman Model A-800, A-850 and a GE U5493 (the GE neutron generator was delivered on July 1, 1984, and is assumed to be in use shortly after this time). The neutron energy associated with these generators was 14.7 MeV. It is indicated in 1968 that the maximum weekly exposure is 500 pulses. In 1972, measurements of the external surfaces were performed using a Texas Nuclear Neutron Dosimeter System (Model 9140) and provided the following measurements: Cabinet surface highest reading – 0.062 mrem/700 pulses and Operator Position highest reading – 0.060 mrem/700 pulses. Later, quarterly badge measurements (1990s) taken at 14 and 16 inches from the neutron output indicated the highest average pulse dose of 0.040 mrem/pulse. An additional measurement (location of measurement not provided) indicated a dose of 0.79 mrem/pulse at 10 cm from the Kaman Model A-800 neutror generator. After further evaluation, OTIB-0024, <i>Estimation of Neutron Dose Rates from Alpha-Neutron Reactions in Uranium and Thorium Compounds</i>, is not appropria and should not be used to estimate neutron dose at KCP. January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A noted that while the use of only 3 data points is not desirable, statistically, the fact that 32 positive readings were less than the: 3 data points, and there were 2,153 recorded zeros for neutrons, and considering the low potential for exposure to neutrons at the KCP, this is very likely (although not statistically strong) a claimant-favorable method. ACTION: While SC&A indicated its satisfaction with the methodology proposed, the WG agreed that SC&A should have the opportunity to firsthar review the 35 data points from which neutron dose estimation will be based at KCP. NIOSH will provide (or identify location of) the 35 data points is question to SC&A, with SC&A to report back to the WG and NIOSH its conclusions, in			
	July 17 2015, the WG <u>closed</u> this issue.			

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

Page 16 of 33

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

0.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	Fading of NTA - The fading of the NTA film as a function of time, temperature, and humidity has not been addressed, nor were there any correction factors provided. Lower- energy moderated neutrons could have gone undetected, and if detected, would show even more fading as compared to the higher-energy neutrons, such as those used for calibrating the NTA film. Quarterly exchange of NTA film, such as was used at KCP, can result in complete loss of countable track and yield zero dose recordings for low-level and/or low-energy neutron exposures. These factors could be indicative of why there were very few recorded neutron doses, and insufficient data to derive a technically sound n/p value.	During the KCP visit, records of outside vendor neutron dosimetry were located. Most of the results were recorded as minimum detectable level (M). However, with quarterly exchanges, the fading of NTA film tracks could significantly contribute to positive doses not being recorded. NTA film fading and its impact on DR is still an issue that NIOSH needs to address.	The NTA film fading is a common issue and has been discussed at multiple sites. A correction factor could be developed for KCP; however, it would take significant research and may not be justifiable since essentially all the neutron doses during the NTA era are "zero." NIOSH will perform dose reconstructions using data from the post-NTA era and will not use the NTA data.

Effective I	Date:	2/02/2015
-------------	-------	-----------

0.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response		
	 NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: As indicated in the previously submitted response to issues matrix #11, the neutron sources at the KCP appear to be confined to Ra-Be/Pu-Be sources and neutron generators. The sources produce an average neutron energy of 3.6 MeV (Ra-Be) and 4.2–5.0 MeV (Pu-Be), whereas the neutron generators produced a neutron energy of 14.7 MeV. Typically, NTA film has a neutron energy-response threshold of 0.5 MeV and would be able to detect the neutrons emitted by KCP sources/generators. In 1993, an appraisal of Radiation Protection was performed at KCP (SRDB 111275) to evaluate their compliance with DOE Order 5480.11, DOE RadCon Manual, and applicable occupational radiation protection standards and practices. The appraisal found that users of radiation-generating equipment were assigned neutron dosimeters, but there had been no measurable neutron doses in 3 years or on an area dosimeter that had been in a worst-case location for 3 months. The appraisal indicates that removal of the neutron badges appears to be justified, based on the historical information that less than 0.100 rem would be received. 				
The sources of neutrons at KCP are essentially the same throughout KCP operations, and annual doses are likely less than 0.100 rem (the dosimetry data reviewed from the site confirm that doses greater than 0.100 rem are very infrequent). Since the predominant neutron energy is well above 0.5 MeV, a correction factor (which is applied at other sites with lower-energy neutrons) is not needed. The dosimetry records from the site were reviewed and they consisted of 13,745 entries, of which 2,188 had neutron monitoring (35 with recorded positive neutron dose; remaining all had zero recorded neutron dose). Three dosimetry records were greater than 0.100 rem (0.200 rem in 1966, 0.180 rem in 1988, 0.140 rem in 1970). The 95 th percentile dose of the dosimetry records with recorded neutron dose (limited set of 35 records) results in a value of 0.154 rem. To account for unmonitored neutron sources or neutron-genera devices as indicated in the CATI or other available DOL/DOE information. This dose should be assigned using the 2–20 MeV energy range. This approach an overestimate for unmonitored employees and should be assigned as a constant distribution.		te predominant neutron energy is well above 0.5 MeV, a ne dosimetry records from the site were reviewed and they neutron dose; remaining all had zero recorded neutron dose). .140 rem in 1970). The 95 th percentile dose of the dosimetry To account for unmonitored neutron dose that may have been workers who worked with neutron sources or neutron-generating			
	January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A agrees that because of the relatively high energy of the neutron sources and the methods in which they were utilized, the potential neutron fields at the KCP would not contain significant doses of low-energy moderated neutrons. Therefore, cut-off response and fading would not be a major issue for NTA film as it would be at reactors or heavily moderated medium-energy neutron sources. Accordingly, this issue was closed by the WG.				

Page 18 of 33

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue		Post-data capture		NIOSH response
13	Mg-Th Alloy operations – In the ER NIOSH identified the Mg-Th operiod as May 1, 1957 through April 30, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 2	1979, as ceased iod, T-OTIB- tions, dose for null esidual 1 μ Ci/ml ion, and on dose TBD-	May 5-8, 2014 interview indicates that Mg alloy work commenced as early as 1954. additional air sampling data were identifi Further review of operational experience attention to incident reporting of fires) an dose reconstruction method is warranted.	No ed. (with d	NIOSH has reviewed the ER and remains satisfied that the bounding method is feasible. NIOSH is also requesting additional recently located urinalysis records to determine if modification of the bounding method is required.
	Mg-Th Machining Operations," when it is issued (8 Mg-Th received at KCP. NIOSH has requested measured NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH to Thorium and its Progeny at the Kansas City Plant SC&A's comments at January 20, 2015 WG: All contradictory information about the period April 19 On page 8 it is written: "After March 1963, the	s issued (8/ uested med G: NIOSH s City Plan 5 WG: Alt d April 196 h 1963, the formed in I	 (15/14) and provide response to work group lical records that may contain thorium bioas i used the available information and created t during Mg-Th Machining. hough NIOSH made clear that the Mg-Th r 63–August 1970. ere is no other KCP documentation of Mg Department 20 and the Model Shop for the I 	b. NIO ssay da a Resp nachini g-Th m Radec p	ponse Paper to address this issue titled, <i>Internal Exposures</i> ing operations at KCP started August 23, 1961, there is nachining at KCP or elsewhere until August 28, program (SRDB 108264, PDF p. 26). This 1963–1970

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

were only performed in this department would be important to better define M	nent until September 21, 1970, when they were mo	partment 22, Heavy Machining Area) <u>August 23, 1961 and</u>	
would be important to better define M		wed to the Model Shop " SC&A believes more information	
performed in the period April 1905–A	were only performed in this department until September 21, 1970, when they were moved to the Model Shop." SC&A believes more information would be important to better define Mg-Th machining operations for the period April 1963–August 1970. If Mg-Th machining operations were performed in the period April 1963–August 28 1970, in which department did those operations take place?		
On page 8 of NIOSH's paper it is written: "This 1963–1970 time period with no Mg-Th machining is the same period that DU machining operations were ramping up in Department 20."			
n NIOSH's response to item 17 of KCP SEC issues matrix for Jan 20 WG meeting it was written: "In 1966, as DU machining work was winding down in D/20 (the only orders left were for rings and seal plugs), plans were made to transition to a new project machining polyurethane foam, and to clean			
Instructions were provided that stated, "wet wipe all the walls and ceiling and other areas as the entire area walls and ceiling will be repainted, floors stripped and resealed, and equipment requiring decontamination decontaminated. Some of the equipment will go to Department 34C and can be packaged and			
nature of the contamination was described as follows: "Contamination is commingled with dust and is easily removed, either with soap and water or by vacuuming. Contamination levels are generally near the "open area" limit with the 'exception of bus ducts and ventilator-diffuser openings" (123895, pp		t and is easily removed, either with soap and water or by	
While NIOSH and SC&A agree there are no monitoring data after October 1970, SC&A disagrees that there should be reliance on program performance and "environmental working conditions" to lend confidence on the use of the 3E-11 μ Ci/ml limit as bounding for this later period, and that applying any air monitoring data from Department 20 to the Model Shop location post-1971 would not be necessarily equivalent.			
Beyond these questions, SC&A agrees with NIOSH's response to item #3 of its white paper, in that a constant value of $3E-11 \mu$ Ci/ml, and not the lower va of $1.1E-11 \mu$ Ci/ml (NBS 69 value for Th-232 based on the lung as critical organ), should be applied, as it is more claimant favorable.			
ACTION: SC&A is to complete its review of the NIOSH white paper and provide a formal response to the WG and NIOSH, with joint efforts during the anticipated KCP site visit to find more information regarding the 1966–1970 D20 and 1971–1979 Model Shop Mg-Th operations (for the latter with attention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model shop). The sub-issue of most claimant-favorable Th-228/Th-232 proportion (0.19 triple separation or equilibrium) for each cancer organ has been acknowledged as a TBD issue.			
<u>)</u> n n a a v e n h t	were ramping up in Department 20. NIOSH's response to item 17 of KCP S (20 (the only orders left were for rings) (20 and make it into an open area (12) structions were provided that stated, " da resealed, and equipment requiring dec ansported to Department 34C. Some of the ature of the contamination was described accuming. Contamination levels are gen while NIOSH and SC&A agree there are a environmental working conditions" to ler onitoring data from Department 20 to the eyond these questions, SC&A agrees w 1.1E-11 μ Ci/ml (NBS 69 value for Th-2 CTION: SC&A is to complete its revise e anticipated KCP site visit to find mo- tention to engineering process control	were ramping up in Department 20." NIOSH's response to item 17 of KCP SEC issues matrix for Jan 20 WG meeting it was writt (20 (the only orders left were for rings and seal plugs), plans were made to transition to a (20 and make it into an open area (123895, p. 132). The Facilities group cleaned, and the structions were provided that stated, "wet wipe all the walls and ceiling and other areas as a resealed, and equipment requiring decontamination decontaminated. Some of the equipment ansported to Department 34C. Some of the equipment will have to be disposed" (123895, ture of the contamination was described as follows: "Contamination is commingled with dust accuming. Contamination levels are generally near the "open area" limit with the 'exception of "hile NIOSH and SC&A agree there are no monitoring data after October 1970, SC&A disagr nvironmental working conditions" to lend confidence on the use of the 3E-11 μ Ci/ml limit as onitoring data from Department 20 to the Model Shop location post-1971 would not be necess eyond these questions, SC&A agrees with NIOSH's response to item #3 of its white paper, if 1.1E-11 μ Ci/ml (NBS 69 value for Th-232 based on the lung as critical organ), should be append CTION: SC&A is to complete its review of the NIOSH white paper and provide a form the anticipated KCP site visit to find more information regarding the 1966–1970 D20 and tention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model and tention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model were and the security of the model shop location post-1970 based and tention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model tention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model tention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model tention to engineering process controls and a reported laboratory located under the model tention to e	

Effective Date	: 2/02/2015
----------------	-------------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

0.
4

This is a working document prepared by NIOSH or its contractor for use in discussions with the ABRWH or its Working Groups or Subcommittees. Draft, preliminary, interim, and White Paper documents are not final NIOSH or ABRWH (or their technical support and review contractors) positions unless specifically marked as such. This document represents preliminary positions taken on technical issues prepared by NIOSH or its contractor. **NOTICE: This report has been reviewed to identify and redact any information that is protected by the** <u>Privacy Act 5 USC §552a</u> and has been cleared for distribution.

Effective	Date:	2/02/2015
-----------	-------	-----------

).	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 visit to generate search terms used to locate records related to implementation of 10 CFR 835. The site-visit team reviewed these records and retrieved documents that provide detailed information regarding the adequacy of KCP's monitoring program after 1993.		
	NIOSH has reviewed appraisals of the KG	CP HP program that lead up to the end of the SEC eval	luation period.
	A September 1990 appraisal conducted by the DOE's Albuquerque Operations Office summarized that, "Implementation of the KCP Health Physics Program and the Radiation Protection Program meets, and in several areas exceeds, the minimum requirements set forth in the applicable DOE Orders, Regulations and Standards. Review of the Radiation Protection Program by the appraisal team revealed a well-designed HP approach to the conduct of radiological operations at the KCP" (128208, p. 16).		
	In 1990, the DOE Kansas City Area Manager and KCP's president certified that contamination is controlled on site (128240, p. 5), and certified compliance with posting and other requirements of DOE Order 5480.11 and ANSI Standard N2.1 (137035).		
	In 1990, KCP certified the following appraisal action item as complete: "Revise and in many cases create a viable Radiation Protection Program that meets the requirements of DOE Order 5480.11; implement this program plant-wide" (137036).		
	KCP's 1990 self-assessment sent to DOE stated, "a health physics action plan has also been prepared which addresses a comprehensive radiation protection program. A radiation-protection program manual has been published and internal procedures issued which require departments to come into compliance with requirements or write action plans to bring them into compliance within 60 days. Basic radiation training has been given to the entire plant population; all radiation sources have been inventoried and sampled for leakage" (137093 p. 24).		
	A 1991 Health Physics audit performed by Benjamin Friesen, PhD, found that KCP's Radiation Protection Program is based on a policy statement signed and endorsed by the highest KCP management. Based upon this commitment and all relevant DOE, federal and state regulations, the Radiation Protection Program Manual was generated and approved (137086, p. 5).		
	KCP received DOELAP accreditation in November 1992 (137219). In December 1992, a letter to the DOE reports that although KCP has 140 employees qualified as radiation workers, none of them receive greater than KCP's local control limit (100 mr/yr), and, in fact, the entire site dose for all 140 rad workers combined was 160 mr (128330, p. 13).		
	In DOE's 1995 review (137091) and in the Radiological Control Manual revision (137139) there is no indication of inadequately monitored exposures occurring at KCP.		
	Interviews with Brent Nasca did not reveal any difficulties with 10 CFR 835 implementation or indications of inadequately monitored exposures after 1993.		

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
	documentation in the years between pror ensure compliance. This included DOEI	nulgation of 835 and its implementation at KCP by 19 AP accreditation for KCP in November 1992. An intra- nentation or instances of inadequately monitored expos	program documentation that addressed this question. All 93, indicates a comprehensive approach by KCP and DOE to erview with Brent Nasca, an HP at KCP during this timeframe, sures after 1993.	
15	Thorium oxide operations – Need to validate that KCP laboratory operations involving ThO ₂ were bench scale in nature, and therefore, had negligible exposure potential.	DOE inventory review indicates a standing inventory of "non-alloyed" (i.e., non-MgTh) thorium at KCP in the 1970s-1980s. No associated KCP program has yet been identified, or attendant bioassay program and related bioassays. One interviewee from 5/5-8/14 visit recalled a "room devoted to thorium;" that it was in "powder form." However, other interviewees to date do not acknowledge any programmatic activity involving thorium other than MgTh (and formulation of laboratory-scale standards; one interviewee noted that thorium oxide powder was retained for use as an ICAAP standard). Further review is warranted.	Interviewees were asked about operations involving ThO ₂ at the May 2014 site visit and no large-scale operations were identified. The Industrial Hygienist provided additional documents during the May 2014 site visit that NIOSH will review after they are ADC reviewed.	
	June 10, 2014 WG: NIOSH and SC&A review of Bill Frede documents (may need to be re-requested), weekly activity reports (once available), and other source documents, to identify any additional information regarding presence of thorium oxide at KCP outside of laboratory standard quantities. NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 visit to generate search terms used to locate thorium records. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports, documents provided by Bill Frede, Brent Nasca and other documents that provide detailed information regarding thorium. Thorium inventory information was obtained from the Nuclear Material Management and Safeguard System (NMMSS) database (137786). The information appears to be incomplete, but it is another data point for NIOSH to consider. For example, KCP is said to possess thorium as alloyed metal in kilogram quantities from 1971 to 1976; however, NIOSH has shown that KCP possessed Mg-Th from 1957 to 1979. It may be that NMMSS tracking did not begin until 1971, and that the only Mg-Th present at KCP after 1976 was as waste awaiting disposal.			

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

0.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
	The NMMSS inventory also shows that KCP possessed kilogram quantities of a non-alloyed thorium from 1971 to 1976. The KCP NMMSS custodian was asked for information regarding this material; however, being new to the position, she was unable to offer any insight.			
	NIOSH has reviewed inventory documents known as AQA Statement of Measurement Methods that cover the time from July 1969 to September 1978. Although these inventories show Mg-Th (2% thorium) quantities starting in 1971 through September 1978, there is no mention of non-alloyed thorium forms, such as thorium oxide. It is possible that laboratory materials were not included in these reports to DOE, if their total site quantities were maintained at less than 500 g (123922, p. 3).			
	Other documents related to KCP operation	as with non-alloyed thorium that were recently review	wed by NIOSH include the following.	
	There is a 1967 proposal to perform thori	um electroplating work in Department 34C (D/34C) ((137074, p. 56)	
		In 1977, there was work planning associated with electronic assemblies (film circuit resister arrays for measuring the intensity profile of laser beams) with a thorium fluoride coated copper substrate, supplied by an outside vendor (137074 pp. 25–28).		
	There is a 1979 waste survey showing 22 cu. ft. of a thorium nitrate (10 μ Ci Th-232) liquid solution in an absorbent (134675, p. 99). There is also a 1979 Solid Radioactive Waste Data inventory that shows this material (10 μ Ci Th-232 or 92 nuclide grams) in absorbent, and a waste shipment record that shows it as one gallon of liquid containing 10 μ Ci Th-232 (137080, p. 58; 134514, pp. 33, 42).			
	A 1980 inventory of D/185 (old jet engine test cell) shows 1 gallon of thorium nitrate waste that was received a year earlier (134675, p. 223). This material fit into three 55-gallon drums and weighed 274 lbs.			
	A 1985 Avoid Verbal Orders (AVO) memo from D/541 to D/187 (Material Conservation and Reclamations) that mentions 24 µCi or 500 grams thorium nitrate waste (137045, pp. 5, 95).			
	1985 investigation report of a 500 g thorium nitrate shipment with no shipping papers stored for 2 months at Receiving, that was shipped to KCP by mistake instead of a facility in Maryland (137074, p. 15).			
	A document that shows that the Solutions Analysis section of the Materials Evaluation Lab (D/816) and the Minilab (D/61) store less than 200 g of thorium nitrate to "maintain production and critical development support." Wastes in these labs are to be kept in a designated 5-gallon container and sent to Waste Management when full (137074, p. 21).			
		e Th-232) listed in SWIMS, and again as thorium nit 1985 AVO memo referenced above (137094, pp. 68,	trate (500 grams) in unclassified waste barrel #5. This is most	

Draft

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	A 1986 procurement document that describes thorium nitrate handling controls, including a 500-g site limit before accountability is required. This document also lists an 810 g shipment to LANL as a "chemical reagent" waste (123922, pp. 2, 15).		
	There are 1986 waste handling instructions for thorium nitrate used to make a solution in labs. The lab's "usage is very small," and the solution used contains a very small quantity of thorium nitrate (13.8 g used to make a 0.1 normal solution prior to a "fluorine titration" process). Also listed in the document is shipping information for 0.11 μ Ci/g or 13- μ Ci total of Th-232 (137074, pp. 8–13).		
	A 1987 source inventory that shows a 4.7	7 nCi Th-230 source (128231, p. 6).	
	A 1988 document that describes "research" with solution concentrations of 10 to 100 grams of thorium per liter (1.1 to 11 μ Ci per liter) and less than 2 liters per day will be generated. This work will be strictly research and development and the anticipated quantities may not exceed 150 lbs/yr (to meet the 10 CFR 40 exemption). The work will be carried out solely in the laboratory and drain disposal is not allowed for thorium used in R&D (137042).		
	There is a 1989 waste container inventory that has an entry for 113 grams or 0.09 µCi thorium oxide, and another for 100 grams or 10 µCi (137092, pp. 25, 26).		
	A 1989 multi-site (Pinellas, Mound, Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute, and KCP) radioisotope report to DOE, lists 12 Ci Th-230 as the maximum allowable to be stored as solid waste in the Main Manufacturing building. The report is a pre-decisional draft and states that it is important to note this information is preliminary data and is subject to change (13225, pp. 7, 14).		
	A 1989 radioactive material inventory states that 20 g per year of thorium is used in D/61 and D/838 (formerly D/816) to make a 0.1 N thorium nitrate solution (128430, p. 42)		
	A 1990 inventory that tracked the following radioactive sources: thorium nitrate for "Solution Analysis" operation; thorium oxide coated tungsten for "XPS" filaments; thorium oxide as a spectrographic standard (128430, p. 18).		
	A 1992 document states that commercially available thorium laboratory reagents are considered non-regulated (128330, p. 9).		
	In December 1992, a letter to the DOE reports an "approximate" amount of 600 g of Th-230 as a compound on a waste inventory (128330, p. 20). A 1993 material safety-data sheet states that the thorium (IV) oxide stored in D/838 was manufactured by Johnson Matthey Cat Chem. (137074 p. 84). At this time, NIOSH is not aware of any other thorium operations at KCP except for weld rods (e.g. 2.5% thorium dioxide; 137074, p. 85). This information, combined with the interviews of former employees, leaves NIOSH convinced that KCP's thorium work can continue to be characterized as small-scale laboratory research.		

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	documentation located to date. The current deferred to the WG on whether further in	at the NMMSS inventory information has not yet been recoment NMMSS custodian at KCP is relatively new to the position vestigation is warranted; one possibility would be a search of the second sidentified by NIOSH from the last data fucting onsite searches.	on and has been unable to offer any insight. SC&A f existing Materials Accountability files specific to KCP.
	July 17 2015, the WG <u>closed</u> this issue		
16	Natural Uranium, 1950-1958: Application of TBD-6000 Need to validate proposed application of TBD-6000 methodology to determine if recommended concentrations bound internal doses for workers that had less exposure potential, or were unmonitored, for internal exposures to natural uranium (NU).	a) SC&A's review of the application of TBD-6000 for the exposure to NU during the period of 1950-1955 at KCP found the approach adopted in the SEC to be scientifically sound and claimant favorable, as long as there were no other radiological operations taking place in the Main Manufacturing Building during this time period. b) SC&A's review of the use of TBD-6000 methodology for unmonitored workers uranium intakes for the 1955-1958 residual time period found the approach adopted in the SEC PER to be scientifically sound and claimant favorable, as long as there were no other radiological operations taking place in the Main Manufacturing Building during this time period. SC&A finds that NIOSH's use of TBD-6000 addresses the	Interviewees were asked about access controls and freedom of movement throughout KCP during the May 2014 site visit. NIOSH remains satisfied that the methodology documented in the ER is bounding. The TBD-6000 Working Group has also generically addressed the use of the surrogate modeling used in the ER.

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A's white paper titled, <i>Review of Internal Exposures to Uranium at the Kansas City Plant Using TBD-6000 and ORAUT-OTIB-0070 as Surrogate Data</i> , provides additional rigor to NIOSH's KCP SEC evaluation. SC&A independently affirmed NIOSH's conclusions using analysis not performed by NIOSH who was required to complete the ER within 180 days. [NIOSH does not disagree with SC&A's analysis or conclusions; however, minor comments were provided as clarification for SC&A consideration.]			
	January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A's original finding was the need to validate the proposed application of TBD-6000 methodology to determine if the recommended concentrations bound internal doses for workers that had less potential, or were unmonitored, for internal exposures to natural uranium. From SC&A's white paper assessment, two conclusions were reached: (1) SC&A's review of the application of TBD-6000 for the exposure to natural uranium in period 1950–1955 at KCP found the approach adopted in the ER to be scientifically sound and claimant favorable, as long as there were no other radiologic operations taking place in the Main Manufacturing Building during this time period; and (2) SC&A's review of the use of TBD-6000 methodology for unmonitored worker uranium intakes for the 1955–1958 residual period was found to be similarly valid under the same conditions. The only additional clarification would be assurance that no other activities were going on in the Main Manufacturing Building from 1955 to 1958, other than machining uraniu metal.Regarding the additional clarification questions and comments posed by NIOSH regarding the white paper, SC&A concurs with the suggested changes and clarifications provided, but will provide these specific responses by separate correspondence (e.g., e-mail).SC&A recommended WG closure of this issue, assuming that NIOSH provides the "mapping" of historical radiological operations that was included under matrix issue (which provides added confirmation that the natural uranium operations were the only radiological activity at the time).ACTION: NIOSH to forward copies of mapping diagrams of KCP historic radiological operations for review by WG members and SC&A.			
	July 17 2015, the WG <u>closed</u> this issue.			
17	D&D activities – Need to validate scope and monitoring status of D&D activities; ER assumes D&D confined to 1984–1986 period and applies assumed air concentration parameter for general employee exposure.	Interviews have not identified any significant D&D ot than that in 1984–1986. Other D&D related activities involved contaminated equipment, e.g., DU machining No evidence has been found of exposure potential for plant workers during D&D. Further review of weekly activity reports and other sources of operational information is warranted.	D&D activities by Rockwell International or other contractors during the May 2014 site visit. NIOSH remains satisfied that the ER's description of D&D	

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
	June 10, 2014 WG: NIOSH and SC&A to review weekly activity reports (when available) and other source documents for further evidence of D&D activities. (Radiological maps from matrix issue #16, above, helpful to view radiological operational changes over time).			
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 site visit to generate search terms used to locate D&D records. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports and other documents that provide detailed information regarding D&D. NIOSH reviewed the following information that describes decontamination activities other than those described in the ER.			
	In 1964, there are surface contamination survey results with grid drawings of the machining area, and instructions to perform decontamination. It was requested, "all walls, lighting fixtures, piping and other equipment be wet-washed and the walls painted to remove or fix any loose particulate. The area is to be monitored after decontamination" (123895, pp. 11, 32). There are also reports of individual machines decontaminated in1964, 65 (123895, pp. 20, 129, 155, 163).			
	In 1966, as DU machining work was winding down in D/20 (the only orders left were for rings and seal plugs), plans were made to transition to a new project machining polyurethane foam, and to clean D/20 and make it into an open area (123895, p. 132). The Facilities group cleaned, and the Industrial Hygiene group surveyed D/20D and D/217-20D. Instructions were provided that stated, "wet wipe all the walls and ceiling and other areas as the entire area walls and ceiling will be repainted, floors stripped and resealed, and equipment requiring decontamination decontaminated. Some of the equipment will go to Department 34C and can be packaged and transported to Department 34C. Some of the equipment will have to be disposed" (123895, p. 133). Areas were surveyed for alph contamination, and the nature of the contamination was described as follows: "Contamination is commingled with dust and is easily removed, either with soap and water or by vacuuming. Contamination levels are generally near the "open area" limit with the exception of bus ducts and ventilator-diffuser openings" (123895, p. 141). In 1967, there was a request for improved house keeping in D/34C (123895, pp. 80, 87).			
	In 1977, there is a decon procedure for DU and beryllium-contaminated equipment that was performed in the Model Shop (137215, p. 23), and in 1978, decontamination and disposal information including surface contamination survey results for equipment and ventilation used with DU operations (137215, p. 3).			
		ansitioned between projects. NIOSH believes that thi	nining area contamination, and undertook less-formal but s new information continues to support the general cleanliness of	

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	D&D activities over the 60+ year plant hi WG, NIOSH and SC&A were to review were reflects our joint review that found a num contaminated equipment. There is also eve Rockwell D&D of 1984–1986 is boundin a monitoring program. A programmatic be ACTION: SC&A to clarify possible par D&D activities would have either been would then determine its approach and 7/17/15 – Issue 7 – 17 – WG came to and D&D workers)	story, and whether 1984–1986 dose estimating approace weekly activity reports and other source material for fu- ber of other instances of D&D activities from the 1960 vidence in SRDB 132757 of D&D in KCP area D434-2 g of all other D&Ds at KCP; it needs to be confirmed to basis alone would not be sufficient for bounding purpo- th forward on what data or information would infor- already monitored or whose potential exposure work propose same to the WG. agreement on both these issues (NIOSH will approximation)	rm a NIOSH analysis of whether KCP workers in historic ald be bound by proposed NIOSH methods at KCP. NIOSH ply DU coworker model to all unmonitored radwaste
18	Accidents, Incidents, and Fires in Worker's Record - The status of the recording of accidents, incidents, and fires in the worker's records needs to be determined. Specifically, NIOSH needs to establish whether internal intakes and external doses from accidents, incidents, and uranium fires were included in the records NIOSH has available for dose reconstruction or whether they need to be obtained and accounted for separately from the standard recorded intakes and doses. This would include the 1987 erbium tritide and the 1989 Pm-147 incidents, as well as intakes from uranium fires.	Routine recording of workplace incidents was not identified until "weekly activity reports" were found microfilm format during 5/5-8/14 KCP visit – a searc all such available reports has been requested. A limit sampling of such weeklies for the mid-1960s found routine reporting of workplace fires, spills, and accid	<i>h for</i> <i>ed</i> 2014 site visit. A dose investigation that includes a statement about it being added to an employee's records (SRDB 128233) was shown to the HP and he stated that

Effective Date: 2/02/2015	Draft	Page 29 of 33
---------------------------	-------	---------------

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response	
	June 10, 2014 WG: NIOSH and SC&A to review weekly activity reports (when available) to better characterize historic accidents, incidents, and fires with radiological exposure implications.			
	NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 site visit to generate search terms used to locate records related to accidents, incidents and fires. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports, and other documents that provide detailed information regarding these mishaps. The following reports were reviewed by NIOSH.			
	In 1963, there is a detailed evaluation of KCP's response to a fire in D/22 that resulted from the overheating of an immersion heater. The results of the evaluation indicate that, "there were no internal or external radiation exposures or contamination levels of any significance as a result of this incident" (123895, pp. 45, 48, 66).			
	From 1963 to 1975, there is a folder titled Radiation Incidents containing 153 pages of historical information on radiological incidents. None of the incidents were significant in terms of radiation exposures to large numbers of workers. Most apparent doses from the incidents were reasonably small (128479).			
	In 1976, there was a shipment of Mg-Th	eceived from a vendor without proper shipping paper	s (137074, p. 30).	
	In 1984, High Dosimeter Readings Invest	igation where a worker was x-raying parts for flaws g	ot a high reading on his dosimeter (128289).	
	In 1985, an investigation of a worker's hi whole body and 11.153 rem to the skin (1		/435 performing NDT activities. TLD readings of 3.561 rem	
	In 1986, there is a report of a 0.66 rem do curtains on cabinets (123843).	se assigned a worker from an x-ray exposure to his do	osimeter while working with x-ray beams while using electro	

Effective Date: 2/02/2015	Draft	Page 30 of 33

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
<u>NO.</u>	In 1989, beta contamination, above limits were shipped to KCP by mistake (137073) In May 9, 1990, low levels of thallium-20 be lost on May 29, 1990, and it was deter that 0.06 microcuries of Thallium 204, th contamination had been determined to be In a 1990 Exposure Investigation, two wo An investigation was conducted with no to having trouble distinguishing between low A 1991 investigation of an unusual beta of	, was discovered on parts received from Y-12 and ide b). 4 were found on a source holder case during routine s mined that it was likely disposed as waste. The evaluate e case poses no health hazard. Cross contamination and firmly affixed to the case" (137118, 137066). orkers' TLDs read unusual shallow doses of 239 and 1 esolution as to the dose readings. The dosimeter vence w-energy photons and shallow (beta) skin doses. The lose reports that an Electronic Equipment Technician is	ntified as DU (137076), and several bottles of krypton-85 gas urveys of the waste storage area. This same case was reported to ation concluded that since "the case was contaminated with less and subsequent internal deposition is unlikely, as the 48 mrem and no deep doses while working as x-ray film readers. ler was contacted and TMA/Eberline did indicate that they were doses were assigned to the workers (128300). n D/132/134 performed work on "Neutron Testers" using tritium
 tritides and Ba-133 sources, and received a dose of 60 mrem on his TLD. An investigation ensued and the worker was assigned the dose (128233). NIOSH to date has not discovered any events at KCP that rise to the level of a discrete incident as described in 42 CFR 83.13(c)(3)(i), or any events that could not be bounded with the ER's methods. January 20, 2015 WG: SC&A noted that a number of records were found during the October 2014 visit that identify accidents, incidents, and fires at KCP. While weekly activity reports were only located for a narrow range of years (mid 1960s, early 1970s), a folder entitled "Radiation Incidents" documents incidents from 1963–1975. None of these incidents were significant in terms of exposure to large numbers of workers and all could be bounded by NIOSH's DR approach. SC&A deferred to the WG regarding whether closure or additional document searches are warranted. ACTION: SC&A and NIOSH to continue searching for additional incident reporting during the upcoming KCP site visit; the WG will review NIOSH's SRDB citations provided. July 17 2015, the WG closed this issue. 			

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

[0.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response		
9 Th pe un 7.4 wa su po ass sp to im rec po rec	otentially Unmonitored Exposures – The ER mentions the concerns of a etitioner about potentially mmonitored exposures in Section .4.4. However, the response in the ER vas that NIOSH has determined it has ufficient information to document otential exposures and bound ssociated doses. Since these are pecific items, it would be appropriate o address the monitoring requirements, mpacted workers, and available ecords associated with each exposure otential to determine dose econstructability for these potential xposures.	Inventory review and interviews indicate no plutonia and weapons grade uranium-235 and uranium-233 w present at KCP, except in gram quantities in sealed sources, or as isolated fugitive contamination in reta External radiation sources, e.g., electron beam weld accelerators, cesium irradiator, and Electro Curtain would have been monitored through film and TLD badges. Potential tritium exposure will be addresse a separate issue. Further review of operational reco and incident reports is warranted.	 were unmonitored exposures were listed in the ER as follows: "Many surface and airborne uranium isotopes, plutonium, tritium, weapons grade uranium-235, uranium-233, neutrons and other ionizing radiation from industrial X-ray gauging devices, electron beam welders, neutron generators, neutron plutonium-beryllium sources, accelerators, cesium irradiator, medical X-ray, 		

June 10, 2014 WG: With tritium as the only source term either not discounted or not addressed adequately by NIOSH, the work group <u>closed</u> this matrix issue, deferring to matrix issue #20 to address the question of tritium as a potential exposure source at KCP.

Effective Date:	2/02/2015
-----------------	-----------

Page 32 of 33

KANSAS CITY PLANT SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT (SEC 210) ISSUES MATRIX

).	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
)	NEW ISSUE: TRITIUM	During its May 2014 KCP site visit, SC&A identified availability of KCP site "weekly activities" reports t were compiled internally by Bendix and preserved or microfilm. In its preliminary review of one such roll weeklies for mid-1964 highlighted the start of a heretofore unknown tritium bottling operation where quantities of tritium (obtained from Sandia) were rebottled into 4 ounce quantities for a yet unknown u This early review also found that these reports conta considerable information on accidents and incidents well as operational status. Recommend that the WG open a new issue and furthe review continue.	that documents obtained during the May 2014 visit that indicate KCP performed an operation where tritium water was transferred from one-gallon polyethylene bottles to 4-ounce bottles. These documents indicate that KCP obtained procedures from Sandia to perform urinalysis and that KCP was "set-up" to handle tritium water (equipment operating satisfactorily and calibrated before they received the first (8) gallon shipment in August 1964. This water was received from Sandia and certified at 226 μ Ci/l. There are also indications within these reports that KCP ordered (7) additional gallons of

NIOSH status for January 20, 2015 WG: NIOSH collaborated with SC&A and the Board in advance of the October 2014 site visit to generate search terms used to locate tritium records. The site-visit team reviewed these records, retrieved activity reports and other documents that provide detailed information regarding tritium operations. NIOSH used the available information and created a White Paper titled *Tritium at the Kansas City Plant*.

Effective Date: 2/02/2015	Draft	Page 33 of 33

No.	SEC Issue	Post-data capture	NIOSH response
	lack of specific information regarding sou without use of broad assumptions, no mat ACTION: NIOSH to update white pap new documentation regarding tritium of	arce terms, operational conditions, and workplace mea tter how scientifically founded. It is also not clear wh	c characterized historic tritium operations at KCP. However, the asurements makes it difficult to bound potential worker doses ich KCP workers were involved in these operations. e term; both NIOSH and SC&A to continue looking for any