ORAU Team Dose Reconstruction Project for NIOSH	Document Number: ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3 Effective Date: 12/29/2004	
Technical Basis Document for the Los Alamos National Laboratory – Occupational Medical Dose	Revision No.: 00 Controlled Copy No.: Page 1 of 23	
Subject Expert: Paul G. Johnson	Supersedes:	
Document Owner Approval: Signature on File Date: 12/17/2004 Jack E. Buddenbaum, TBD Team Leader	None	
Approval: Signature on File Date: 12/20/2004 Judson L. Kenoyer, Task 3 Manager		
Concurrence: Signature on File Date: 12/20/2004 Richard E. Toohey, Project Director		
Approval: Signature on File Date: 12/29/2004 James W. Neton, Associate Director for Science		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Record of Issue/Revisions	3
Acronyms and Abbreviations	4
3.1 Introduction	5
3.2 Examination Frequencies	5
3.3 Equipment and Techniques	6
3.4 Organ Dose Calculations (Types II through V, 1964 - Present)	9
3.5 Reconstruction Using Default Values 3.5.1 Application and Reporting of Occupational Medical X-Ray Dose Reconstruction 3.5.2 Photofluorography	12
3.6 Reconstruction of Organ Dose from Radiography Equipment Using Minimal Collimation	13
References	18
Attachment 3B Occupational Medical Dose	20

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3-1	Frequency of occupational chest X-rays at LANL	5
3-2	X-ray equipment used at LANL	
3-3	Technique factors used for each type of X-ray equipment	7
3-4	Beam quality and HVL values used to determine DCFs for dose calculations	9
3-5	Analogues for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34	12
3-6	Default dose values by procedure	12
3-7	Organ doses for default entrance kerma values	15
3-8	Substitute dose conversion factors	16
3B-1	Doses for organs identified in ICRP 34 PA chest view	21
3B-2	Dose for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34 PA chest view	21
3B-3	Doses for organs identified in ICRP 34 LAT chest view	
3B-4	Dose for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34 LAT chest view	21
3B-5	Total dose for organs identified in ICRP 34 PA and LAT view	22
3B-6	Total dose for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34 PA and LAT chest view	22
3B-7	Organ doses for photofluorography examinations	

Effective Date: 12/29/2004 Revision No. 00 Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3 Page	Effective Date: 12/29/2004	Revision No. 00	Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3	Page 3 of 23
--	----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	--------------

RECORD OF ISSUE/REVISIONS

ISSUE AUTHORIZATION DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	REV. NO.	DESCRIPTION
Draft	04/23/2004	00-A	Technical basis document for the Los Alamos National Laboratory – Occupational Medical Dose. Initiated by Jack E. Buddenbaum.
Draft	06/02/2004	00-B	Incorporates comments from internal and NIOSH review. Initiated by Jack E. Buddenbaum.
Draft	07/30/2004	00-C	Incorporates comments from internal and NIOSH review. Initiated by Jack E. Buddenbaum.
Draft	10/09/2004	00-D	Incorporates comments from internal and NIOSH review. Initiated by Jack E. Buddenbaum.
Draft	12/15/2004	00-E	Incorporates additional comments from internal and NIOSH review. Initiated by Jack E. Buddenbaum.
12/29/2004	12/29/2004	00	First approved issue. Initiated by Jack E. Buddenbaum.

	Effective Date: 12/29/2004	Revision No. 00	Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3	Page 4 of 23
--	----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	--------------

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AP anterior-posterior

cm centimeter

DCF dose conversion factor
DOE U.S. Department of Energy

ESE Entrance Skin Exposure

Gy Gray

HVL half value layer

ICRP International Commission on Radiological Protection

in. inch

IREP Interactive RadioEpidemiological Program

kerma kinetic energy released in matter

keV kiloelectron-Volt kVp kilovolt-peak

LANL Los Alamos National Laboratory
LASL Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

LAT lateral

mAs milliampere-second

mGy milliGray mm millimeter mR milliroentgen

NCRP National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements

PA posterior-anterior PFG photofluorography

R roentgen

rad cgs unit for absorbed dose rem cgs unit for dose equivalent

RMS root mean square

SID Source-to-Image Distance SSD Source-to-Skin Distance

TBD technical basis document

UC University of California

U.S.C United States Code

WB whole body

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Technical Basis Documents (TBDs) and Site Profile Documents are general working documents that provide guidance concerning the preparation of dose reconstructions at particular sites or categories of sites. They will be revised in the event additional relevant information is obtained about the affected site(s). These documents may be used to assist the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health in the completion of the individual work required for each dose reconstruction.

In this document, the word "facility" is used as a general term for an area, building, or group of buildings that served a specific purpose at a site. It does not necessarily connote an "atomic weapons employer facility" or a "Department of Energy facility" as defined in the Energy Employee Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. § 7384I (5) and (12)).

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) required pre-employment and annual physical examinations as part of its occupational health and safety program. These medical examinations typically included diagnostic chest X-rays. The doses from these diagnostic procedures depended not only on the characteristics of the X-ray machine and the procedures used, but also on the frequency of the examinations.

3.2 **EXAMINATION FREQUENCIES**

The frequency of examinations differed significantly over the years. Occupational X-rays might have occurred more frequently than the schedule indicated in Table 3-1. Supplemental claimant-specific frequency data might be recorded in the claimant file provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

Table 3-1. Frequency of occupational chest X-rays at LANL

Period	Frequencies	Comment
1943-1963	Semiannual	All UC and Zia Company workers
1964-1984	Annual	Beryllium*, Health Research Laboratory workers
	Annual	All new hire baselines
1985-1990	Annual	All employees
	Annual	All new hire baselines
1991-1992	Annual	All new hire baselines
	Annual	Asbestos-beryllium-silica-cadmium-carcinogens*
1992-1994	Annual	Asbestos-beryllium-silica-cadmium*
1994-2001	Annual	Cadmium*
	Based on age and years of exposure**	Asbestos-beryllium-silica*
2001-present	Every 5 years	Beryllium*
	Based on age and years of exposure**	Asbestos*

Specified for workers listed as potentially exposed to particular hazards;

Estimated exposure frequencies:

(*) Years of	Age of employee			
exposure	15-35	35-45	45-over	
0-10	Every 5 years	Every 5 years	Every 5 years	
Over 10	Every 5 years	Every 2 years	Every 1 year	

Table 3-1 lists frequencies of chest X-rays for different age groups through the years and identifies specific groups of workers (LASL 1944, LASL 1954). Beginning in the early 1960s, the X-ray units used for screening examinations were in the H-2 Division Occupational Medicine Building (SM-409). According to 1977 and 1978 letter reports, each examination included two chest radiographs

[posterior-anterior (PA) and lateral (LAT)] per patient (Gutierrez and Haynie 1977, 1978). The methods described in 1970s operating procedures are consistent with techniques and methods that were used in the early 1960s and through 1984 (LASL 1972, LASL 1976). The procedures mention that technicians received a copy of the latest revision of National Bureau of Standards Handbook 76 and were expected to be familiar with the pertinent parts of that document. The procedures over the six-year period reflect changes in the methods and techniques used in the 1970s and presumed to continue up through 1984. In 1985, LANL occupational medicine group changed to the Type III equipment.

Laboratory records describe examination techniques used prior to 1957 involved the use of photofluorography (PFG) for the physical screening exams. Two references describe photoroentgen exams as the primary techniques for pre-employment examinations at LASL up until 1957 (Hardy 1950; LASL 1957). Thus, assignment of medical dose for years prior to 1957 must use the use default values presented in Section 3.5.2. If the claim file does not include a specification of the type of examination performed (i.e., chest radiograph or PFG) prior to 1957 then the dose reconstructors should calculate doses using the default PFG parameters in Section 3.5.2, which will produce claimant-favorable dose estimates. Because PFG was discontinued in 1956 (according to LASL 1957), all examinations after that date are assumed to be chest radiographs using DCFs published in International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) Publication 34 (ICRP 1982). For chest examinations between 1957 and 1964, the unavailability of specific information on beam quality has led to the recommendation that the similar default values for dose conversion factors from ICRP 34 (ICRP 1982) should also be used for chest radiographs for that particular period. These dose conversion factors (DCFs) assume minimum collimation for calculating organ doses.

Specific organ doses attributed to PA chest X-rays are calculated on the basis of the DCFs identified in ICRP Publication 34 (ICRP 1982). Organ doses from LAT chest radiography have been estimated by assuming the LAT Entrance Skin Exposure (ESE) is 2.5 times higher than the PA ESE (ORAU 2003a). The organ doses for the LAT views are then calculated by using the DCFs for these LAT views provided in Tables A.2 through A.8 of ICRP (1982). For organs not listed in ICRP Publication 34 but specified in the Interactive RadioEpidemiological Program (IREP) computer code, doses were determined by analogy with anatomical location (see Table 3-5 in Section 3.5). Therefore, IREP code organs not included in ICRP Publication 34 were assigned the following equivalent surrogate doses:

Thoracic cavity = lungs Head and neck organs (including eye, brain) = thyroid

The head and neck organ (i.e., eye/brain) dose estimates are likely to be somewhat greater than doses actually incurred (hence claimant-favorable) due to dose reduction factors experienced in comparison with the surrogate organs. These reductions are due to increased average distance from the X-ray beam or, in the case of the brain, due to attenuation by the bony cranium. In view of the variations in organ dose described in ICRP (1982), the higher of the doses listed for male or female was assumed.

3.3 EQUIPMENT AND TECHNIQUES

This TBD assumes that LANL medical practices followed accepted standards for radiology, including practices used during the 1940s and 1950s to minimize dose to the patient. However, there was the potential for significant dose from occupational medical X-ray examinations depending on the type of equipment, the technique factors, and the number of radiographic and PFG examinations performed through the years (Cardarelli et al. 2002). LANL medical records include notations in individual worker files regarding the date and purpose of X-ray examinations.

X-ray organ dose estimates for occupational radiographic X-rays administered at LANL have been calculated for all the different types of X-ray equipment employed over the operational period. From 1943 through 1956, Type I equipment was utilized as an alternative to PFG for a limited examinations (see Table 3-7 in Section 3.5 and Table 3-8 in Section 3.6 for DCFs). For example, in 1953 LASL performed 1508 "minifilms" (i.e., PFGs) versus 204 14" x 17" standard film X-ray examinations (LASL 1954). The remaining types of X-ray equipment employed are designated Type II (used from 1964 through 1984), Type III (1985 through 1994), Type IV (1995 through 2002), and Type V (2003 to the present). Table 3-2 lists all types of X-ray equipment used at LANL. Tables 3-3 and 3-4 list the specific technique factors and reported or estimated beam quality factors representative of these different machines and their methods of operation.

Table 3-2 X-ray equipment used at LANI

Technique	Period	Equipment			
PFG ^a	1943-1956	Unknown, 70mm fluororoentgenogram			
Type I	1943- 1963	Unknown minimal collimation			
Type II	1964-1976	General Electric KX810; 6.75-mm Al equivalent total filtration was used (LASL 1978, 1976).			
Type II	1977-1984	General Electric KX810; 6.75-mm Al equivalent total filtration was used. This was reduced to 4 mm total filtration sometime in 1977 (LASL 1978, 1976)			
Type III	1985-1994	General Electric DXD 350IL Control, Single-Phase Generator, Auto Collimator, 12:1 Grid, 3-mm Al equivalent total filtration. Kodak X-Omatic 90 second cold water processor, 400 speed film-screen system, Kodak Lanex cassettes-rare earth. Fuji Ortho G film			
Type IV ^b	1995 – 2002	General Electric DXD 350IL Control, Single-Phase Generator, Auto Collimator, 12:1 Grid, 3-mm Al equivalent total filtration. Kodak X-Omatic 90 second cold water processor, 400 speed film-screen system, Kodak Lanex cassettes-rare earth. Fuji Ortho G film			
Type V	2003 – present	Cosmos 22 Control, Three-Phase Generator, Varian X-ray tube, Heustis medical collimator. 2.5-mm Al equivalent total filtration. CXDI22 Canon sensor-digital camera.			

a. PFG = Photofluorography

Table 3-3. Technique factors used for each type of X-ray equipment^a.

Machine	View	Current (mA)	Voltage (kVp)	Exposure time (sec.)
Type I 1943-1963	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Type II 1964-1984	PA	200	96	1/20
Type III – 1985 to 1994	PA	200	94-102 ^b	1/30
Type IV – 1995 to 2002	PA	200	110	1/60
Type V – 2003	PA	200	104	1/30

PA indicates a posterior/anterior view; the average PA chest measures 24 cm, the average lateral chest measures 36 cm.

The periods, specific technique factors, and equipment descriptions are based on document reviews and interviews with current and previous LANL employees. If additional documentation is identified with more specific information (i.e., periods, technique factors, or equipment descriptions), this TBD will be revised.

Because LANL identified no technique factors for Type I equipment, organ dose estimates for such equipment are determined using Table 3-7 (in Section 3.5.2). For both PA and LAT views, a standard Source-to-Image Distance (SID) of 72 in. (183 cm) was maintained for all types. It is assumed that all

b. Type IV equipment same as Type III, different technique factors used.

b. For conservatism (i.e., higher ESE), all exposures assumed to be obtained at 102 kVp.

Effective Date: 12/29/2004 Revision No. 00 Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3 Page 8 of 23

the X-ray machines were single-phase except the three-phase Type V unit and that there was no air gap between the patient and the film. Based on the Al filtration (see Tables 3-2 and 3-4), the estimated half value layers (HVLs) obtained from National Council on Radiation Protection and

Effective Date: 12/29/2004 Revision No. 00 Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3 Page 9 of

Table 3-4	Ream quality and I	HVL values used to	determine DCFs	for dose calculations.
I able 5-4.	Deam duality and i	IVE Values used it		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

Machine type	Dates of Use	Beam quality [filtration, Al-equiv., mm] (reported or estimated*)	HVL, based on filtration (NCRP 1989)	HVL used for DCF selection (ICRP 1982)
PFG	1943-1956	Unknown (2.5 mm*)	2.5 mm*	2.5 mm*
Type I	1943-1963	Unknown (2.5 mm*)	2.5 mm*	2.5 mm*
Type II (a)	1964-1976	6.75 mm	7.2 mm**	7.2 mm**
Type II (b)	1977-1984	4.0 mm	3.3 mm	3.5 mm
Type III	1985-1994	3.0 mm	3.1 mm	3.0 mm
Type IV	1995-2002	3.0 mm	3.4 mm	3.5 mm
Type V	2003-Present	2.5 mm	3.4 mm	3.5 mm

Assumed, based upon Table 3-6 default per ICRP 34 (ICRP, 1982)

Measurements (NCRP) Report 102 (NCRP 1989, Table B.2) are 3.3 mm Al Type II, 3.1 mm Al Type III, 3.4 mm Al Type IV, and 3.4 mm Al Type V equipment. Because of the excessive total filtration (6.75 mm Al) for 1964 through 1976 and because NCRP (1989, Table B.2) has HVLs only for total filtration of up to 3.5 mm Al, an interpolation using all the listed total filtration values was performed. In addition to maximize the HVL and therefore the dose, an assumed peak tube potential of 120 kVp was assumed. Assuming a total filtration of 6.75 mm Al for 1964 to 1976, the HVL is calculated to be 7.2 mm Al. As stated in an October 4, 1976 LASL memorandum, "the total filtration is unusually high" at 6.75-mm Al equivalent (Gutierrez and Haynie 1976). A recommendation was made to lower the total filtration by 2.5 to approximately 4 mm Al. The total filtration was reduced to 4 mm and it is assumed the beam quality was reduced based on the survey recommendations (Gutierrez 1978; Gutierrez and Haynie 1978). Tables 3-2 and 3-4 indicate that filtration was further reduced in subsequent periods as newer types of machines came into use.

DCFs derived for examinations using PFG and Type I equipment are based on default assumptions listed in Table 3-7 below. For examinations using Type II equipment from 1964 to 1976, the special value of DCF had to be calculated by interpolation of all listed HVLs, to estimate the DCFs for the HVL of 7.2 mm AI that was found, as noted above, to be representative of that particular period, and DCF values in ICRP (1982) are presented only for HVL values up to 4mm AI. DCFs for later use of Type II (1977 to 1984), Type IV, and Type V equipment are based on an HVL of 3.5 in Tables A.2 through A.8 in ICRP (1982). The DCFs for Type III equipment are based on an HVL of 3.0 in Tables A.2 through A.8.

Finally, to determine X-ray organ doses, the air kerma (see Tables 3B-1 through 3B-4 in Attachment 3B) is estimated by using the data in Tables 3-2 and 3-3 and in Figure B.1 from NCRP (1989). Tables 3B-1 through 3B-4 list organ doses for PA and LAT 14- x 17–in. chest films.

To determine PFG doses, additional LANL examination program records were reviewed. As part of the LANL physical program instituted in 1954 (Shipman 1955), a 70-mm fluororoentgenogram (photofluorographic chest X-ray) was routinely obtained unless history or physical examination indicated the desirability of a standard 14- x 17-in. radiographic chest film. Because no additional information was identified for LANL PFG chest X-rays, dose estimates were determined using methodology from ORAU (2003b). Table 3-6 (in Section 3.5.2) lists estimated organ doses for PFG examinations.

3.4 ORGAN DOSE CALCULATIONS (TYPES II THROUGH V, 1964 - PRESENT)

This section presents X-ray organ dose estimation methods for occupational X-rays administered at LANL for Type II equipment (used from 1964 through 1984), Type III equipment (1985 through 1994),

^{**} Interpolated HVL = 7.2 for 6.75 mm Al filtration calculated from all available HVL data.

Page 10 of 23

Type IV equipment (1995 through 2002), and Type V equipment 2003 to the present). For examinations conducted between 1943 and 1963, the following calculation methods do not apply because no technical factors were available for the Type I radiographic or PFG equipment used. For that period the dose reconstructor must use the default values presented in Section 3.6. If the claim file does not indicate the type of exam performed (i.e., chest radiograph or PFG) prior to 1964, base the dose estimates on PFG to be claimant-favorable.

ICRP Publication 34 (ICRP 1982) contains tables of average absorbed dose (mGy) in selected organs for selected X-ray projections at 1-Gy entrance kerma (i.e., air kerma without backscatter), for selected views (including PA and LAT), and for selected beam qualities (i.e., various HVLs). These tables list basic dose conversion factors for converting air kerma to organ dose. Air kerma was obtained from Figure B.1 of NCRP Report 102 (NCRP 1989). The data in Figure B.1 were corrected for a distance of 183 cm. The average air kerma rates for the different machines are calculated using the cGy per mAs provided in NCRP Report 102 for specific voltage, current, phase of the machine, and distance to the film.

Finally, the LANL organ doses are found by multiplying the ICRP (1982) organ dose conversion factors by the modified air kerma factors (ESE). The resulting LANL X-ray organ doses for all machines are listed in Attachment 3B, Tables 3B-1 through 3B-4. Table 3-6 (in Section 3.5.2) lists organ doses from photofluorographic chest X-rays in units of rem. LANL records should indicate the views obtained.

The following formulas were used to calculate the doses in Tables 3B-1 and 3B-3.

Based on the techniques in Table 3-3, the mAs for the types of equipment were calculated for each view:

Current (mA)
$$\times$$
 exposure time (sec) = Current for view (mAs) (3-1)

Example for PA view:

Type II 200 mA × 1/20s = 10 mAs Type III 200 mA × 1/30s = 6.67 mAs Type IV 200 mA \times 1/60s = 3.33 mAs Type V 200 mA \times 1/30s = 6.67 mAs

The air kerma rate for Type II (1964-1976, 120 kVp) was determined to be 0.16 cGy/100 mAs, Type II (1977-1984, 96 kVp) was 0.14 cGy/100 mAs, Type III (102 kVp), 0.23 cGy/100 mAs, Type IV (110 kVp) 0.28 cGy/100 mAs, and Type V (104 kVp) 0.48 cGy/100 mAs [see Figure B.1 of NCRP (1989)] after applying an inverse square correction factor of 0.3 for a distance of 183 cm. The air kerma was calculated after conversion of the rate to air kerma per mAs.

> Current for view (mAs) × Corrected air kerma rate (cGy/mAs) = Air kerma (cGy) (3-2)

Example for PA view:

 $10 \text{ mAs} \times 0.0016 \text{ cGy/mAs} = 0.016 \text{ cGy}$ Type II Type II $10 \text{ mAs} \times 0.0014 \text{ cGy/mAs} = 0.014 \text{ cGy}$ $6.67 \text{ mAs} \times 0.0023 \text{ cGy/mAs} = 0.015 \text{ cGy}$ Type III $3.33 \text{ mAs} \times 0.0028 \text{ cGy/mAs} = 0.009 \text{ cGy}$ Type IV Type V $6.67 \text{ mAs} \times 0.0048 \text{ cGy/mAs} = 0.032 \text{ cGy}$

Page 11 of 23

The air kerma was corrected for the thickness of the chest (24 cm) and for distance between the chest and the plane of the film (5 cm) to obtain the air kerma at skin entrance.

> Air kerma at 183 cm × SID squared ÷ SSD squared = air kerma at skin entrance (3-3)

Example for Type IV PA view:

$$0.009 \text{ cGy} \times (183 \text{ cm})^2 \div (154 \text{ cm})^2 = 0.013 \text{ cGy}$$

Air kerma at skin entrance was multiplied by the dose conversion factors in Tables A.2 through A.8 of ICRP (1982) for PA chest and HVL of 3.5 mm-Al equivalent.

Air kerma (cGy)
$$\times$$
 Dose conversion factor = Dose for view (cGy) (3-4)

Example for Type IV PA view, dose to thyroid:

$$0.013 \text{ cGy} \times 62 \text{ mGy/Gy} \times 1 \text{ Gy/}100 \text{ cGy} \times 1 \text{ rad/}10 \text{ mGy} = 8.06 \times 10^{-4} \text{ rad}$$

Example for Type IV LAT view, dose to thyroid:

The air kerma at the skin entrance for the PA view was multiplied by 2.5 (ORAU 2003a).

Example for Type IV LAT view:

$$0.013 \text{ cGy} \times 2.5 = 0.0325 \text{ cGy}$$
 (3-5)

Air kerma at skin entrance was multiplied by the dose conversion factors in Tables A.2 through A.8 of ICRP (1982) for LAT chest and HVL of 3.5 mm Al.

Air kerma (cGy) \times Dose conversion factor = Dose for view (cGy)

Example for Type IV LAT view, dose to thyroid:

$$0.0325 \text{ cGy} \times 151 \text{ mGy/Gy} \times 1 \text{ Gy/}100\text{cGy} \times 1 \text{ rad/}10 \text{ mGy} = 4.91 \times 10-3 \text{ rad}$$
 (3-6)

For Type I equipment used prior to 1964, the tube was equipped with a diagnostic type housing with a beam port that provided minimal collimation. Therefore, organs not normally in the primary beam for PA chest X-rays were included in the primary beam by using ICRP (1982) organ dose conversion factors for procedures in which those organs would normally be included in the primary beam. For example, assuming minimal collimation, the ovaries would be in the primary beam, and dose conversion factors for the abdomen were used. Abdomen dose conversion factors were used for ovaries, embryo, and their analogues; the cervical spine dose conversion factor was used for the thyroid and its analogues; and the PA skull dose conversion factor was used for the eye/brain (see Table 3-5).

3.5 RECONSTRUCTION USING DEFAULT VALUES

Use of default values presented in the following sections is recommended for claims that have incomplete records or documentation of specific technique factors or output measurements. Default values of entrance kerma have been developed for the three most commonly used occupational medical diagnostic X-ray procedures: (1) PA chest radiography; (2) LAT chest radiography; (3) PFG chest films when actual measurement data or knowledge of technique factors were absent. The

Table 3-5. Analogues for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34.

Anatomical location	ICRP 34 reference organ	IREP organ analogues
Thorax	Lung	Thymus Esophagus Stomach Bone surface Liver/gall bladder, spleen Remainder organs
Abdomen	Ovaries	Urinary/bladder Colon/rectum
Head and neck	Thyroid	Eye/brain

default values are maxima or upper-limit values developed from review of patient doses as reported in the literature, machine characteristics, and knowledge of X-ray procedures used during the periods indicated. Thus, it may be assumed that use of default values, when necessary and appropriate, will result in a claimant-favorable results.

Sufficient conservatism was included in the determination of the default values to ensure with near certainty (99+% confidence) that actual exposures from the specified procedures would not exceed the default values. In determining these factors, it was assumed that a minimum filtration was used along with low kilovoltage techniques, slow film speeds with standard development, and no additional collimation or use of cones. Table 3-6 lists default entrance kerma values for the three procedures.

Table 3-6. Default dose values by procedure.

	Entrance kerma (cGy)								
Period	PA chest	LAT chest	PFG chest						
Pre-1970	0.20	0.50	3.0						
1970-1985	0.10	0.25	NA						
Post 1985	0.05	0.13	NA						

These default values can be used as described above in lieu of actual measurement data or entrance kerma derived from technique factors.

3.5.1 Application and Reporting of Occupational Medical X-Ray Dose Reconstruction

Table 3-7 lists organ dose conversion factors and organ dose calculations for dose reconstruction for the default case.

3.5.2 Photofluorography

Photofluorography, also known as photoroentgenography, was utilized for routine chest radiography and, as well documented in the literature, typically produces higher patient doses than conventional radiography (Braestrup 1958, p. 140; Laughlin et al. 1957; Moeller, Terrill, and Ingraham 1953). Occupational, diagnostic chest X-rays at the DOE facilities and its predecessor agencies were commonly performed through the use PFGs. Los Alamos also made the use of PFGs for it's preemployment and routine physical examinations up until 1957 when they stop using this method due to the higher radiation doses to the individuals. LASL reported doses as high as 30 mR per chest exposure (LASL 1958). PFG differed from conventional film radiography due to the facts that the kVp and mAmp settings could be manipulated by the technician and the exposure time was regulated by

the amount of light generated in the unit with a cutoff or maximum exposure time. An exposure of 15 mAs (150 mA for 0.1 second) was sufficient to produce a satisfactory image on 35mm film; larger film required greater exposures (Sante 1954, p. 129).

Typical operating parameters reported for 1950s PFG were 24 mAs at 83 kVp at a target-to-film distance of 36 in. (Braestrup 1958, p. 143), and 30 mAs at 90 kVp with a target-to-film distance of 40 in. and 2.4-mm added filtration. In the absence of data that describe LASL's PFGs, dose reconstructors should assume added filtration of 2.5 mm for dose determinations, which is claimantfavorable (Feldman et al. 1958). The reported gonadal doses were 0.15 mrad and 0.36 mrad for females and males, respectively, in the United Kingdom (Stanford and Vance 1957), and 1 and 2 mrad for females and males, respectively, in an American study (Laughlin et al. 1957). In another study in the United States, Feldman et al. (1958) reported gonadal exposures equivalent to doses of 0.73 mrad for males and 15 mrad for females, the large difference attributable to assumed collimation. Data in the literature indicate an ESE of about 0.5 to 1 R (Laughlin et al. 1957; Feldman et al. 1958; Moeller, Terrill, and Ingraham 1953).

Measurements at the Hanford Site indicated an ESE of 1.53 R for a 60-mAs PFG exposure at 100 kVp (Rising and Soldat 1959). This is probably an upper limit value based on a large patient and is consistent with an ESE of about 600-700 mR for a 24-30 mAs exposure at somewhat lower kVp. Although the Hanford measured value is probably an upper limit and therefore an overstatement of the actual exposure from PFG to the average patient, dose reconstructors should use the 1.53 R ESE value in the absence of LASL-specific data for PFG.

Organ doses for chest PFG are calculated in an analogous manner to organ doses for conventional radiography using the entrance kerma values. Table 3-7 lists dose conversion factors for the ICRP organs based on a distance of 102 cm and beam quality of 2.5 mm Al HVL. If entrance kerma values are unavailable, dose reconstructors should use default values for organ doses; Table 3-7 lists these default organ doses.

3.6 RECONSTRUCTION OF ORGAN DOSE FROM RADIOGRAPHY EQUIPMENT USING MINIMAL COLLIMATION

Prior to about 1970, X-ray measurement data, techniques, or beam port information might not be available to estimate the collimation of the X-ray beam. Several papers in the literature have considered the effects of cone size and centering on organ doses, and concluded that filtration, kVp, and the smallest possible cone size were most important to reduce these doses. Due to the reported variation in the literature and measurement data on the effects of collimation, it is claimant-favorable to assume the use of minimal or no additional external collimation when measurement data, technique, or other information to describe the collimation are not available for X-ray procedures performed prior to 1970.

Without collimation, organs normally outside the primary beam are exposed to the primary beam. This necessitates the use of dose conversion factors from ICRP (1982) other than those for a PA or LAT chest X-ray, because the ICRP dose conversion factors are based on properly collimated beams. For minimally collimated beams used prior to 1964, the substitute dose conversion factors listed in Table 3-8 were used.

Dose estimates for PFG represent absolute upper limits, and dose reconstructors must use them in the absence of more specific information. At sites where measurements or technique factors are available, the organ doses could very possibly be lower.

Effective Date: 12/29/2004 Revision No. 00 Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3 Page 14 of 23

Error (deviation from the correct, true, or conventionally accepted value of a quantity) and *uncertainty* (defined in terms of the potential range of a stated, measured, assumed, or otherwise determined

Effective Date: 12/29/2004 | Revision No. 00

Table 3-7. Org	an uus	ses for default entrance kerma values.		Daria	Orman daa-
		Dose conversion factor		Dose	Organ dose
		(mGy per Gy air kerma) ^a		conversion	pre-1970 (rem), ^{b,c}
		HVL 2.5 mm Al for PFG	-	factor	(rem),
		Beam for PFG includes thyroid, and	0	(mGy per Gy	Minima
0,,,,,,,,	View	thoracic organs. It does not include gonads, bladder, or colon/rectum.	Organ dose PFG (rem)	air kerma) ^a HVL 2.5 mm Al	Minimal collimation
Organ Thyroid	PA	174(f)	5.2E-1	174 (f)	3.48 E-2
Triyroid	LAT	174(1)	3.ZE-1	137	6.85E-2
Evo/broin	PA	32	9.60E-2	32	6.40E-3
Eye/brain	LAT	32	9.00E-Z	137	6.40E-3 6.85E-2
Ovaries	PA	N/A	2.5.5.2.(a)		
Ovaries	LAT	IN/A	2.5 E-2 (e)	N/A N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)
1.5		454	4.055.00		1.3 E-2 (e)
Liver/gall	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
bladder/spleen		N1/A	0.5.5.0 (-)	220	1.10E-1
Urinary bladder	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)	N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)
0.1. /	LAT	N//A	0.5.5.0()	N/A	1.3 E-2 (e)
Colon/rectum	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)	N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)
_	LAT			N/A	1.3 E-2 (e)
Testes	PA	N/A	5.0 E-3 (e)	N/A	5.0 E-3 (e)
	LAT			N/A	2.5 E-3 (e)
Lungs (male)	PA	419	1.26E+00	419	8.38E-2
	LAT			193	9.65E-2
Lungs (female)	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
	LAT			220	1.10E-1
Thymus	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
	LAT			220	1.10E-1
Esophagus	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
	LAT			220	1.10E-1
Stomach	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
	LAT			220	1.10E-1
Bone surfaces	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
	LAT			220	1.10E-1
Remainder	PA	451	1.35E+00	451	9.02E-2
	LAT			220	1.10E-1
Breast	PA	49	1.47E-1	49	9.80E-3
	LAT			255	1.28E-1
Uterus	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)	N/A	2.5 E-2 (e)
(embryo)	LAT			N/A	1.3 E-2 (e)
Bone marrow	PA	92	2.76E-1	92	1.84E-2
(male)	LAT			37	1.85E-2
Bone marrow	PA	86	2.58E-1	86	1.72E-2
(female)	LAT			29	1.45E-2
Skin (d)	PA		4.05E+00	-	2.70E-1
- (-)	LAT				6.75E-1
			1		<u> </u>

a. Dose conversion Factors from Tables A.2 through A.9, ICRP (1982).

value of a quantity) provide an indication of the confidence or validity of the dose estimates. *Error* implies knowledge of what the correct or actual value is, which is, of course, not known. Therefore, the more appropriate factor is uncertainty, which is expressed in terms of a confidence level, which in turn is expressed as a percent. Thus, the 99% confidence level indicates that the correct or true value, although not actually known, has a 99% probability of falling within the range cited. The

b. Source-to-Image-Distance 183 cm.

c. Image Receptor Size (cm) 35.6 x 43.2.

d. Calculated using backscatter factor of 1.35 for HVL of 2.5 mm Al from NCRP (1989, Table B-3.

e. Modified from Webster.

f. Dose Conversion Factor for AP c-spine, corrected for depth by 0.2.

Effective Date: 12/29/2004	Revision No. 00	Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3	Page 16 of 23
----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	---------------

Table 3-8. Substitute dose conversion factors.

	Substitute view and organ for which dose conversion to use
Organ of interest	for minimally collimated beams
Thyroid	AP cervical spine corrected for depth by factor of 0.2 (NCRP 1989, Table B-8)
	LAT cervical spine
Eye/brain	PA and LAT skull, or PA chest, whichever is larger.
Ovaries and analogues,	PA and LAT abdomen despite what reference shows, it is unlikely that testes
testes, and uterus	were in primary beam

statement of confidence level typically includes all potential sources of error, both random and systematic; the precision or reproducibility of the measurement; and accuracy, or how close the measurement or estimate of dose comes to the actual or correct value.

In theory, a large number of factors can introduce uncertainties or affect the X-ray machine output intensity and dose to the worker. However, in practice only five factors can reasonably have a meaningful or significant impact on dose uncertainty:

- 1. Measurement error
- 2. Variation in applied kilovoltage
- 3. Variation in beam current
- 4. Variation in exposure time
- 5. Distance from the worker to the source of the X-rays [Source-to-Skin Distance (SSD)]

The influence of such other factors as use of screens, grids, reciprocity failure, film speed, and development, while potentially variable, do not affect the beam output intensity *per se* except indirectly insofar as these might determine the exposure settings (i.e., kVp, mA, and time) used.

Medical X-ray doses, when measured, were derived largely from actual measurement of X-ray machine output with R-meters or similar ionization chamber devices suitably designed for measurement of photons in the medical X-ray energy range. If properly calibrated and used, R-meters and similar instruments typically and historically have had an uncertainty of $\pm 2\%$ for photon energies below 400 keV (Kathren and Larson 1969). More recent versions of these instruments might provide a somewhat smaller uncertainty on the order of $\pm 1\%$ (NBS 1985; 1988). To be conservative, the uncertainty range of $\pm 2\%$ should be applied to measurements of X-ray intensity.

Theoretically, for a given set of machine settings and parameters, X-ray output should be constant and unvarying. However, this is not true in practice. Output is essentially constant unless focal, spot loading occurs as might be the case when the power rating of the machine is exceeded. It is unlikely that power ratings were ever exceeded because such an event would be difficult to achieve in practice and could result in damage to the X-ray tube. However, even with the use of constant voltage transformers to control line voltages, slight variations could have occurred in line voltage input or other internal voltages, which in turn could alter the kVp of the output beam. In general, for a given kVp setting, variation in kVp falls within ±5% of the machine setting (Seibert, Barnes, and Gould 1991). Because, as noted above, beam intensity is approximately proportional to the 1.7 power of the applied kilovoltage; this translates to an uncertainty of approximately ±8.6% with respect to output beam intensity in the 80 to 100 kVp range used for diagnostic chest radiographs. For conservatism, this is rounded up to ±9%.

Similarly, slight variations in tube current are normal; as a tube ages, or heats up from use, current can change and typically will drop. With all other factors constant, beam intensity will be reduced in direct proportion to the change in tube current. Typically, the reduction in beam output from current variation is not more than a few percent under normal operating conditions. Large decreases are

readily detectable and manifest themselves as underexposed radiographs and result in required maintenance of the machine to restore the output or, as a temporary measure, an increase in the current or kVp to provide the necessary intensity for proper radiography. For a given kVp setting, the output of the beam is a function of the tube current, which in turn is measured by a milliammeter, which measures average tube current. The measurement is subject to uncertainties and there might be minor changes in output as the tube heats from normal use. These variations are typically small, and the estimated uncertainty in beam intensity or output attributable to current variation is +5%.

Another parameter that has potential to affect the dose from a diagnostic radiograph, perhaps significantly, is the time of exposure. The potential importance of this parameter is underscored by noting that virtually all medical diagnostic X-ray units used in the DOE complex were of the full wave rectified type. A full wave rectified machine produces 120 pulses of X-rays per second. Thus, in a typical radiographic exposure time of 1/20 of a second, only six pulses would result. A small error in the timer that resulted in a change of only ±1 pulse would correspondingly affect the output by ±17%; for an exposure time of 1/30 of a second, the change in output corresponding to a deviation of +1 pulse is ±25%. Early mechanical timers were notoriously inaccurate; accuracy improved significantly with the introduction of electronic timers. Measurements of reproducibility made in the late 1980s and beyond by the State of Washington for the machines at Hanford suggest that the timers, and indeed the entire X-ray output, were fairly constant. However, for conservatism, the assumed uncertainty in beam output attributable to timers has been taken to be ±25%.

The final factor likely to affect worker dose relates to distance from the source of the X-rays, which is an important determinant of the entrance skin exposure from which organ doses are calculated. For a given individual, the SSD will be determined largely by body thickness and positioning of the body. For a typical worker, the estimated variation in SSD is no more than a few centimeters, with an upper limit of perhaps 7.5 cm. Using the inverse square, this indicates an uncertainty of ±10% from this source.

There are two approaches to determine the combined uncertainty from the five potential sources of dose uncertainty listed above. The first, and most conservative in that it gives the greatest range, would be to assume that the uncertainties are additive, which would give an uncertainty range of:

$$2 + 9 + 5 + 25 + 10 = \pm 51\%$$

However, a more reasonable approach would be to assume that the uncertainties are in fact random, and to compute the statistical root mean square (RMS) value. The RMS value is simply the square root of the sum of the squares, and computes as ±28.9%. Rounding this up to +30% would seem to provide an adequate and suitably conservative indication of uncertainty. Thus, for an individual ESE or derived organ dose, an uncertainty of ±30% at the one sigma level can be assumed; to be further conservative, it might be appropriate to assume that errors are all positive, and only +30% should be used.

REFERENCES

- Braestrup, C. B., 1958, Radiation Protection, Charles C. Thomas, Springfield.
- Cameron, J. F., 1970, Radiological Health Handbook, Revised Edition, compiled and edited by the Bureau of Radiological Health, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Rockville, Maryland, p. 159.
- Cardarelli, J., H. Spitz, C. Rice, R. Buncher, H. Elson, and P. Succop, 2002, "Significance of Radiation Exposure From Work-Related Chest X-Rays for Epidemiological Studies of Radiation Workers," Amer. J. Indust. Med. 42:490-501.
- Feldman, A., G. C. Babcock, R. R. Lanier, and D. Morkovin, 1958, "Gonadal Exposure Dose from Diagnostic X-ray Procedures," Radiology 71:197-207.
- Gutierrez, J. A., and J. S. Haynie, 1976, "H-2 Occupational Medicine Building X-Ray Survey" Office Memorandum, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, October 4.
- Gutierrez, J. A., and J. S. Haynie, 1977, "Six Month Radiation Survey at H-2 Occupational Medicine Building, TA-3-409," Office Memorandum, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, May 16.
- Gutierrez, J. A., 1978, "Six Month Radiation Survey at H-2 Occupational Medicine Building, TA-3-409," Office Memorandum. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico. January 11.
- Gutierrez, J. A., and J. S. Haynie, 1978, "Six Month Radiation Survey at H-2 Occupational Medical Building, TA-3-409," Office Memorandum. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, August 10.
- Hardy, H. L., 1950, Progress Report Occupational Health Group H-2, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos New Mexico. May 20.
- Haynie, J. S., and J. A. Gutierrez, 1978, "Six Month Radiation Survey at H-2 Occupational Medical Building, TA-3-409," Office Memorandum. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, August 10.
- ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection), 1982, "Protection of the Patient in Diagnostic Radiology," Publication 34, Annals of the ICRP, 9(2/3):1-82.
- Kathren, R. L., and H. V. Larson, 1969, "Radiological Calibration and Standardization for Health Physics: A Program, A Plea and a Proposal," Health Phys. 16:778-782.
- LASL (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory), 1944, *Health Report*, 1st Quarter 1954, LA-0081, Los Alamos, New Mexico, April 14, 1944.
- LASL (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory), 1954, Health Division Annual Report 1953, LA-1689, Los Alamos, New Mexico, February 14, 1954.
- LASL (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory), 1957, Annual Report of the Health Division 1957, LA-2216, Los Alamos, New Mexico, May 1958.

- LASL (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory), 1972, Standard Operating Procedure for the Diagnostic X-Ray Unit, Los Alamos, New Mexico, May 8, 1972.
- LASL (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory), 1976, Standard Operating Procedure for the H-2 Diagnostic X-Ray Unit, SM-409, Los Alamos, New Mexico, December 30, 1976.
- Laughlin, J. S., M. L. Muerk, I Pullman, and R. S. Sherman, 1957, "Bone, Skin and Gonadal Doses in Routine Diagnostic Procedures," American Journal of Roentgenology, Radium Therapy and Nuclear Medicine 78:197-983
- Moeller, D. W., J. G. Terrill, and S. C. Ingraham, 1953, "Radiation Exposure in the United States," Public Health Reports 67:57-65.
- NBS (National Bureau of Standards), 1985, Special Publication 250, U.S. Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, Maryland.
- NBS (National Bureau of Standards), 1988, Calibration of X-ray and Gamma Ray Measuring Instrument, Publication 250-16, U.S. Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, Maryland.
- NCRP (National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements), 1989, Medical X-Ray, Electron Beam and Gamma-Ray Protection for Energies up to 50 MeV [Equipment Design, Performance and Use], Report No. 102, Bethesda, Maryland.
- ORAU (Oak Ridge Associated Universities), 2003a, Technical Basis Document for the Hanford Site -Occupational Medical Dose, ORAUT-TKBS-0006-3, Revision 00, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, September 16.
- ORAU (Oak Ridge Associated Universities), 2003b, Technical Information Bulletin: Dose Reconstruction from Occupationally Related X-Ray Procedure, ORAUT-OTIB-0006, Revision 02, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, December 9.
- Rising, F. L., and J. K. Soldat, 1959, "Radiation Exposures During Diagnostic Radiographic Examinations at Kadlec Methodist Hospital," letter to Dr. W. D. Norwood, April 30.
- Sante, L. R. 1946, Manual of Roentgenographic Technique, Edwards Brothers, Ann Arbor, Michigan, p. 61.
- Seibert, J. A., G. T. Barnes, and R. G. Gould, 1991, Specification, Acceptance Testing, and Quality Control of Diagnostic Xray Imaging Equipment, Medical Physics Monography No. 20, American Institute of Physics, New York, New York.
- Stanford, R. W., and J. Vance, 1957, "Gonadal Radiation Dose from Diagnostic Procedures," British Journal of Radiology 30:295-297.
- Shipman, T. L., 1955, Annual Report of the Health Division, LA-1888, January (from LANL Database, CD No.6, 2598.PDF).

Document No. C	DRAUT-TKBS-0010-3
----------------	-------------------

Page 20 of 23

ATTACHMENT 3B OCCUPATIONAL MEDICAL DOSE

3B.1 LANL MEDICAL X-RAY

Effective Date: 12/29/2004 Revision No. 00

LANL conducted preemployment and annual physical examinations as part of its occupational health program. These examinations typically included a chest X-ray. For some workers and occupations, chest X-rays could be more frequent.

3B.2 ORGAN DOSES FROM MEDICAL X-RAYS

Organ doses from occupational X-rays at LANL are estimated for all years from 1943 to the present. Table 3-1 of this TBD lists the schedule for these exams for all LANL employees over this period. X-ray organ dose estimates were made for Type I equipment (used from 1943 to 1963), Type II (1964 to 1984), Type III (1985 to 1994), Type IV (1995 to 2002), and Type V (2003 to the present). Minimal collimation was assumed for Type I equipment used prior to 1964. For organs outside the chest cavity, ICRP (1982) organ dose conversion factors other than PA chest were used to ensure that the organ doses reflected their presence in the primary beam. For example, the dose to the eye/brain for Type I equipment assumes that the eye/brain were in the primary beam, so the air kerma at the skin was multiplied by the dose conversion factor for LAT skull. .

Tables 3B-1 through 3B-7 list LANL organ doses.

Table 3B-1. Doses for organs identified in ICRP 34 (1982) PA chest view.

		LANL	Air kerma (cGy)	Organ doses per PA chest (rem)								
Period	Frequency	employee	at skin entrance	Thyroid	Ovaries	Testes	Lungs	Breast	Uterus/embryo	Bone marrow		
1943–1963 (Type I) ^a	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.00E-01	3.48E-02	2.50E-02	5.00E-03	9.02E-02	9.80E-03	2.50E-02	1.84E-02		
1964-1976 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.26E-02	3.66E-03	2.37E-04	<2.26E-07	2.78E-02	5.36E-03	2.35E-04	7.64E-03		
1977-1984 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.98E-02	1.22E-03	6.34E-05	<1.98E-07	1.21E-02	1.80E-03	5.94E-05	2.89E-03		
1985-1994 (Type III)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.12E-02	9.75E-04	3.82E-05	<2.12E-07	1.13E-02	1.46E-03	4.88E-05	2.48E-03		
1995-2002 (Type IV)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.30E-02	8.06E-04	4.16E-05	<1.3E-07	7.93E-03	1.18E-03	3.90E-05	1.90E-03		
2003-present (Type V)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	4.52E-02	2.80E-03	1.45E-04	<4.52E-07	2.76E-02	4.11E-03	1.36E-04	6.60E-03		

a. All values based on ORAUT-OTIB-006 (2003).

Table 3B-2. Dose for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34 (1982) PA chest view.

						(Organ doses i	per PA che	est (rem)				
					Liver/gall								
		LANL	Air kerma		bladder/	Eye/			Urinary	Colon	Bone		
Period	Frequency	employee	(cGy)	Thymus	Spleen	brain	Esophagus	Stomach	bladder	rectum	surface	Skin ^a	Remainder
1943–1963 (Type I) ^b	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.00E-01	9.02E-02	9.02E-02	6.40E-03	9.02E-02	9.02E-02	2.50E-02	2.50E-02	9.02E-02	2.70E-01	9.02E-02
1964-1976 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.26E-02	2.78E-02	2.78E-02	3.66E-03	2.78E-02	2.78E-02	2.35E-04	2.35E-04	2.78E-04	3.16E-02	2.78E-02
1977-1984 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.98E-02	1.21E-02	1.21E-02	1.22E-03	1.21E-02	1.21E-02	6.34E-05	6.34E-05	1.21E-02	2.77E-02	1.21E-02
1985-1994 (Type III)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.12E-02	1.13E-02	1.13E-02	9.75E-04	1.13E-02	1.13E-02	3.82E-05	3.82E-05	1.13E-02	2.97E-02	1.13E-02
1995-2002 (Type IV)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.30E-02	7.93E-03	7.93E-03	8.06E-04	7.93E-03	7.93E-03	4.16E-06	4.16E-06	7.93E-03	1.82E-02	7.93E-03
2003-present (Type V)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	4.52E-02	2.76E-02	2.76E-02	2.80E-03	2.76E-02	2.76E-02	1.45E-04	1.45E-04	2.76E-02	6.33E-02	2.76E-02

a. Skin dose is entrance skin dose calculated from air kerma, multiplied by a backscatter factor of 1.35 or 1.4 from NCRP (1989), Table B-8, depending on HVL.

Table 3B-3. Doses for organs identified in ICRP 34 (1982) LAT chest view.

		LANL	Air kerma (cGy)	Organ doses per LAT chest (rem)								
Period	Frequency	employee	at skin entrance	Thyroid	Ovaries	Testes	Lungs	Breast	Uterus/Embryo	Bone marrow		
1943-1963 (Type I)a	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.00E-01	6.85E-02	1.30E-02	2.50E-03	1.10E-01	1.28E-01	1.30E-02	1.85E-02		
1964-1976 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.65E-02	1.64E-02	2.94E-04	5.65E-06	3.79E-02	3.07E-02	2.49E-04	8.14E-03		
1977-1984 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	4.95E-02	7.47E-03	7.92E-05	4.95E-06	1.53E-02	1.56E-02	6.93E-05	3.02E-03		
1985-1994 (Type III)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.30E-02	7.05E-03	4.77E-05	5.30E-06	1.42E-02	1.52E-02	4.77E-05	2.54E-03		
1995–2002 (Type IV)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	3.25E-02	4.91E-03	5.20E-05	3.25E-06	1.01E-02	1.03E-02	4.55E-05	1.98E-03		
2003-present (Type V)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.13E-01	1.71E-02	1.81E-04	1.13E-05	3.50E-02	3.57E-02	1.58E-04	6.89E-03		

^a All values based on ORAUT-OTIB-006 (2003).

Table 3B-4. Dose for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34 (1982) LAT chest view

			Organ doses per LAT chest (rem)										
		LANL	Air kerma		Liver/gall bladder/	Eye/			Urinary	Colon	Bone		
Period	Frequency	employee	(cGy)	Thymus	Spleen	brain	Esophagus	Stomach	bladder	rectum	surface	Skin ^a	Remainder
1943–1963 (Type I) ^b	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.00E-01	1.10E-01	1.10E-01	6.85E-02	1.10E-01	1.10E-01	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	1.10E-01	6.75E-01	1.10E-01
1964-1976 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.65E-02	3.79E-02	3.79E-02	1.64E-02		3.79E-02		-			
1977-1984 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	4.95E-02	1.53E-02	1.53E-02	7.47E-03	1.53E-02	1.53E-02	7.92E-05	7.92E-05	1.53E-02	6.93E-02	1.53E-02
1985-1994) (Type III)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.30E-02	1.42E-02	1.42E-02	7.05E-03	1.42E-02	1.42E-02	4.77E-06	4.77E-06	1.42E-02	7.42E-02	1.42E-02
	See Table 3-1			1.01E-02	1.01E-02	4.91E-03		1.01E-02					
2003-present (Type V)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.13E-01	3.50E-02	3.50E-02	1.71E-02	3.50E-02	3.50E-02	1.81E-04	1.81E-04	3.50E-02	1.58E-01	3.50E-02

a. Skin dose is entrance skin dose calculated from air kerma, multiplied by a backscatter factor of 1.35 or 1.4 from NCRP (1989), Table B-8., depending on HVL.

All values based on ORAUT-OTIB-006 (2003).

b. All values based on ORAUT-OTIB-006 (2003).

Table 3B-5. Total dose for organs identified in ICRP 34 (1982) PA and LAT view.

			Organ doses per PA and LAT chest (rem)									
Period	Frequency	LANL employee	Thyroid	Ovaries	Testes	Lungs	Breast	Uterus/embryo	Bone marrow			
1943-1963(Type I)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.03E-01	3.80E-02	7.50E-03	2.00E-01	1.38E-01	3.80E-02	3.69E-02			
1964-1976 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.00E-02	5.31E-04	5.88E-06	6.37E-02	3.61E-02	4.84E-04	1.58E-02			
1977-1984 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	8.69E-03	1.43E-04	5.15E-06	2.74E-02	1.74E-02	1.29E-04	5.91E-03			
1985-1994 (Type III)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	8.03E-03	8.59E-05	5.51E-06	2.55E-02	1.67E-02	9.65E-05	5.02E-03			
1995-2002 (Type IV)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	5.72E-03	9.36E-05	3.38E-06	1.80E-02	1.15E-02	8.45E-05	3.88E-03			
2003-present (Type V)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.99E-02	3.26E-04	1.18E-05	6.26E-02	3.98E-02	2.94E-04	1.35E-02			

Table 3B-6. Total dose for IREP organs not included in ICRP 34 (1982) PA and LAT view

			Organ doses per PA and LAT chest(rem)									
Period	F	LANL	Th	Liver/gall bladder/	Eye/	Faanhamus	Ct a manach	Urinary	Colon	Bone	Claim	Domein den
	Frequency	employee	Thymus	spleen	brain	Esophagus	Stomach	bladder	rectum	surface	Skin	Remainder
1943-1963 (Type I)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	7.49E-02	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	3.80E-02	3.80E-02	2.00E-01	9.45E-01	2.00E-01
1964–1976 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	6.57E-02	6.57E-02	2.01E-02	6.57E-02	6.57E-02	5.29E-04	5.29E-04	6.57e-02	1.11E-01	6.57E-02
1977-1984 (Type II)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.74E-02	2.74E-02	8.69E-03	2.74E-02	2.74E-02	1.43E-04	1.43E-04	2.74E-02	9.70E-02	2.74E-02
1985-1994) (Type III)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	2.55E-02	2.55E-02	8.03E-03	2.55E-02	2.55E-02	4.30E-05	4.30E-05	2.55E-02	1.04E-01	2.55E-02
1995-2002 (Type IV)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	1.80E-02	1.80E-02	5.72E-03	1.80E-02	1.80E-02	5.62E-05	5.62E-05	1.80E-02	6.37E-02	1.80E-02
2003-present (Type V)	See Table 3-1	See Table 3-1	6.26E-02	6.26E-02	1.99E-02	6.26E-02	6.26E-02	3.26E-04	3.26E-04	6.26E-02	9.83E-02	6.26E-02

Effective Date: 12/29/2004	Revision No. 00	Document No. ORAUT-TKBS-0010-3	Page 23 of 23

Table 3B-7. Organ doses for photofluorography examinations.

		Dose conversion factor (mGy per Gy air kerma) ^a HVL 2.5 mm Al for PFG		
	View	Beam for PFG includes thyroid, and thoracic organs.	Organ dose PFG (rem)	
Organ		It does not include gonads, bladder, or colon/rectum.		
Thyroid	PA	174(d)	5.2E-1	
, in the second	LAT			
Eye/brain	PA	32	9.60E-2	
	LAT			
Ovaries	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (c)	
	LAT		, ,	
Liver/gallbladder/spleen	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Urinary bladder	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (c)	
-	LAT		` ,	
Colon/rectum	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (c)	
	LAT			
Testes	PA	N/A	5.0 E-3 (c)	
	LAT		, ,	
Lungs (male)	PA	419	1.26E+00	
	LAT			
Lungs (female)	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Thymus	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Esophagus	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Stomach	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Bone surfaces	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Remainder	PA	451	1.35E+00	
	LAT			
Breast	PA	49	1.47E-1	
	LAT			
Uterus (embryo)	PA	N/A	2.5 E-2 (c)	
	LAT		, ,	
Bone marrow (male)	PA	92	2.76E-1	
, ,	LAT			
Bone marrow (female)	PA	86	2.58E-1	
, ,	LAT			
Skin (b)	PA		4.05E+00	
	LAT			

a. Dose conversion factors from Tables A.2 through A.9, ICRP Publication 34 (1982).

b. Calculated using backscatter factor of 1.35 for HVL of 2.5 mm Al from NCRP (1989, Table B-3).

c. Modified from Webster.

d. Dose Conversion Factor for AP c-spine, corrected for depth by 0.2.