Draft
NIOSH CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research Version 4

January 2010

Department of Health and Human Services
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Foreword

Asbestos has been a highly visible issue in public health for over three decades. During the mid- to late-20th century, many advances were made in the scientific understanding of worker health effects from exposure to asbestos fibers and other elongate mineral particles (EMPs). It is now well documented that fibers of asbestos ~~minerals~~, when inhaled, can cause serious diseases in exposed workers. However, many questions and areas of confusion and scientific uncertainty remain. For instance, due to the mineralogical complexity of the asbestos minerals, the scientific literature contains various inconsistencies in the definition and application of the term asbestos for health protection guidance and regulatory purposes.

As the federal agency responsible for conducting research and making recommendations for the prevention of worker injury and illness, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) is undertaking a reappraisal of how to ensure optimal protection of workers from exposure to asbestos fibers and other EMPs. As a first step in this effort, NIOSH convened an internal work group to develop a framework for future scientific research and policy development. The NIOSH Mineral Fibers Work Group prepared a first draft of this *State of the Science and Roadmap for Scientific Research* (*Roadmap*), summarizing NIOSH's understanding of occupational exposure and toxicity issues concerning asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

NIOSH invited comments on the occupational health issues identified and the framework for research suggested in the first draft *Roadmap*. NIOSH sought other views about additional key issues that should be identified, additional research that should be conducted, and methods for conducting the research. In particular, NIOSH sought input from stakeholders concerning study designs, techniques for generating size-selected fibers, analytic approaches, sources of particular types of EMPs suitable for experimental studies, and worker populations suitable for epidemiological study. Based on comments received during the public and expert peer review process, NIOSH revised the *Roadmap* and invited public review of the revised version by stakeholders. After further revision and public comment, a revised draft *Roadmap* was submitted for review by the National Academies of Science in early 2009. Based on the National Academies assessment of the draft *Roadmap*, revisions were made and NIOSH is now disseminating this fourth version of the document for final public comment.

The purpose of the *Roadmap* is to outline a research agenda that will guide the development of specific research programs and projects that will provide a broader and clearer understanding of the important determinants of toxicity for asbestos and other EMPs. NIOSH recognizes that results from such research may impact environmental as well as occupational health policies and practices. Many of the issues that are important in the workplace are also important to communities and to the general population.

Therefore, NIOSH envisions that the planning and conduct of the research will be a collaborative effort involving active participation of multiple federal agencies, including the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the National Toxicology Program (NTP), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the United States Geological Survey (USGS), as well as labor, industry, academia, health and safety practitioners, and other interested parties, including international groups. This collaboration will help to focus the scope of the research, to fund and conduct research, and to develop and disseminate informational materials describing research results and their implications for establishing new occupational and public health policies.

The *Roadmap* also includes a clarified rewording of the NIOSH recommended exposure limit (REL) for airborne asbestos fibers. This clarification is not intended to establish a new NIOSH occupational health policy for asbestos, and no regulatory response by OSHA or MSHA is requested or expected.

John Howard, M.D.
Director
January 2010

CONTENTS

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

Executive Summary

In the 1970s, federal enforcement agencies in the United States developed occupational regulatory definitions and standards for exposure to airborne asbestos fibers based on human evidence of respiratory disease observed in workers- exposed to commercially-produced asbestos ~~workers~~. Since the promulgation of these standards, which apply to the six commercially used asbestos minerals—chrysotile, and the amphibole minerals cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos (amosite), riebeckite asbestos (crocidolite), actinolite asbestos, anthophyllite asbestos, and tremolite asbestos—the use of asbestos in the United States has declined substantially and mining of asbestos in the United States ceased in 2002. Nevertheless, many asbestos products remain in use and new asbestos-containing products continue to be manufactured in or imported into the United States.

As more information became available on the relationship between the dimensions of asbestos fibers and their ability to cause respiratory disease and cancer, interest increased in exposure to other "mineral fibers." The term "mineral fiber" has been frequently used by non-mineralogists to encompass thoracic-size elongate mineral particles (EMPs) that grow either in an asbestiform habit (e.g., asbestos fibers) or in a nonasbestiform habit (e.g., as needle-like [acicular] or prismatic crystals), as well as EMPs that result from the crushing or fracturing of non-fibrous minerals (e.g., cleavage fragments). Certain EMPs that grow in asbestiform habits are clearly of substantial health concern. It remains uncertain whether other thoracic-size EMPs with mineralogical compositions similar to the asbestiform minerals also warrant substantial health concern.

In 1990, NIOSH revised its recommendation concerning occupational exposure to airborne asbestos fibers. At issue were concerns about potential health risks associated with worker exposures to EMPs with mineralogical compositions similar to those of the asbestos minerals and the inability of the analytical method routinely used for airborne fibers (i.e., phase contrast microscopy [PCM]) to differentiate between individual particles of these other EMPs and fibers from the asbestos minerals. This problem was further compounded by the lack of more sensitive analytical methods that could distinguish asbestos fibers from other EMPs having the same elemental composition. To address these concerns and ensure that workers are protected, NIOSH defined "airborne asbestos fibers" to encompass not only fibers from the six previously listed asbestos minerals (chrysotile, crocidolite, amosite, anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite asbestos, and actinolite asbestos), but also EMPs from their nonasbestiform analogs. NIOSH retained the use of PCM for measuring airborne fiber concentrations and counting those EMPs having: (1) an aspect ratio of 3:1 or greater; and (2) a length greater than 5 μm. NIOSH also retained its recommended exposure limit (REL) of 0.1 "airborne asbestos fibers" per cubic centimeter (f/cm^3).

Since 1990, several persistent concerns have been raised about the revised NIOSH recommendation. These concerns include:

- NIOSH's explicit inclusion of EMPs from nonasbestiform amphiboles in its 1990 revised definition of "airborne asbestos fibers" is based on inconclusive science and contrasts with the regulatory approach subsequently taken by OSHA and by MSHA.

- The revised "airborne asbestos fibers" definition does not explicitly encompass EMPs from other asbestiform amphiboles (e.g., winchite and richterite) or other fibrous minerals (e.g., erionite) that have been associated with health effects similar to those caused by asbestos.

- The specified dimensional criteria (length and aspect ratio) for EMPs covered by the revised "airborne asbestos fibers" definition may not be optimal for protecting the health of exposed workers because they are not based solely on health concerns.

- Other physicochemical parameters, such as durability and surface activity, may be important toxicological parameters but are not reflected in the revised definition of "airborne asbestos fibers."

- NIOSH's use of the term "airborne asbestos fibers" to describe all airborne EMPs covered by the REL differs from the way mineralogists use the term and this inconsistency leads to confusion about the toxicity of EMPs.

NIOSH recognizes that its 1990 description of the particles included in the REL for airborne asbestos fibers has created confusion, causing many to infer that the nonasbestiform minerals included in the NIOSH definition are "asbestos." In this document, NIOSH makes clear that such nonasbestiform minerals are not "asbestos" or "asbestos minerals," and clarifies which particles are included in the REL. This clarification also provides a basis for a better understanding of the need for the proposed research. Clarification of this REL does not change the existing NIOSH occupational health policy for asbestos, and no regulatory response by OSHA or MSHA is requested or expected.

PCM, the primary method specified by NIOSH, OSHA, and MSHA for analysis of air samples for asbestos fibers, has several limitations, including limited ability to resolve very thin fibers and to differentiate various types of EMPs from each other or even from organic fibers. Occupational exposure limits derived from human risk assessments have been based on exposures to airborne ~~asbestos~~ fiber concentrations arising from handling commercially-produced asbestos and determined directly using PCM or indirectly using

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

conversions to estimated PCM-based fiber concentrations from older impinger-based particle count concentrations. Current lung cancer risk estimates for airborne asbestos fiber exposure are based on a combination ~~only a subset~~ of airborne fibers ascertained using PCM and fiber concentrations estimated from particle concentrations. The standard PCM method counts only fibers longer than 5 µm. Moreover some fibers longer than 5 µm are too thin to be detected by PCM. Thus, this analytical method leaves an undetermined number of fibers collected on each sample uncounted. More sensitive analytical methods are currently available, but standardization and validation of these methods will be required before they can be recommended for routine analysis. In addition, any substantive change in analytical techniques used to evaluate exposures to asbestos and/or the criteria for determining exposure concentrations will necessitate a reassessment of current risk estimates, which are based on PCM-derived fiber concentrations.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

While epidemiological evidence clearly indicates a causal relationship between exposure to asbestiform fibers from the asbestos minerals and various adverse health outcomes, including asbestosis, lung cancer, and mesothelioma, results from epidemiological studies of workers exposed to EMPs from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals are equivocal. Due to various study limitations, NIOSH has viewed findings from these studies as providing inconclusive, as opposed to either positive or negative, evidence. Populations of interest for possible epidemiological studies include workers at talc mines in upstate New York and workers at taconite mines in northeastern Minnesota, whose exposures are to predominantly nonasbestiform EMPs. Studies may also be warranted for worker populations exposed to other EMPs, such as winchite and richterite fibers (i.e., asbestiform EMPs identified in vermiculite from a former mine near Libby, Montana), zeolites, amphiboles, and other minerals. —The fiber exposures in the relevant published epidemiological studies, with both positive and negative findings, have, in most cases, been poorly characterized (i.e. particle size distributions, mineralogical and chemical properties, etc.). A comprehensive examination of the source materials in these studies needs to be performed so that the nature of the exposures can be thoroughly characterized. This will be helpful in understanding the fibers/particles presenting the most risk to least risk.

Although additional opportunities for informative observational epidemiological studies may be somewhat limited, there is considerable potential for experimental animal and *in vitro* studies to address specific scientific questions relating to the toxicity of EMPs. Short-term *in vivo* animal studies and *in vitro* studies have been conducted to variously examine cellular and tissue responses to EMPs, identify pathogenic mechanisms involved in those responses, and understand morphological and/or physicochemical EMP properties controlling those mechanisms. Long-term studies of animals exposed to EMPs have been conducted to assess the risk for adverse health outcomes (primarily lung cancer, mesothelioma, and lung fibrosis) associated with various types and dimensions of EMPs. Such studies have produced evidence demonstrating the importance of

dimensional characteristics of mineral particles for determining carcinogenic potential of durable EMPs. ~~In fact, NIOSH's policy decision in 1990 to include the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals as covered minerals under its definition of "airborne asbestos fibers" was largely based on evidence from these long-term animal studies.~~ Unless NIOSH can be more specific as to the studies relied upon, it is recommended that this general statement be deleted. Although *in vitro* studies and animal studies are subject to uncertainties with respect to how their findings apply to humans, such studies are warranted to systematically study and better understand the impacts of dimension, morphology, chemistry, and biopersistence of EMPs on malignant and nonmalignant respiratory disease outcomes.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

To reduce existing scientific uncertainties and to help resolve current policy controversies, a strategic research program is needed that encompasses endeavors in toxicology, exposure assessment, epidemiology, mineralogy, and analytical methods. The findings of such research can contribute to the development of new policies for exposures to airborne asbestos fibers and other EMPs with recommendations for exposure indices that are not only more effective in protecting workers' health, but are firmly based on quantitative estimates of health risk. To bridge existing scientific uncertainties, this *Roadmap* proposes that interdisciplinary research address the following three strategic goals: (1) develop a broader and clearer understanding of the important determinants of toxicity for EMPs; (2) develop information on occupational exposures to various EMPs and health risks associated with such exposures; and (3) develop improved sampling and analytical methods for asbestos fibers and other EMPs that distinguish harmful exposures from those that do not present similar risks.

Developing a broader and clearer understanding of the important determinants of toxicity for EMPs will involve systematically conducting *in vitro* studies and *in vivo* animal studies to ascertain which physical and chemical properties of EMPs influence their toxicity and their underlying mechanisms of action. The *in vitro* studies could help inform on membranolytic, cytotoxic, and genotoxic activities as well as signaling mechanisms. The *in vivo* animal studies will involve a multi-species testing approach for short-term assays to develop information for designing chronic inhalation studies and to develop information on biomarkers and mechanisms of disease. Chronic animal inhalation studies are required to address the impacts of dimension, morphology, chemistry, and biopersistence on critical disease endpoints of cancer induction and nonmalignant respiratory disease. Chronic inhalation studies will be designed to provide solid scientific evidence on which to base human risk assessments for a variety of EMPs. The results of both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies will need to be assessed with respect to how they compare with what is known regarding human EMP exposure and disease. This assessment will need to incorporate what can be determined from the nature of the EMP exposures of the relevant published epidemiological studies.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Developing information and knowledge on occupational exposures to various EMPs and potential health outcomes will involve: (1) collecting and analyzing available occupational exposure information to ascertain the characteristics and extent of exposure to various types of EMPs; (2) collecting and analyzing available information on health outcomes associated with exposures to various types of EMPs; (3) conducting epidemiological studies of workers exposed to various types of EMPs to better define the association between exposure and health effects; 4.) examining the nature (i.e. particle size distributions, mineralogical and chemical properties, etc.) of the potential exposures from the ores and products handled by the workers studied in the published epidemiological studies involving EMPs. and (45) developing and validating methods for screening, diagnosis, and secondary prevention for diseases caused by exposure to asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

Developing improved sampling and analytical methods for EMPs will involve: (1) reducing inter-operator and inter-laboratory variability of currently used analytical methods; (2) developing ~~a~~ practical analytical methods that will permit the counting, sizing, and identification of all EMPs deemed biologically relevant and that can distinguish between those that are not biologically relevant; (3) developing a practical analytical method that can assess the potential durability of EMPs as one determinant of biopersistence in the lung; and (4) developing and validating size-selective sampling methods for collecting and quantifying airborne thoracic-size asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

A primary anticipated outcome of the research that is broadly outlined above would be the identification of the physicochemical parameters such as chemical composition, dimensional attributes (e.g., ranges of length, width, and aspect ratio), and durability as predictors of biopersistence, as well as of particle surface characteristics or activities (e.g., generation reactive oxygen species [ROS]) as determinants of toxicity of asbestos fibers and other EMPs. The results of the research would also help define the sampling and analytical methods that closely measure the important toxic characteristics. These results can then inform development of appropriate recommendations for worker protection.

Another outcome of the research might be the development of criteria that could be used to reliably predict the relative potential risk associated with exposure to any particular type of EMP based on results of *in vitro* testing and/or short-term *in vivo* testing. Such criteria might include specific chemical compositions, dimensional attributes (e.g., ranges of length, width, and aspect ratio), and durability as predictors of biopersistence, as well as particle surface characteristics or activities. This could reduce the need for comprehensive toxicity testing with long-term *in vivo* animal studies and/or epidemiological evaluation of each type of EMP. The results from such studies could be used to fill in knowledge gaps beyond EMPs to encompass predictions of relative toxicities and adverse health outcomes associated with exposure to other elongate

particles (EPs), including inorganic and organic manufactured particles. A coherent risk management approach that fully incorporates an understanding of the toxicity of particles could then be developed to minimize the potential for disease in exposed individuals and populations. Whether criteria can be developed to evaluate the potential toxicity of EMPs based exclusively on *in vitro* or short-term *in vivo* testing is currently unclear, but the challenge to work toward such an outcome could stimulate beneficial research and debate.

Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Scientific Research is intended to define the scientific and technical research issues that need to be addressed to ensure that workers are optimally protected from health risks posed by exposures to asbestos fibers and other EMPs. Achievement of the research goals framed in the *Roadmap* will require a significant investment of time, scientific talent, and resources by NIOSH and others. This investment, however, can result in a sound scientific basis for better occupational health protection policies for asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

Acknowledgements

This document was prepared under the aegis of the NIOSH Mineral Fibers Work Group by members of the NIOSH staff. Many internal NIOSH reviewers not listed also provided critical feedback important to the preparation of this *Roadmap*.

The NIOSH Mineral Fibers Work Group acknowledges the contributions of Jimmy Stephens, PhD, former NIOSH Associate Director for Science, who initiated work on this document and articulated many of its most critical issues in an early draft.

The NIOSH Mineral Fibers Work Group also acknowledges the contributions of Gregory Meeker, USGS, who participated in discussions of the pertinent mineralogy and mineralogical nomenclature.

NIOSH Mineral Fibers Work Group

Paul Baron, PhD
John Breslin, PhD
Robert Castellan, MD, MPH
Vincent Castranova, PhD
Joseph Fernback, BS
Frank Hearl, SMChE
Martin Harper, PhD
Jeffrey Kohler, PhD
Paul Middendorf, PhD, Chair
Teresa Schnorr, PhD
Paul Schulte, PhD
Patricia Sullivan, ScD
David Weissman, MD
Ralph Zumwalde, MS

Major Contributors

Paul Middendorf, PhD
Ralph Zumwalde, MS
Robert Castellan, MD, MPH
Martin Harper, PhD
William Wallace, PhD
Leslie Stayner, PhD
Vincent Castranova, PhD
Frank Hearl, SMChE
Patricia Sullivan, ScD

Peer Reviewers

NIOSH greatly appreciates the time and efforts of expert peer reviewers and public commenters who provided comments and suggestions on the initial publicly disseminated draft of this *Roadmap*, and public comments on the revised publicly disseminated draft of this *Roadmap*. Their input has been reviewed, considered, and addressed as appropriate to develop this draft of the *Roadmap*.

William Eschenbacher, MD
Group Health Associates

Morton Lippmann, PhD
New York University

David Michaels, PhD, MPH
George Washington University

Franklin Mirer, PhD
Hunter College

Brooke Mossman, PhD
University of Vermont

L. Christine Oliver, MD, MPH
Harvard School of Medicine

William N. Rom, MD, MPH
New York University

Brad Van Gosen, MS
U.S. Geological Survey

Ann Wylie, PhD
University of Maryland

Institutes of Medicine and National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences

NIOSH also appreciates the time and efforts of the NAS committee members, consultant, and study staff who contributed to the development of the NAS Report *A Review of the NIOSH Roadmap for Research on Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles* on the January 2009 version of the draft *Roadmap*. The individuals contributing to the report are identified in the NAS Report.

Document History

Throughout its development, this *Roadmap* has undergone substantial public comment and scientific peer review with subsequent revision. A listing of the various draft versions disseminated for public comment and/or scientific peer review is presented here.

February 2007 –Draft entitled *Asbestos and Other Mineral Fibers: A Roadmap for Scientific Research* was disseminated for public comment and scientific peer review.

June 2008 –Draft entitled *Revised Draft NIOSH CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN - Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research* was disseminated for public comment.

January 2009 –Draft entitled *Revised Draft NIOSH CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN - Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongated Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research* was submitted to the Institute of Medicine and the National Research Council of the National Academies of Science for scientific review.

January 2010 –Draft entitled *Draft NIOSH CURRENT NTELLIGENCE BULLETIN - Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research – Version 4* is being disseminated for public comment.

Abbreviations

8-OHdG	8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine
AED	aerodynamic equivalent diameter
AIHA	American Industrial Hygiene Association
AP-1	activator protein-1
ASTM	ASTM International
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry
BAL	bronchoalveolar lavage
BrdU	bromodeoxyuridine
CI	confidence interval
COX-2	cyclooxygenase-2
CPSC	Consumer Product Safety Commission
DM	dark-medium microscopy
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DPPC	dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine
ED	electron diffraction
EDS	energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
EGFR	epidermal growth factor receptor
EM	electron microscopy
EMP	elongate mineral particle
EP	elongate particle
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
ESR	electron spin resonance
f/cm^3	fibers per cubic centimeter
f/mL-yr	fibers per milliliter-year
HSL/ULO	Health and Safety Laboratory/UL Optics
ICD	International Classification of Diseases
IgG	immunoglobulin G
IL	interleukin
IMA	International Mineralogical Association
IMIS	Integrated Management Information System
IP	intraperitoneal
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
L	liter
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
LOQ	limit of quantification
MDH	Minnesota Department of Health
mg/m^3-d	milligrams per cubic meter-days
MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
MMAD	mass median aerodynamic diameter
MMMF	man-made mineral fiber

Abbreviations (continued)

MMVF	man-made vitreous fiber
mppcf	million particles per cubic foot
MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NFκB	nuclear factor kappa beta
NIEHS	National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
NMRD	nonmalignant respiratory disease
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NORA	National Occupational Research Agenda
NORMS	National Occupational Respiratory Mortality System
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PCM	phase contrast microscopy
PEL	permissible exposure limit
RCF	refractory ceramic fiber
REL	recommended exposure limit
ROS	reactive oxygen species
RTV	RT Vanderbilt Company, Inc.
SAED	selected area X-ray diffraction
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
SMR	standardized mortality ratio
SO	superoxide anion
SOD	superoxide dismutase
SV40	simian virus 40
SVF	synthetic vitreous fiber
SWCNT	single-walled carbon nanotubes
TEM	transmission electron microscopy
TF	tissue factor
TGF	transforming growth factor
TNF-α	tumor necrosis factor-alpha
TWA	time-weighted average
USGS	United States Geological Survey
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

1 INTRODUCTION

Many workers are exposed to a broad spectrum of inhalable particles in their places of work. These particles vary in origin, size, shape, chemistry, and surface properties. Considerable research over many years has been undertaken to understand the potential health effects of these particles and the particle characteristics that are most important in conferring their toxicity. Elongate particles (EPs) have been the subject of much research, and the major focus of research on EPs has related to asbestos particles, a group of elongate mineral particles (EMP) that have long been known to cause serious disease when inhaled. The definitions of EPs and EMPs and how it relates to the federal fiber, asbestiform fibers, and cleavage fragments needs to be stated upfront to put the discussion in context. Because of the demonstrated health effects of asbestos, research attention has also been extended not only to other EMPs, but also to synthetic vitreous fibers which have dimensions similar to asbestos fibers and, more recently, to engineered carbon nanotubes and carbon nanofibers. While non-mineral EPs are of interest, they are not the subject of this *Roadmap*, which focuses on EMPs.

Occupational health policies and associated federal regulations controlling occupational exposure to airborne asbestos fibers have been in existence for decades. Nevertheless, important uncertainties remain to be resolved to fully inform possible revision of existing federal policies and/or development of new federal policies to protect workers from health effects caused by occupational exposure to airborne asbestos fibers. Further research is warranted to develop the science-based knowledge needed to inform the development of new or revised occupational health policies and regulations concerning asbestos fibers.

In addition, health effects caused by exposures to other (non-asbestos) EMPs have not been studied as thoroughly as the health effects caused by exposures to asbestos fibers. Miners and others exposed to amphibole fibers associated with vermiculite from a mine near Libby, Montana, may not have been exposed to commercial asbestos fibers, but the adverse health outcomes they experienced as a result of their exposure indicated that those EMPs were every bit as toxic. Some hardrock miner populations are exposed to EMPs, including elongate "cleavage fragments" of nonasbestiform amphiboles~~, which some laboratory studies have found to demonstrate asbestos-like toxicity, while epidemiological studies to date remain inconclusive~~. Note if the studies cannot be specifically identified, then the reference to them needs to be deleted. Also, studies of human populations exposed to airborne fibers of erionite, a fibrous mineral that is neither asbestos nor amphibole, have documented high rates of malignant mesothelioma (a cancer most commonly associated with exposure to asbestos fibers). Further research is warranted to understand how properties of EMPs determine toxicity so that the nature and magnitude of any potential toxicity associated with an EMP to which workers are exposed in any place of work can be readily predicted and controlled, even when exhaustive long-term studies of that particular EMP have not been carried out.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

This document, *Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research*, has been prepared and is being disseminated with the intent of motivating eventual development and implementation of a coordinated, interdisciplinary research program that can effectively address key remaining issues relating to health hazards associated with exposure asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

Section 2 (*Overview of Current Issues*) of the *Roadmap* provides an overview of available scientific information and identifies important issues which need to be resolved before recommendations for occupational exposure to airborne asbestos fibers and related EMPs can be improved and before recommendations for occupational exposure to other EMPs can be developed. The nature of occupational exposures to asbestos has changed over the last several decades. Once dominated by chronic exposures in asbestos textile mills, friction product manufacturing, cement pipe fabrication, and insulation manufacture and installation, current occupational exposures to asbestos in the United States primarily occur during maintenance activities or remediation of buildings containing asbestos. OSHA has estimated that 1.3 million workers in general industry continue to be exposed to asbestos; NIOSH has estimated that nearly 45,000 mine workers may be exposed. This statement needs a reference since this seems highly inflated. Is this from buildings or is this due to geology/mineralogy? These current occupational exposure scenarios frequently involve short-term, intermittent exposures, and proportionately fewer long fibers than workers were exposed to in the past. The generally lower current exposures give added significance to the question of whether or not there is an asbestos exposure threshold below which workers would incur no risk of adverse health outcomes. The large number of potentially exposed workers and these changed exposure scenarios also give rise to the need to better understand whether appropriate protection is provided by the current occupational exposure recommendations and regulations. In addition, limited information is currently available on exposures to, and health effects of, other EMPs.

Formatted: Highlight

Section 3 (*Framework for Research*) of this *Roadmap* provides a general framework for research needed to address the key issues. NIOSH envisions that this general framework will serve as a basis for a future interdisciplinary research program carried out a variety of organizations to elucidate exposures to EMPs, any adverse health effects caused by these exposures, and the influence of size, shape, and other physical and chemical characteristics of EMPs on human health. Findings from this research would provide a basis for determining which EMPs should be included in recommendations to protect workers from hazardous occupational exposures along with appropriate exposure limits. A fully informed strategy for prioritizing research on EMPs will be based on a systematic collection and evaluation of available information on occupational exposures to EMPs.

Section 4 (*The Path Forward*) of this *Roadmap* broadly outlines a proposed structure for development and oversight of a comprehensive, interdisciplinary research program. Key to this approach will be the active involvement of stakeholders representing parties with

differing views, expert study groups specifying and guiding various components of the research program, and a multidisciplinary group providing careful ongoing review and oversight to ensure relevance, coordination, and impact of the overall research program. NIOSH does not intend this (or any other) section of the *Roadmap* to be prescriptive, so detailed research aims, specific research priorities, and funding considerations have intentionally not been specified. Rather, it is expected that these more detailed aspects of the program will be most effectively developed with collaborative input from scientists, policy experts, and managers from various agencies, as well as from other interested stakeholders.

2 OVERVIEW OF CURRENT ISSUES

2.1 Background

Prior to the 1970s, concern about the health effects of occupational exposure to airborne fibers was focused on six commercially exploited minerals termed "asbestos:" the serpentine mineral chrysotile and the amphibole minerals cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos (amosite), riebeckite asbestos (crocidolite), actinolite asbestos, anthophyllite asbestos, and tremolite asbestos. The realization that dimensional characteristics of asbestos fibers were important physical parameters in the initiation of respiratory disease led to studies of other elongate mineral particles (EMPs) of similar dimensions [Stanton et al. 1981]. **Note: there are many more recent publications that address this issue.**

To date, interest in EMPs other than asbestos fibers has been focused primarily on fibrous minerals exploited commercially (e.g., wollastonite, sepiolite, and attapulgite). Exposure to airborne thoracic-size EMPs generated from the crushing and fracturing of nonasbestiform amphibole minerals has also garnered substantial interest. The asbestos minerals, as well as other types of fibrous minerals, are typically associated with other minerals in geologic formations at various locations in the United States [Van Gosen 2007]. The biological significance of occupational exposure to airborne particles remains unknown for many of these minerals and will be difficult to ascertain given the mixed and sporadic nature of exposure in many work environments and the general lack of well-characterized exposure information.

The complex and evolving terminology used to name and describe the various minerals from which airborne EMPs are generated has led to much confusion and uncertainty in scientific and lay discourse related to asbestos fibers and other EMPs. To help reduce such confusion and uncertainty about the content of this *Roadmap*, several new terms are used in the Roadmap and defined in the Glossary (Section 6). However, the lack of uniformity in the use of terms and the lack of precision in the definitions for many of the scientific terms remain issues which cannot be resolved in this *Roadmap*. Definitions for mineralogical and other scientific terms used in the Roadmap are provided from a variety of sources.

To address current controversies and uncertainties concerning exposure assessment and health effects relating to asbestos fibers and other EMPs, strategic research endeavors are needed in toxicology, mineralogy, exposure assessment, epidemiology, risk assessment, and analytical methods. The results of such research can inform the potential development of new policies for asbestos fibers and other EMPs with recommendations for exposure limits that are firmly based on well-established risk estimates and that effectively protect workers' health. What follows in the remainder of Section 2 is an overview of: (1) definitions and terms relevant to asbestos fibers and other EMPs; (2)

trends in production and use of asbestos; (3) occupational exposures to asbestos and asbestos-related diseases; (4) sampling and analytical issues; and (5) physicochemical properties associated with EMP toxicity.

2.2 Minerals and Mineral Morphology

Minerals are naturally occurring inorganic compounds with a specific crystalline structure and elemental composition. Asbestos is a term applied to several silicate minerals from the serpentine and amphibole groups that grow in an asbestiform ~~a fibrous~~ habit and have properties such as high tensile strength, large aspect ratios,and resistance to chemical and thermal degradation that have made them commercially valuable. The fibers of all varieties of asbestos are long, thin, and usually flexible when separated. One variety of asbestos, chrysotile, is a mineral in the serpentine group of sheet silicates. Five varieties of asbestos are minerals in the amphibole group of double-chain silicates—riebeckite asbestos (crocidolite), cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos (amosite), anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite asbestos, and actinolite asbestos [Virta 2002].

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Although a large amount of health information has been generated on workers occupationally exposed to asbestos, limited mineral characterization information and the use of non-mineralogical names for asbestos have resulted in uncertainty and confusion about the specific nature of exposures described in many published studies. Trade names for mined asbestos minerals predated the development of rigorous scientific nomenclature. For example, amosite is the trade name for asbestiform cummingtonite-grunerite and crocidolite is the trade name for asbestiform riebeckite. A changing mineralogical nomenclature for amphiboles has also contributed to frequent uncertainty in the specific identification of minerals reported in the literature. Over the past 50 years, several systems for naming amphibole minerals have been used. The current mineralogical nomenclature was unified by the International Mineralogical Association (IMA) under a single system in 1978 [Leake 1978] and later modified in 1997 [Leake et al. 1997]. For some amphibole minerals, the name assigned under the 1997 IMA system is different than the name used prior to 1978.

Adding to the complexity of the nomenclature, serpentine and amphibole minerals typically develop through the alteration of other minerals. Consequently, they may exist as partially altered minerals having variations in elemental compositions. For example, the microscopic analysis of an elongate amphibole particle using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) can reveal variations in elemental composition along the particle's length, making it difficult to identify the particle as a single specific amphibole mineral. In addition, a mineral may occur in different growth forms, or "habits," both sharing the same name, elemental composition, and chemical structure however they have different morphologies.

Mineral habit results from the environmental conditions present during a mineral's formation. The mineralogical terms applied to habits are generally descriptive (e.g.,

fibrous, massive, prismatic, acicular, asbestiform, tabular, and platy). Both asbestiform (fibrous bundles) and nonasbestiform (massive) versions (i.e., analogs) of the same mineral can occur in juxtaposition or matrixed together, so that both analogs of the same mineral can occur within a narrow geological formation.

The habits of amphibole minerals vary from stubby prismatic crystals of hornblende, through prismatic or acicular crystals of riebeckite, actinolite, tremolite and others, to asbestiform habits ~~fibrous forms~~ of grunerite (amosite), anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite-actinolite asbestos, and riebeckite (crocidolite). The prismatic and acicular crystal habits occur more commonly, and asbestiform habit is relatively rare. Some of the amphiboles, such as hornblendes, are not known to occur in an asbestiform habit. The asbestiform varieties range from finer (flexible) to coarser (more brittle) and often are found in bundles a ~~mixture~~ of fine and coarse fibrils. In addition, properties vary (e.g., density of (010) defects) even within an apparently homogeneous specimen [Dorling and Zussman 1987].

In the scientific literature, the term "mineral fibers" has often been used to refer not only to particles that have grown in an ~~fibrous or~~ asbestiform habit, but also to particles that have grown as needle-like (acicular) single crystals. The term "mineral fibers" has sometimes also encompassed other prismatic crystals and cleavage fragments that meet specified dimensional criteria. Cleavage fragments are generated by crushing and fracturing minerals, including the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals. While the substantial hazards of inhalational exposure to airborne asbestos fibers have been well documented, there is ongoing debate ~~controversy~~ about whether exposure to thoracic-size EMPs from nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals is also substantially hazardous.

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

2.3 Terminology

The use of non-standard terminology or terms with imprecise definitions when reporting studies makes it difficult to fully understand the implications of these studies or to compare the results to other studies. For the health community, this ultimately hampers research efforts, leads to ambiguity in exposure-response relationships, and could also lead to imprecise recommendations to protect human health. Terms are often interpreted differently between disciplines. The situation is complicated by further different usage of the same terms by stakeholders outside of the scientific community. NIOSH has carefully reviewed numerous resources and has not found current references for standard terminology and definitions in several disciplines that are complete and unambiguous. An earlier tabulation of asbestos-related terminology by the USGS demonstrated similar issues [Lowers and Meeker 2002].

NIOSH supports the development of standard terminology and definitions which are acceptable to the majority of scientists relevant to the issues of asbestos and other EMPs. NIOSH also supports the dissemination of standard terminology and definitions to the

community of non-scientists and encourages adoption and usage by this community. The need for the development and standardization of unambiguous terminology and definitions warrants a priority effort of the greater scientific community that should precede, or at least be concurrent with, further research efforts.

2.3.1 Geological Definitions

The minerals of primary concern are the asbestiform minerals which have been regulated as asbestos (chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite, tremolite asbestos, actinolite asbestos and anthophyllite asbestos). However, some of these mineral names (crocidolite and amosite) are not recognized as proper mineral names. In addition, there is also interest in related minerals that may resemble asbestos (e.g. fibrous antigorite, richterite, and winchite), unrelated fibrous minerals (e.g. the zeolites erionite and mordenite, the clay minerals sepiolite and palygorskite, etc.), and individual particles or fragments of the nonasbestiform asbestos minerals. Individual minerals are precisely defined by their chemical composition and crystallography. Ionic substitutions occur in minerals, especially for metal cations of similar ionic charge or size. Such substitution can result in an *isomorphous series* (also referred to as *solid-solution* or *mixed crystal*) consisting of minerals of varying composition between end-members with a specific chemical composition. The differences in chemical composition within an isomorphous series can result in different properties such as color and hardness, as well as differences in crystal properties by alteration of unit-cell dimensions. It is sometimes possible to differentiate mineral species based on distinctive changes through an isomorphous series. However, in general, classification occurs by an arbitrary division based on chemistry, and this can be complicated by having multiple sites of possible substitution (e.g., in a specific mineral, calcium may exchange for magnesium in one position while sodium and potassium may be exchanged in another position). These allocations are open to re-evaluation and re-classification over time (e.g., the mineral richterite was once known as soda-tremolite).

When certain minerals were marketed or regulated as asbestos, the mineral names had definitions that may have been imprecise at the time and may have changed over time. In particular, the mineral name amosite was a commercial term for a mineral that was not well defined at first. The definition of amosite in the *Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms* [USBOM 1996] and in the *Glossary of Geology* [American Geological Institute 2005] allow for the possibility that amosite may be anthophyllite asbestos, although it is now known to be a mineral in the cummingtonite-grunerite series. This is one source of confusion in the literature.

A further source of confusion comes from the use of the geological terms for a mineral habit. Minerals of the same chemistry differing only in the expression of their crystallinity (e.g., massive, fibrous, asbestiform, prismatic) are not differentiated in geology as independent species. Thus, tremolite in an ~~fibrous~~ asbestiform crystal habit is not given a separate name (either chemical or common) from tremolite in a more massive

habit. However, the asbestiform habit is somewhat unique in mineralogy, and crystals grown in this habit can be distinguished by certain characteristics, such as parallel or radiating growth of very thin and elongate crystals that are to some degree flexible, the presence of bundles of fibrils, and, for amphiboles, a particular combination of twinning, stacking faults and defects [Chisholm, 1973]. Unlike the asbestiform habit, the nonasbestiform habit of minerals does not require a unique set of geologic conditions to form so they are much more prevalent in nature and can be commonly found in the absence of their asbestiform counterparts or analogs. ~~Nevertheless,~~ When the asbestiform habit of a mineral is present in nature, the ~~and~~ nonasbestiform habits ~~are~~ is commonly found ~~together~~also, and an asbestos deposit or product derived from it may not include wholly asbestiform material in the same way in which minerals not considered as asbestos may contain asbestiform material. The mineralogical community uses many terms, including fibril, fiber, fibrous, acicular, needlelike, prismatic, and columnar, to denote crystals that are elongate. In contrast, in sedimentology, similar terms have been defined with specific axial ratios.

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Thus it is not clear, even from a single source, exactly what range of morphologies are described by these terms and the degree of overlap, if any. For example, the *Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms* defines fibril as "a single fiber, which cannot be separated into smaller components without losing its fibrous properties or appearance," but also defines a fiber as "the smallest single strand of asbestos or other fibrous material."

2.3.2 Other Terms and Definitions.

Health-related professions also employ terminology that can be used imprecisely. For example, the terms "inhalable" and "respirable" have different meanings, but are sometimes used interchangeably. Particles can enter the human airways, but the aspiration efficiency, the degree of penetration to different parts of the airways, and the extent of deposition depend on particle aerodynamics, as well as on the geometry and flow dynamics within the airways. In addition to obvious differences between species (e.g. mouse, rat, dog, primate, human), there is a significant range of variation within a species based on, for example, age, sex, body mass, and work-rate. Thus, these terms may mean different things to a toxicologist engaging in animal inhalation experiments, an environmental specialist concerned with childhood exposure, and an industrial hygienist concerned with adult, mostly male, workers.

2.4 Trends in Asbestos Use, Occupational Exposures, and Disease

2.4.1 Trends in Asbestos Use

Over recent decades, mining and use of asbestos have declined in the United States. The mining of asbestos in the United States ceased in 2002. Consumption of raw asbestos continues to decline from a peak of 803,000 metric tons in 1973 [USGS 2006]. In 2006,

2000 metric tons of raw asbestos were imported, down from an estimated 35,000 metric tons in 1991 (see Figure 1) and a peak of 718,000 metric tons in 1973. Unlike information on the importation of raw asbestos, information is not readily available on the importation of asbestos-containing products. The primary recent uses for asbestos materials in the United States are estimated as 55% for roofing products, 26% for coatings and compounds, and 19% for other applications [USGS 2007], and more recently as 84% for roofing products and 16% for other applications [USGS 2008].



Figure 1. U.S. asbestos production and imports, 1991–2007. Source of data: USGS [2008].

Worldwide, the use of asbestos has declined. Using the amount of asbestos mined as a surrogate for the amount used, worldwide annual use has declined from about 5 million metric tons in 1975 to about 2 million metric tons since 1999 [Taylor et al. 2006]. The European Union has banned imports and the use of asbestos with limited exceptions. In other regions of the world, there is a continued demand for inexpensive, durable construction materials. Consequently, markets remain strong in some countries for asbestos-cement products, such as asbestos-cement panels for construction of buildings and asbestos-cement pipe for water-supply lines. Currently over 70% of all mined asbestos is used in Eastern Europe and Asia [Tossavainen 2005].

Historically, chrysotile accounted for more than 90% of the world's mined asbestos; it presently accounts for over 99% [Ross and Virta 2001; USGS 2008]. Mining of crocidolite (asbestiform riebeckite) and amosite (asbestiform cummingtonite-grunerite) deposits have accounted for most of the remaining asbestos, although mining of amosite ceased in 1992 and mining of crocidolite ended in 1997 (crocidolite is still being mined in Bolivia and tremolite asbestos is being mined in India and Korea). Small amounts of anthophyllite asbestos have been mined in Finland [Ross and Virta 2001] and are currently being mined in India [Ansari et al. 2007].

2.4.2 Trends in Occupational Exposure

Since 1986, the annual geometric mean concentrations of occupational exposures to asbestos in the United States, as reported in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's (OSHA) Integrated Management Information System (IMIS) and the Mine Safety and Health Administration's (MSHA) database, have been consistently below the NIOSH recommended exposure limit (REL) of 0.1 fibers per cubic centimeter of air (f/cm^3) for all major industry divisions (Figure 2). The number of occupational asbestos exposures that were measured and reported in IMIS decreased from an average of 890 per year during the 8-year period of 1987–1994 to 241 per year during the 5-year period of 1995–1999 and 135 for the 4 year period of 2000–2003. The percentage exceeding the NIOSH REL decreased from 6.3% in 1987–1994 to 0.9% in 1995–1999, but increased to 4.3% in 2000–2003. During the same three periods, the number of exposures measured and reported in MSHA's database decreased from an average of 47 per year during 1987–1994 to an average of 23 per year during 1995–1999, but increased to 84 during 2000–2003, most of which were collected in 2000. The percentage exceeding the NIOSH REL decreased from 11.1% in 1987–1994 to 2.6% in 1995–1999, but increased to 9.8% in 2000–2003 [NIOSH 2007a]. **NOTE: MSHA did not analyze for asbestos by TEM if the PCM exposure level was below 2 fibers/cc until it began rulemaking in 2000 so it is unknown how many samples exceeded the REL prior to 2000.**

Formatted: Font: Bold

The preceding summary of occupational exposures to airborne fibers presumed to be asbestos is based on the OSHA and MSHA regulatory definitions relating to asbestos. Because of analytical limitations of the phase contrast microscopy (PCM) method and the variety of workplaces from which the data were obtained, it is unclear what portions of these exposures were to EMPs from nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals, which have been explicitly encompassed by the NIOSH REL for airborne asbestos fibers since 1990. It should also be pointed out that the PCM counts summarized above could also be overestimated by the fact that countable particles that may have included organic fibers or other EMPs not related to asbestos mineralogy.



Figure 2. Asbestos: Annual geometric mean exposure concentrations by major industry division, MSHA and OSHA samples, 1979–2003. Source of data: NIOSH [2007a]. Note: the MSHA PEL for this time period was 2 f/cm^3.

Very limited information is available on the number of workers still exposed to asbestos. Based on MSHA [2002] mine employment data, an estimated 44,000 miners and other mine workers may be exposed to asbestos or amphibole cleavage fragments during the mining of some mineral commodities [NIOSH 2002]. Is this number of miners pertaining to cleavage fragments or real asbestos? Is this only for igneous and metamorphic rock mines? OSHA estimated in 1990 that about 568,000 workers in production and services industries and 114,000 in construction industries may be exposed to asbestos in the workplace [OSHA 1990]. More recently, OSHA has estimated that 1.3 million employees in construction and general industry face significant asbestos exposure on the job [OSHA 2008].

Formatted: Highlight

In addition to evidence from OSHA and MSHA that indicates a reduction in occupational exposures in the United States over the last several decades of the 1900s, other information compiled on workplace exposures to asbestos indicates that the nature of occupational exposures to asbestos has changed [Rice and Heineman 2003]. Once dominated by chronic exposures in manufacturing processes such as those used in textile mills, friction product manufacturing, and cement pipe fabrication, current occupational exposures to asbestos in the United States primarily occur during maintenance activities or remediation of buildings containing asbestos. These current occupational exposure scenarios frequently involve short-term, intermittent exposures.

2.4.3 Trends in Asbestos-related Disease

Epidemiological studies of workers occupationally exposed to asbestos have clearly documented their substantially increased risk of several respiratory diseases, including lung cancer, mesothelioma, diffuse fibrosis of the lung, and non-malignant pleural abnormalities including acute pleuritis and chronic diffuse and localized thickening of the pleura. In addition, it has been determined that laryngeal cancer [IOM 2006] and ovarian cancer [Straif et al. 2009] can be caused by exposure to asbestos, and evidence suggests that asbestos may also cause other diseases (e.g., pharyngeal, stomach, and colorectal cancers [IOM 2006] and immune disorders [ATSDR 2001]).

National surveillance data, showing trends over time, are available for two diseases with rather specific mineral fiber etiologies—asbestosis and malignant mesothelioma (see following sub-sections). Lung cancer is known to be caused in part by asbestos fiber exposure, but has multiple etiologies. Ongoing national surveillance for lung cancer caused by asbestos exposure has not been done. However, using various assumptions and methods, several researchers have projected the number of U.S. lung cancer deaths caused by asbestos. Examples of the projected number of asbestos-caused lung cancer deaths in the United States include 55,100 [Walker et al. 1983] and 76,700 [Lilienfeld et al. 1988], each of these projections representing the 30-year period from 1980 through 2009. However, in the absence of specific diagnostic criteria and a specific disease code for the subset of lung cancers caused by asbestos, ongoing surveillance cannot be done for lung cancer caused by asbestos.

2.4.3.1 Asbestosis

NIOSH has annually tracked U.S. asbestosis deaths since 1968 and malignant mesothelioma deaths since 1999 using death certificate data in the National Occupational Respiratory Mortality System (NORMS). NORMS data, representing all deaths among U.S. residents, show that asbestosis deaths increased almost 20-fold from the late 1960s to the late 1990s (Figure 6) [NIOSH 2007b]. Asbestosis mortality trends are expected to substantially trail trends in asbestos exposures (see Section 2.4.2) for two primary reasons: (1) the latency period between asbestos exposure and asbestosis onset is typically long, commonly one or two decades or more; and (2) asbestosis is a chronic disease, so affected individuals can live for many years with the disease before succumbing. In fact, asbestosis deaths have apparently plateaued (at nearly 1,500 per year) since 2000 (Figure 3) [NIOSH 2007b]. Ultimately, it is anticipated that the annual number of asbestosis deaths in the United States will decrease substantially as a result of documented reductions in exposure. However, asbestos usage has not been completely eliminated, and asbestos-containing materials remain in place in structural materials and machinery, so the potential for exposure remains. Thus, asbestosis deaths in the United States are anticipated to continue to occur for several decades.



Figure 3. Number of asbestosis deaths, U.S. residents age 15 and over, 1968–2004. Source of data: NIOSH [2007b].

2.4.3.2 Malignant Mesothelioma

Malignant mesothelioma, an aggressive disease that is nearly always fatal, is known to be caused by exposure to asbestos and some other mineral fibers [IOM 2006]. The occurrence of mesothelioma has been strongly linked with occupational exposures to asbestos [Bang et al. 2006]. There had been no discrete International Classification of Disease (ICD) code for mesothelioma until its most recent 10th revision. Thus, only seven years of NORMS data are available with a specific ICD code for mesothelioma (Figure 4); during this period, there was a 9% increase in annual mesothelioma deaths, from 2,484 in 1999 to 2,704 in 2005 [NIOSH 2007b]. A later peak for mesothelioma deaths than for asbestosis deaths would be entirely expected, given the longer latency for mesothelioma [Järvholm et al. 1999]. One analysis of malignant mesothelioma incidence based on the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program data found that an earlier steep increase in incidence had moderated and that mesothelioma incidence may have actually peaked sometime in the 1990s in SEER-covered areas [Weill et al. 2004]. In contrast to NORMS data, which represents a census of all deaths in the entire United States, the analyzed SEER data were from areas in which a total of only about 15% of the U.S. population resides.



Figure 4. Number of malignant mesothelioma deaths, U.S. residents age 15 and over, 1999–2005. Source of data: NIOSH [2007b].

2.5 Clinical Issues

A thorough review of how asbestos-related diseases are diagnosed is beyond the scope of this document, and authoritative guidance on the diagnosis and attribution of asbestos-caused diseases has been published elsewhere [Anonymous 1997; British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee 2001; Henderson et al. 2004; ATS 2004].

The diagnosis of asbestos-caused malignancies (e.g., lung cancer and malignant mesothelioma) is almost always based on characteristic histology (or abnormal cytology in some cases). Despite research on other possible etiologies, genetic susceptibilities, and hypothesized co-factors such as simian virus 40, it is generally accepted that most cases of malignant mesothelioma are caused by exposure to asbestos or other mineral (e.g., erionite) fibers [Robinson and Lake 2005; Carbone and Bedrossian 2006]. Of particular concern to patients diagnosed with malignant mesothelioma, as well as to individuals who remain at-risk due to past exposures, the disease currently is essentially incurable [British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee 2001]. Diagnosis may be relatively straightforward, but can be difficult due to a challenging differential diagnosis [Lee et al. 2002]. Advances have been made to improve diagnostic testing for malignant mesothelioma using immunochemical markers and other more sophisticated

histopathological analyses, and additional research is aimed at improving treatment of the disease [Robinson and Lake 2005]. Notable recent research efforts have been directed towards the development of biomarkers for mesothelioma that can be assessed by noninvasive means. A long-term goal of the biomarker research is to enable screening of high-risk individuals with sufficiently sensitive and specific non-invasive biomarkers to identify disease at an early stage when therapeutic intervention might have a greater potential to slow the progression of the disease or be curative. Other goals are to use non-invasive biomarkers for monitoring the disease in patients treated for mesothelioma and for diagnosing the disease. Non-invasive biomarkers, including osteopontin and soluble mesothelin-related peptide, have been and continue to be evaluated, but none are considered ready for routine clinical application [Cullen 2005; Scherpereel and Lee 2007].

Non-malignant asbestos-related diseases are diagnosed by considering three major necessary criteria: (1) evidence of structural change consistent with asbestos-caused effect (e.g., abnormality on chest image; and/or tissue histology); (2) evidence of exposure to asbestos (e.g., history of occupational or environmental exposure with appropriate latency; and/or asbestos bodies identified in lung tissue, sputum, or bronchoalveolar lavage; and/or other concurrent marker of asbestos exposure such as pleural plaques); and (3) exclusion of alternative diagnoses [ATS 2004]. The specificity of an asbestosis diagnosis increases as the number of consistent clinical abnormalities increases [ATS 2004]. In practice, only a small proportion of cases are diagnosed on the basis of tissue histopathology, as lung biopsy is an invasive procedure with inherent risks for the patient. Thus, following reasonable efforts to exclude other possible diagnoses, the diagnosis of asbestosis usually rests on chest imaging abnormalities that are consistent with asbestosis in an individual judged to have sufficient exposure and latency since first exposure.

Chest radiography remains the most commonly used imaging method for screening exposed individuals for asbestosis and for evaluating symptomatic patients. Nevertheless, as with any screening tool, the predictive value of a positive chest radiograph alone depends upon the underlying prevalence of asbestosis in the screened population [Ross 2003]. A widely accepted system for classifying radiographic abnormalities of the pneumoconioses was initially intended primarily for epidemiological use, but has long been widely used for other purposes (e.g., to determine eligibility for compensation and for medicolegal purposes) [ILO 2002]. A NIOSH-administered "B Reader" Program trains and tests physicians for proficiency in the application of this system [NIOSH 2007c]. Some problems with the use of chest radiography for pneumoconioses have long been recognized [Wagner et al. 1993] and recent abuses have garnered substantial attention [Miller 2007]. In response, NIOSH recently published guidance for B Readers [NIOSH 2007d] and for the use of B Readers and ILO classifications in various settings [NIOSH 2007e].

In developed countries, conventional film radiography is rapidly giving way to digital radiography, and work is currently underway to develop digital standards and validate their use in classifying digital chest radiographs under the ILO system [Franzblau et al. 2009; NIOSH 2008a]. Progress on developing technical standards for digital radiography done for pneumoconiosis and ILO classification is underway [NIOSH 2008a]. In a validation study involving 107 subjects with a range of chest parenchymal and pleural abnormalities typical of dust-induced diseases, Franzblau et al. [2009] compared ILO classifications based on digital radiographic images and corresponding conventional chest x-ray films. The investigators found no difference in classification of small parenchymal opacities. Minor differences were observed in the classification of large parenchymal opacities, though more substantial differences were observed in the classification of pleural abnormalities typical of asbestos exposure [Franzblau et al. 2009].

Computerized tomography, and especially high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT), has proven more sensitive and more specific than chest radiography for the diagnosis of asbestosis and is frequently used to help rule out other conditions [DeVuyst and Gevenois 2002]. Standardized systems for classifying pneumoconiotic abnormalities have been proposed for computed tomography, but have not yet been widely adopted [Kraus et al. 1996; Huuskonen et al. 2001].

In addition to documenting structural tissue changes consistent with asbestos-caused disease, usually assessed radiographically as discussed above, the diagnosis of asbestosis relies on documentation of exposure [ATS 2004]. In clinical practice, exposure is most often ascertained by the diagnosing physician from an occupational and environmental history, assessed with respect to intensity and duration. Such a history enables a judgment about whether the observed clinical abnormalities can be reasonably attributed to past asbestos exposure, recognizing that severity of lung fibrosis is related to dose and latency [ATS 2004]. The presence of characteristic pleural plaques, especially if calcified, can also be used as evidence of past asbestos exposure [ATS 2004] however, there are a number of other causes of pleural plaques [Clark MD et al 2007] that need to be dismissed before assigning causation especially when historical asbestos exposure is questionable. In a small minority of cases, particularly when the exposure history is uncertain or vague or when additional clinical assessment is required to resolve a challenging differential diagnosis, past asbestos exposure is documented through mineralogical analysis of sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, or lung tissue. Light microscopy can be used to detect and count asbestos bodies (i.e., asbestos fibers that have become coated with iron-containing hemosiderin during residence in the body and more generically referred to as ferruginous bodies) in clinical samples. Electron microscopy (EM) can be used to detect and count uncoated asbestos fibers in clinical samples. Methods for such clinical mineralogical analyses often vary, valid background levels are difficult to establish, and the absence of asbestos bodies cannot be used to rule out past exposure with certainty, particularly from chrysotile exposure because chrysotile fibers

are known to be less persistent in the lungs than amphibole asbestos fibers [De Vuyst et al. 1998; ATS 2004].

2.6 The NIOSH Recommendation for Occupational Exposure to Asbestos

Evidence that asbestos causes lung cancer and mesothelioma in humans is well documented [NIOSH 1976; IARC 1977, 1987a,b; EPA 1986; ATSDR 2001; HHS 2005a]. After initially setting an REL at 2 asbestos fibers per cubic meter of air (f/cm^3) in 1972, NIOSH later reduced its REL to 0.1 f/cm^3, measured as an 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA) [NIOSH 1976][1]. This REL was set at the limit of quantification (LOQ) for the phase contrast microscopy (PCM) analytical method for a 400-L sample, but risk estimates indicated that exposure at 0.1 f/cm^3 throughout a working lifetime would be associated with a residual risk for lung cancer. A risk-free level of exposure to airborne asbestos fibers has not been established.

In 1990, NIOSH [~~1990a~~1990b] revised its REL, retaining the 0.1 f/cm^3 limit but explicitly encompassing EMPs from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals:

> *NIOSH has attempted to incorporate the appropriate mineralogic nomenclature in its recommended standard for asbestos and recommends the following to be adopted for regulating exposures to asbestos:*
>
> *The current NIOSH asbestos recommended exposure limit is 100,000 fibers greater than 5 micrometers in length per cubic meter of air, as determined in a sample collected over any 100-minute period at a flow rate of 4L/min using NIOSH Method 7400, or equivalent. In those cases when mixed fiber types occur in the same environment, then Method 7400 can be supplemented with electron microscopy, using electron diffraction and microchemical analyses to improve specificity of the fiber determination. NIOSH Method 7402 ... provides a qualitative technique for assisting in the asbestos fiber determinations. Using these NIOSH microscopic methods, or equivalent, airborne asbestos fibers are defined, by reference, as those particles having (1) an aspect ratio of 3 to 1 or greater; and (2) the mineralogic characteristics (that is, the crystal structure and elemental composition) of the asbestos minerals and their nonasbestiform analogs. The asbestos minerals are defined as chrysotile, crocidolite, amosite (cummingtonite-grunerite), anthophyllite, tremolite, and actinolite. In addition, airborne cleavage fragments from the nonasbestiform habits of the serpentine minerals antigorite and lizardite, and the amphibole minerals contained in the series cummingtonite-grunerite, tremolite-ferroactinolite, and glaucophane-*

[1] The averaging time for the REL was later changed to 100 minutes in accordance with NIOSH Analytical Method #7400 [NIOSH 1994a]. This change in sampling time was first mentioned in comments and testimony presented by NIOSH to OSHA [NIOSH 1990a,b], and reaffirmed in comments to MSHA in 2002 with the explanation that the 100-minute averaging time would help "to identify and control sporadic exposures to asbestos and contribute to the overall reduction of exposure throughout the workshift" [NIOSH 2002].

riebeckite shall also be counted as fibers provided they meet the criteria for a fiber when viewed microscopically.

The NIOSH REL [NIOSH 2006] is comprised of a policy component and an analytical component. The policy component states agency intent about what minerals should be covered by the REL; the analytical component describes the sampling and analytical methods to be used for collecting, characterizing, and quantifying exposure to airborne particles from the covered minerals. Each of these components of the NIOSH REL is discussed in detail in the following subsections.

2.6.1 Minerals Covered by the NIOSH REL

The minerals encompassed by the NIOSH REL include those having the crystalline structure and elemental composition of the asbestos varieties (chrysotile, riebeckite asbestos [crocidolite], cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos [amosite], anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite asbestos, and actinolite asbestos). It also includes the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestiform minerals (the serpentine minerals antigorite and lizardite, and the amphibole minerals contained in the cummingtonite-grunerite mineral series, the tremolite-ferroactinolite mineral series, and the glaucophane-riebeckite mineral series).

There is wide agreement that asbestiform fibers from the six regulated asbestos minerals can cause lung cancer and other diseases of the lung. As with most carcinogenic agents, risk increases in proportion to cumulative exposure, and there is a substantial latency period (~10–40 years) between the onset of exposure to asbestos and the occurrence of lung cancer. However, in spite of decades of research into the factors that influence the toxicity of asbestos, there remain several areas of continuing debate [Plumlee et al. 2006]. For example, a number of epidemiological, toxicological, and pathological studies indicate that amphibole asbestos fibers may be more potent lung carcinogens than chrysotile fibers. This proposed greater potency has been postulated to result from slower dissolution (in lung, interstitial, and phagolysosomal fluids) of amphibole asbestos fibers compared to chrysotile fibers. Thus, amphibole asbestos fibers may tend to persist for longer periods in the lungs and other tissues, thereby imparting a greater potential to trigger lung cancer. A related issue that continues to be debated is the potential for chrysotile fibers to cause mesothelioma and lung cancer.

While much is known about the health effects associated with exposure to asbestos fibers, ~~much~~ less information is available about the potential health effects of the other EMPs encompassed by the NIOSH REL for airborne asbestos fibers. Also, limited data are available about what effect exposure to asbestos fibers and other EMPs in a mixed-dust environment might have on the risk of respiratory disease [Plumlee and Ziegler 2006].

Formatted: Strikethrough

2.6.1.1 Chrysotile

Chrysotile fibers consist of aggregates of long, thin, flexible fibrils that resemble scrolls or cylinders, and the dimensions of individual chrysotile fibers depend on the extent to which the material has been manipulated. Chrysotile fibers bundles split along the fiber length and undergo partial dissolution within the lungs after fibrillation [NRC 1984]. Longitudinal splitting of fibers bundles after entering the lung represents one way that air sample PCM counts may underestimate the cumulative dose of fibers in the lung.

Epidemiological studies of chrysotile in Quebec mines [McDonald and McDonald 1997] and South Carolina textile mills [Dement et al. 1994; Hein et al. 2007] have produced very different estimates of the risk of cancer associated with exposure to chrysotile fibers. Several explanations for the difference in lung cancer risks observed in these two different workplaces have been proposed. One suggested explanation is that the textile workers were exposed to mineral oil. However, this explanation does not satisfactorily explain the differences [Stayner et al. 1996]. Considering that the textile mill workers were exposed to fibers considerably longer and thinner than those found in mines [Peto et al. 1982; Dement and Wallingford 1990], a more likely explanation is that the difference in risk may be due, at least in part, to dimensional differences in the particles to which workers were exposed. It has also been proposed that exposures in the textile mills were almost exclusively to chrysotile asbestos while exposures in the mines were to a mixture of chrysotile asbestos and EMPS of related nonasbestiform minerals (i.e. antigorite and lizardite) [Wylie and Bailey 1992]. This significant exposure to a mixed EMP dust of chrysotile, antigorite and lizardite (all covered by NIOSH's REL) without a similar demonstration of risk seen by the textile workers, does not support including these cleavage fragments under the REL Stayner et al. [1997] also point out, in comparing a number of epidemiological studies, that the variation in relative risk for lung cancer is often greater within an industry (e.g., mining or textile) than between varieties of asbestos.

Some have argued that pure chrysotile may not be carcinogenic and that increased respiratory cancer among chrysotile workers can be explained by the presence of tremolite asbestos as a contaminant of chrysotile [McDonald and McDonald 1997]. This is referred to as the "amphibole hypothesis." However, several studies of workers using chrysotile with very little contamination by tremolite have demonstrated strong relationships between exposure to chrysotile and lung cancer. A study of chrysotile asbestos workers in China [Yano et al. 2001] found an age- and smoking-adjusted relative risk of 8.1 for lung cancer among highly exposed workers compared to workers with low exposure to asbestos. The identified contamination of the chrysotile by tremolite was less than 0.001%. In the South Carolina textile mill study, a strong relationship between lung cancer and chrysotile exposure has been demonstrated [Dement et al. 1994; Hein et al. 2007]. **NOTE: This mill also processed approximately 2,000 lbs per year of crocidolite [McDonald et al 1983 – Dust Exposure and Mortality in an American Chrysotile Textile Plant, BJM, 40, 361-367] and therefore**

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Bold

the disease reported may not only be associated with chrysotile. A recent reanalysis by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) identified only 2 amphibole fibers among 18,840 fiber structures (0.01%) in archived airborne dust samples from that textile mill study; the remainder were identified as chrysotile [Stayner et al. 2007]. Additionally, in fiber burden studies of human malignant mesothelioma cases, chrysotile fibers were often present in mesothelioma tissue even in the absence of detectable amphibole fibers [Suzuki and Yuen 2001]. **Note this is rather weak support for chrysotile being a cause for meso. Simply the presence of the material without any dose response relationship seems to be stretching it a bit.**

Formatted: Font: Bold, Font color: Red

A possible difference in risk for carcinogenicity between chrysotile and amphibole asbestos exposures has been investigated in animal model studies. In a one-year rat inhalation study, chrysotile samples were extremely fibrogenic and carcinogenic, with pulmonary carcinomas developing in approximately 25% of animals and advanced interstitial fibrosis in lung tissue in 10% of all older animals, while intrapleural injection studies produced mesotheliomas in over 90% of animals [Davis et al. 1986]. The Berman et al 1995 work regarding the 1986 Davis et al work cited here needs to be discussed It was noted that very little chrysotile remained in the lungs of the animals that survived longest following dust inhalation. From this it was suggested that chrysotile is very potent in rodents but, except where exposure levels are very high and of long duration, may be less hazardous to man because chrysotile fibers are removed from lung tissue more rapidly than are amphibole fibers. Hodgson and Darnton [2000] reviewed the literature and estimated that, at exposure levels seen in occupational cohorts, the exposure-specific risk of mesothelioma from the three principal commercial asbestos types is broadly in the ratio 1:100:500 for chrysotile, amosite, and crocidolite, respectively, and the risk differential for lung cancer between chrysotile fibers and the two varieties of amphibole asbestos fibers is between 1:10 and 1:50. The Berman and Crump 2008a and b asbestos-related protocol being the most recent work in this area needs to be presented along with the Hodgson and Darnton model.

2.6.1.2 Amphibole Asbestos and Other Fibrous Minerals

There is little scientific debate that the asbestiform varieties of the five commercially important amphibole asbestos minerals are carcinogenic and should be covered in regulations to protect workers. However, concerns have been raised about whether the current OSHA and MSHA asbestos definitions, which explicitly cover only the asbestiform varieties of the six commercially important asbestos minerals, provide sufficient worker protection from exposure to other fibrous minerals.

This concern is exemplified by exposures to winchite and richterite fibers at a vermiculite mine near Libby, Montana, where exposures to the these fibers have resulted in high rates of lung fibrosis and cancer among exposed workers, similar to the occurrence of asbestos-related diseases among asbestos-exposed workers in other industries [Amandus and Wheeler 1987; Amandus et al. 1987a,b; McDonald et al. 2004; Sullivan 2007; Rohs

et al. 2008]. Workers at the mine and residents of Libby were exposed to fibers identified (as defined using the 1997 IMA amphibole nomenclature) as the asbestiform amphiboles winchite and richterite as well as tremolite asbestos [Meeker et al. 2003].

The recently updated NIOSH cohort study of Libby workers found elevated SMRs for asbestosis (SMR 165.8; 95% CI 103.9-251.1), lung cancer (SMR 1.7; 95% CI 1.4-2.1), cancer of the pleura (SMR 23.3; 95% CI 6.3-59.5) and mesothelioma [Sullivan 2007]. An exposure-response relationship with duration of employment and total fiber-years cumulative exposure was demonstrated for both asbestosis and lung cancer. Significant excess mortality from nonmalignant respiratory disease was observed even among workers with cumulative exposure <4.5 fibers/cc-years (i.e., a worker's cumulative lifetime exposure, if exposed to asbestos fibers at the current OSHA standard of 0.1 f/cm^3 over a 45-year working life). Vermiculite from the Libby mine was used to produce loose-fill attic insulation which remains in millions of homes around the U.S., and homeowners and/or construction renovation workers (e.g., plumbers, cable installers, electricians, telephone repair personnel, and insulators) are potentially exposed when this loose-fill attic insulation is disturbed.

Because winchite and richterite are not explicitly listed among the six commercial asbestos minerals, it is sometimes assumed that they are not included in the regulatory definition for asbestos. However, some of what is now referred to as asbestiform winchite and richterite using the 1997 IMA nomenclature would have been accurately referred to as tremolite asbestos using the 1978 IMA nomenclature [Meeker et al. 2003]. Furthermore, an even greater portion of this richterite and winchite would have been identified as tremolite asbestos using the optical methods of identification used prior to 1978. In fact, over the years, amphibole minerals from the Libby mine that are now referred to as winchite and richterite have been identified by mineralogists as soda tremolite [Larsen 1942], soda-rich tremolite [Boettcher 1966], and tremolite asbestos and richterite asbestos [Langer et al. 1991; Nolan et al. 1991]; they were identified as tremolite in reports of the Libby mine epidemiological studies conducted by NIOSH in the 1980s [Amandus and Wheeler 1987; Amandus et al. 1987a,b].

Similar to the situation in Libby, MT, a study of a cluster of malignant mesothelioma cases in eastern Sicily has implicated an etiological role for an ~~fibrous~~ asbestiform amphibole in the fluoro-edenite series, initially identified as in the tremolite-actinolite series [Comba et al. 2003]. In the face of past and future nomenclature changes in the mineralogical sciences, workers need to be protected against exposures to pathogenic asbestiform minerals. The health and regulatory communities will need to carefully define the minerals covered by their policies and monitor the nomenclature changes to minimize the impact of these changes on worker protections.

2.6.1.3 Nonasbestiform Analogs of the Asbestos Varieties

The current NIOSH REL for airborne asbestos fibers explicitly encompasses particles from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals that meet the specified dimensional criteria as determined microscopically.

The rationale for recommending that nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals be encompassed within the policy definition of airborne asbestos fibers was first articulated in NIOSH comments and testimony to OSHA [NIOSH 1990a,b]. In the 1990 testimony, NIOSH based its recommendation on three elements:

- The first element comprised results of epidemiological studies of worker populations exposed to EMPs from nonasbestiform mineral analogs of the asbestos varieties (e.g., cleavage fragments). The 1990 testimony characterized the existing evidence as equivocal for excess lung cancer risk attributable to exposure to such nonasbestiform EMPs.

- The second element comprised results of animal carcinogenicity studies involving experimental intrapleural or intraperitoneal administration of various mineral particles. The 1990 testimony characterized the results of the studies as providing strong evidence that carcinogenic potential depends on a mineral particle's length and width and reasonable evidence that neither chemical composition nor mineralogic origin are critical factors in determining a mineral particle's carcinogenic potential. The animal studies published on cleavage fragments do not support this dimensional argument as it pertains to the typical dimensions of cleavage fragments. Mineralogical origin determines dimension so it is a critical factor and durability or biopersistence is associated with chemical composition and therefore is also pertinent.

- The third element comprised the lack of routine analytical methods to accurately and consistently distinguish between asbestos fibers and nonasbestiform EMPs in samples of airborne. The 1990 testimony argued that asbestiform and nonasbestiform minerals can occur in the same area and that determining the location and identification of tremolite asbestos, actinolite asbestos, and anthophyllite asbestos within deposits of their nonasbestiform mineral analogs can be difficult, resulting in mixed exposures for some mining operations and downstream users of their mined commodities. No single method allows you to accomplish the distinction between asbestos and non-asbestos of the same mineral. Being identified as containing asbestos has extremely serious outcomes and therefore the analysis must be beyond question even if it is difficult to perform. Doing something because it is simplier is not a sound reason for doing it.

Given the inconclusive epidemiological evidence for lung cancer risk associated with exposure to cleavage fragments (see first bullet, above), NIOSH took a precautionary

approach and relied upon the other two elements to recommend that the 0.1 f/cm^3 REL for airborne asbestos fibers also encompass EMPs from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals. In fact, the 1990 NIOSH testimony included an explicit assertion that the potential risk of lung cancer from exposure to EMPs (of the nonasbestiform asbestos analog minerals) warranted limiting such exposures. However, even if such EMPs were not hazardous, the inability of analytical methods to accurately distinguish countable particles as either asbestos fibers or cleavage fragments (of the nonasbestiform analog minerals) presents a problem in the context of potentially mixed exposures (i.e., asbestos fibers together with EMPs from the nonasbestiform analogs). NIOSH's 1990 recommendation provided a prudent approach to potentially mixed environments—limiting the concentration of all countable particles that could be asbestos fibers to below the REL would assure that the asbestos fiber component of that exposure would not exceed the REL.

Some scientists and others have questioned NIOSH's rationale for including EMPs from nonasbestiform amphibole minerals in its definition of "airborne asbestos fibers." Mineralogists argue that these EMPs do not have the morphological characteristics required to meet the mineralogical definition of "fibers"; acicular and prismatic amphibole crystals and cleavage fragments generated from the massive habits of the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals are not true mineralogical "fibers." Others have opined that the scientific literature does not demonstrate any clear health risks associated with exposure to the nonasbestiform EMPs covered by the NIOSH "airborne asbestos fiber" definition.

Whether or not to include EMPs from nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals in federal regulatory asbestos policies has been the subject of long-standing debate. The exposure-related toxicity and health effects associated with the various morphologies (e.g., acicular, prismatic) of the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals continues to be a central point in the debate. In 1986, OSHA revised its asbestos standard and included nonasbestiform anthophyllite, tremolite, and actinolite (ATA) as covered minerals within the scope of the revised standard [OSHA 1986]. OSHA's decision to include nonasbestiform ATA proved controversial. In a 1990 proposal to reverse this revision, OSHA [1990] noted that there were "a number of studies which raise serious questions about the potential health hazard from occupational exposure to nonasbestiform tremolite, anthophyllite and actinolite," but that the "current evidence is not sufficiently adequate for OSHA to conclude that these mineral types pose a health risk similar in magnitude or type to asbestos."

In the preamble to the final rule removing nonasbestiform ATA from its asbestos standard, OSHA [1992] stated that:

> *various uncertainties in the data[2] and a body of data showing no carcinogenic effect, do not allow the Agency to perform qualitative or quantitative risk assessments concerning occupational exposures. Further, the subpopulations of nonasbestiform ATA which, based on mechanistic and toxicological data, may be associated with a carcinogenic effect, do not appear to present an occupational risk. Their presence in the workplace is not apparent from the record evidence.*

In its 2005 proposed rule for asbestos, MSHA stated that substantive changes to its asbestos definition were beyond the scope of the proposed rule and chose to retain its definition of asbestos, which "does not include nonfibrous or nonasbestiform minerals" [MSHA 2005]. These decisions are reflected in MSHA's final rule published in 2008 [MSHA 2008]. In formal comments during the rulemaking process, NIOSH agreed with MSHA's decision not to modify its asbestos definition in the current rulemaking, stating that "NIOSH is presently re-evaluating its definition of asbestos and nonasbestiform minerals, and will work with other agencies to assure consistency to the extent possible" [NIOSH 2005].

2.6.1.3.1 Epidemiological Studies

Epidemiological studies of populations with exposures to EMPs reported to be nonasbestiform have been conducted in the talc mining region of upstate New York, the Homestake gold mine in South Dakota, and the taconite mining region of northeastern Minnesota. The findings from these investigations are reviewed below.

Studies of New York Talc Miners and Millers

Workers exposed to talc have long been recognized to have an increased risk of developing pulmonary fibrosis, often referred to as talc pneumoconiosis [Siegel et al. 1943; Kleinfeld et al. 1955]. Talc-exposed workers in general have also been reported to have an increased prevalence of pleural plaques [Siegel et al. 1943; Gamble et al 1982 – *An Epidemiological Industrial Hygiene Study of Talc Workers- NIOSH,* Ann.. Occup Hyg. Vol 26 pp 841-859].

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Several more recent epidemiological studies and reviews have been conducted of workers employed in talc mines and mills in upstate New York [Brown et al. 1979, 1990; Gamble 1993; Kleinfeld et al. 1967, 1974; Lamm and Starr 1988; Lamm et al. 1988; Stille and Tabershaw 1982; Honda et al. 2002; Gamble and Gibbs 2008].

Excessive rates of mesothelioma have been reported for Jefferson County, which (along with adjacent St Lawrence County) ~~is~~ has been incorrectly reported as a major site of the New York talc industry [Vianna et al. 1981; Enterline and Henderson 1987; Hull et al. 2002]. {**NOTE:** Contrary to the cited literature, no talc mining occurred in Jefferson County,

[2] OSHA was referring to the scientific data on which NIOSH based its own carcinogenic health effect recommendation to OSHA.

Talc mining in upstate NY is exclusively limited to the Balmat, Fowler, and Edwards area of St. Lawrence Co. Talc mining (especially as it pertains to amphiboles) is not supported by the geology found in Jefferson Co}. -In a study of all histologically confirmed mesothelioma cases reported to New York State's tumor registry from 1973–1978, Vianna et al. [1981] reported 6 cases from Jefferson County, resulting in a mesothelioma rate for that county more than twice that of New York State (excluding New York City). In a national study of mesothelioma mortality from 1966 through 1981, Enterline and Henderson [1987] reported 4 mesothelioma cases in Jefferson County females (0.6 expected) and 7 cases in Jefferson County males (1.4 expected), giving that county mesothelioma rates that were the 2nd and 6th highest county-specific rates in the nation for females and males, respectively (both $p<0.01$). More recently, Hull et al. [2002] updated the Enterline and Henderson mesothelioma mortality analysis for Jefferson County, reporting 5 new male cases (2 expected) and 3 new female cases (0.5 expected) through 1997 and describing Jefferson County mesothelioma death rates as "5–10 times the background rate." A potential limitation of the Enterline and Henderson [1987] and Hull et al. [2002] mesothelioma death rates is that they relied on ICD code 163 ("malignant neoplasms of the pleura, mediastinum, and unspecified sites") as a surrogate identification for malignant mesothelioma. That code lacked specificity and sensitivity for mesothelioma; in a study of Massachusetts deaths, many non-mesothelioma malignancies involving the pleura were assigned code 163 and most mesotheliomas were not assigned code 163 [Davis et al. 1992]. The more recent ICD-10 system, which has been used since 1999 to code death certificate data in the United States, includes a discrete code for malignant mesothelioma. Based on that new ICD-10 code, the age-adjusted death rates (per million population) for 1999–2004 were 12.9 (based on 5 mesothelioma deaths) for Jefferson County and 10.9 (based on 5 mesothelioma deaths) for St. Lawrence County. These are similar to the overall U.S. mesothelioma death rates for this same period (based on a total of 15,379 mesothelioma deaths) of 11.4 per million [NIOSH 2007b].

An excess of lung cancer has also been reported in several epidemiological studies of New York talc mines and mills [Kleinfeld et al. 1967, 1974; Brown et al. 1990; Lamm and Starr 1988; Stille and Tabershaw 1982; Lamm et al. 1988; Honda et al. 2002]. ~~The most extensive research~~All but one study (Kleinfeld et al 1967) has been conducted on workers at the talc mine and mills owned by RT Vanderbilt Company, Inc. (RTV), located in St. Lawrence County. A significant excess of mortality from nonmalignant respiratory disease (NMRD) has been consistently reported in these studies. These studies have also generally demonstrated an approximately two- to three-fold increase in lung cancer mortality among these workers [Brown et al. 1990; Honda et al. 2002; Lamm et al. 1988]. The lung cancer excess has been reported to be particularly high among workers with more than 20 years since their first exposure (latency), which is a pattern consistent with an occupational etiology [Brown et al. 1979, 1990]. Authors of several studies have questioned whether the excess of lung cancer observed in these studies is due to employment at the RTV mines and mills or to other factors [Honda et al. 2002; Lamm et al. 1988; Stille and Tabershaw 1982]. Attributing these findings to employment in the RTV mine is difficult because there were numerous mines operating in ~~these~~

countiesSt.Lawrence County and the mineralogic composition of the ores varied substantially [Peterson et al. 1993]. A high smoking rate among the workers at the RTV mine and mills has been suggested as one possible explanation for the excess lung cancer mortality [Kelse 2005; Gamble 1993]. However, it is generally considered implausible that confounding by smoking in occupational cohort studies could explain such a large (i.e., ~2–3 fold) increase in lung cancer mortality [Steenland et al. 1984; Axelson and Steenland 1988; Axelson 1989].

The most persuasive argument against a causal interpretation of these findings is that the lung cancer excess in this study population did not increase with duration and measures of exposure to talc dust [Lamm et al. 1988; Stille and Tabershaw 1982; Honda et al. 2002]. Also, the excess of lung cancer in this cohort has been reported to be limited to workers with short employment (<1 year) [Lamm et al. 1988] and to workers who have been employed in other industries prior to working in the RTV mine and mills [Lamm et al. 1988; Stille and Tabershaw 1982]. The latter observation could be explained by there simply being too few workers and inadequate follow-up of workers who have only worked at RTV to provide the statistical power necessary to demonstrate an increased lung cancer risk. For example, in one of the studies only 10% of the decedents were reported to have not worked in other industries prior to their employment at RTV [Stille and Tabershaw 1982].

In the most recent study of RTV miners and millers, Honda et al. [2002] examined lung cancer mortality in relation to quantitative estimates of exposure to respirable talc dust [Oestenstad et al. 2002]. As in previous studies, mortality from lung cancer was found to be significantly elevated [standardized mortality ratio (SMR)=2.3, 95% confidence interval (95%CI)=1.6–3.3]. However, the excess of lung cancer mortality was found to be most pronounced in short-term workers (<5 years) and inversely related to cumulative exposure to respirable dust (mg/m^3-d). In contrast, exposure-response relationships were observed in this study between cumulative exposure to respirable dust and NMRD and pulmonary fibrosis.

A plausible explanation that has been offered for the lack of exposure-response in these studies is that the observed excess of lung cancer was a result of exposures from employment prior to starting work at RTV. It has been suggested that many of these workers may have had prior employment in neighboring talc mines in upstate New York with similar exposures to talc [NIOSH 1980]. Not considering exposures at these other mines could have substantially impacted results of exposure-response analyses. Exposures to talc dust may also have been substantially higher in the neighboring mines than in the RTV mine [Kelse 2005; Kleinfeld et al 1967]. Because RTV workers may have had exposures to talc dust in other mines, their exposures may have been underestimated, which could explain the observed lack of an exposure-response relationship in the epidemiological studies of RTV workers. There is also evidence to suggest that RTV workers may have been exposed to lung carcinogens from prior work in non-talc industries [Lamm et al. 1988].

Gamble [1993] conducted a nested lung cancer case-control study of the RTV cohort to further explore whether factors unrelated to exposures at RTV, such as smoking and exposures from prior employment, might be responsible for the observed excess of lung cancer among RTV workers. Cases and controls were identified from 710 workers who were employed between 1947 and 1958 and vital status was ascertained through 1983. All individuals with lung cancer as the underlying cause of death were included as cases (n=22). Three controls (n=66) for each case were selected from members of the cohort who had not died of NMRD or accidents, and were matched to cases based on dates of birth and hire. Controls were also required to have survived for as long as their matched case. Information on smoking and work histories was obtained by interviewing the case (if alive) or relatives. An attempt was made to verify information on previous employment by checking personnel records and by contacting previous employers. A panel of epidemiologists and industrial hygienists classified previous non-talc employment with regard to the probability of occupational exposure to a lung cancer risk.

As in previous investigations of the RTV cohort, Gamble [1993] found that the risk of lung cancer decreased with increasing duration of employment at RTV. This was true among both smokers and non-smokers, and also when individuals with inadequate time since first exposure (<20 years) and short duration of employment were excluded. Lung cancer risk was also found to decrease with increasing probability of exposure to lung carcinogens from non-talc employment. A positive exposure-response relationship was evident when non-RTV talc exposures were included in the analysis, although this relationship was not statistically significant.

This study by Gamble [1993] does not provide support for the argument that prior employment in non-talc industries was responsible for the excess of lung cancer observed among RTV workers. The author interpreted his findings as providing support for the argument that the excess of lung cancer was due to confounding by smoking based on the fact that smoking was strongly associated with lung cancer risk and on the observation that the exposure-response relationship with talc was more strongly negative (inverse) in analyses restricted to smokers than among all study subjects. However, it is no surprise that an association was observed between smoking and lung cancer, and the fact that the negative (inverse) exposure-response trend was stronger among smokers does not explain why the cohort as a whole experienced much higher lung cancer rates than expected.

Only two cases of pleural mesothelioma have been reported in the cohort studies of RTV miners and millers spanning 41 years [Honda et al. 2002]. It is ~~unclear whether~~unlikely these cases are attributable to exposure to talc at the RTV mine and mills. One of the cases had only worked for a short time in a job with minimal talc exposure, had previously worked for many years in the construction of a talc mine, and had subsequently worked on repairing oil heating systems. The other case developed only 15 years after first exposed to RTV talc. Mesothelioma has more typically been observed to develop at least 20 years from the time of first exposure.

NIOSH [1980] reported that dust from this mine contains chrysotile, very high concentrations of tremolite, and anthophyllite asbestos (reported as being in excess of the existing asbestos exposure limits). However, the identification of these minerals as asbestos ~~or their nonasbestiform analogs~~ has been the subject of debate for nearly four decades. In an industrial hygiene assessment conducted at RTV mines by NIOSH [1980], utilizing X-ray diffraction and petrographic microscopic analyses of talc product ~~samples~~ NIOSH found ~~them~~ it to contain 4.5–15% anthophyllite (some of which was categorized as asbestos). In contrast, NIOSH has received and reviewed numerous analytical reports that show no asbestos content in RTV talc as well as reports that report trace levels to high percentages of asbestos in RTV talc. Such analytical disparity points to the need for improved understanding and application of mineral nomenclature and analytical techniques – a key research goal of the Roadmap.

It is apparent from this body of work that RTV talc is composed of a complex blend of minerals that requires considerable mineralogical expertise to address accurately. The most authoritative analytical work employs a variety of analytical instruments and protocols by a number of mineral scientists well versed and extensively published in asbestos identification. This work, accumulated over a period of decades, often highlights analytical error sources pertinent not only to RTV talc, but to the characterization of elongated mineral particles in general. Though conflicting analytical reports exist, the most current, rigorous analysis of RTV talc by researchers in academia, commercial laboratories and within the government does support RTV's description of its talc as non-asbestos containing. This conclusion was earlier reached by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration was well (Crane,).

A paper prepared by RTV [Kelse, 2005] summarizes the extensive analytical work performed on RTV talc and describes the composition by weight of all its talc grades as follows: 40-60% nonasbestiform tremolite, 15-30% serpentine (antigorite-lizardite – no chrysotile), 1-5% nonasbestiform anthophyllite, trace quartz, and 20-40% talc (in which a small but observable talc fiber and mixed/transitional fiber exists). A portion of the talc and mixed fiber (amphibole transforming to talc) has been described as exhibiting an asbestiform habit (Wylie, et al). As described by RTV and confirmed by mineral scientists, the RTV talc would not be defined as asbestos containing. Analytical error most often associated with RTV talc involves the use of "fiber" counting `criteria dimensions to define rather than count elongated mineral particles (i.e. counting elongated tremolite cleavage fragments as asbestos fibers) and mischaracterization of talc and mixed mineral fiber (issues involving optical properties, overlapping chemistries, diffraction pattern interpretations, etc.). Asbestos identification aside, RTV talc contains elongated mineral particles of varying mineral type and morphologies.

Dust exposures encountered by RTV talc miners and millers involved significant concentrations of elongated mineral particulate (amphibole cleavage fragments in particular). NIOSH [1980] and [Kelse, et al Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 50 (11): 613-622, 1989] report EMP exposures well above asbestos airborne fiber permissible exposure limits. It has been suggested that RTV talc workers may have been the most exposed group of workers in the world to elongated amphibole cleavage fragments and talc fiber. ~~a paper prepared by Kelse [2005] reported the percentage by~~

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

~~weight of talc from the RTV mine in upstate New York as 1-5% nonasbestiform anthophyllite. Based on airborne samples collected by NIOSH [1980] at the mine and mill and analyzed by TEM, 65% of the EMPs that were longer than 5 µm were anthophyllite and 7% were tremolite, with much of the tremolite determined to be from a non-fibrous habit. Kelse [2005] reported that up to 1.8% of the minerals were from an asbestiform habit, though the asbestiform component was reported not to be asbestos. Serpentine and amphibole minerals typically develop through the alteration of other minerals. Consequently, they may exist as partially altered minerals having variations in elemental compositions. Minerals undergoing this alteration are often frequently called "transitional minerals." Thus the elemental composition of individual mineral particles can vary within a mineral deposit containing transitional minerals, which could account for differences in the reported composition of talc from the RTV mine.~~

A ~~major~~ limitation of the epidemiological studies of RTV talc workers is the lack of an exposure-response analysis based on direct measurements of airborne EMP concentrations. Most of the studies used tenure as a surrogate for exposure, and the exposure metric used in the Honda et al. [2002] study was respirable dust, which may not be correlated with exposure to EMPs. Relationships between health outcomes and exposure to an agent of interest can be attenuated when a nonspecific exposure indicator is used as a surrogate for exposure to the agent of interest [Blair et al. 2007; Friesen et al. 2007]. Thus, when the exposure index used to assess the effect of EMPs is based on a surrogate measure, such as respirable dust, rather than on specific measurement of EMP concentrations, the lack of an exposure-response relationship between the exposure index and the health outcome ~~must~~ can be considered suspect, particularly where the composition of a mixed exposure varies by work area. It is appropriate and important to note that considerable (if not most) epidemiological studies used to assess asbestos fiber risk also relied upon surrogate dust exposures rather than direct fiber measurements. Early studies of asbestos exposed cohorts relied heavily upon area impinger/knoimeter particle counts typically collected for short durations in fixed locations as surrogates for asbestos fiber exposure assessments. Often, this was the only historical accounting of dust levels available. In contrast, available respirable dust data used in the Honda study involved personal samples over full shifts. EMP count comparison to respirable dust levels for some RTV talc mining activities are available and, with some exceptions, generally do show a correlation to elongated mineral particulate levels.

Finally, a cohort study of Vermont talc miners and millers has some relevance for interpreting the findings from the studies of New York talc workers [Selevan et al. 1979]. The available evidence indicates that Vermont talc is free of asbestos fibers and elongated amphibole cleavage fragments. A statistically significant excess of NMRD mortality was observed among the millers (SMR=4.1, 95%CI=1.6–8.4), but not among the miners (SMR=1.6, 95%CI=0.20–9.6), in this study. In contrast, respiratory cancer mortality was found to be significantly elevated among the miners (SMR=4.3, 95%CI=1.4–10), but not among the millers (SMR=1.0, 95%CI=0.12–4.0). The authors suggested that their respiratory cancer findings might be due to non-talc exposures, such

as radon progeny, because exposures to talc dust were higher among millers than miners. The pattern of excess of respiratory cancer observed in this study is similar to that reported in studies of RTV miners and millers though the rate of NMRD is twice as high in Vermont. It has been ~~argued~~ noted [Lamm and Starr 1988] that this provides evidence against the hypothesis that the lung cancer excess among RTV miners is related to exposure to asbestos or nonasbestiform EMPs, since these were not known to be present in Vermont talc.

In summary, an excess of pulmonary fibrosis and pleural plaques is recognized to have occurred among workers exposed to talc in general. Mesothelioma ~~rates have been reported to be significantly elevated in Jefferson County, which is the site of some of the talc industry in New York and is located adjacent to St. Lawrence County, where the New York talc industry is most concentrated. However, death data~~ reported for 1999–2004 do not suggest a particularly high rate of mesothelioma in ~~that~~ St. Lawrence county. Also, aspects of the few cases of mesothelioma that have been carefully evaluated in the studies of New York talc miners make it unclear whether the cases are attributable to employment in the talc industry. Lung cancer mortality has been consistently reported to be elevated in studies of New York talc miners. However, attribution of ~~whether~~ this excess is ~~attributable~~ to exposures to NY talc is questionable because the lung cancer excess was generally found to be most pronounced in short-term workers and did not increase with cumulative exposure to talc dust. Chance or confounding from smoking or prior mining exposures is highly unlikely to fully explain the ~~large~~ lung cancer excess observed in these studies. Comparisons to talc mining not containing the EMPs found in RTV talc and negative carcinogenic effects found in animal studies testing RTV talc against asbestos suggest the absence of a carcinogenic effect relative to the mineral composition of RTV talc. Early reports of asbestos existing in RTV talc appear to have been in error.
~~These findings may be at least partly explained by employment in other industries, including other mines in upstate New York.~~

Studies of Homestake Gold Miners

Three groups of investigators have conducted retrospective cohort studies of miners at the Homestake gold mine in South Dakota with somewhat different and overlapping cohort definitions. Gillam et al. [1976] studied 440 white males who were employed as of 1960 and who had worked underground for at least 5 years in the mine. McDonald et al. [1978] conducted a retrospective cohort study of 1,321 men who had retired and worked for at least 21 years in the mine as of 1973 and were followed for vital status until 1974. Brown et al. [1986] conducted a retrospective cohort study of 3,328 miners who had worked for at least 1 year between 1940 and 1965 with follow-up of vital status to 1977. Follow-up of this same cohort was subsequently updated to 1990 by Steenland and Brown [1995]. Exposures of potential concern at this mine include crystalline silica, radon progeny, arsenic, and nonasbestiform EMPs. The longer (>5 μm) nonasbestiform EMPs have been reported to be primarily cummingtonite-grunerite (69%), but tremolite-actinolite (15%) and other nonasbestiform amphibole varieties (16%) were also detected [Zumwalde et al. 1981]. Most of the EMPs observed by TEM (70–80%) were shorter

than 5 μm; for the entire population of EMPs, the geometric mean length was 3.2 μm and the geometric mean diameter was 0.4 μm.

There is very little evidence of an excess of mesothelioma in the studies of Homestake gold miners. One case of mesothelioma with "low" dust exposure was reported in the study by McDonald et al. [1978]. Slight excesses of cancers of the peritoneum (4 cases; SMR=2.8, 95%CI=0.76–7.2) and other respiratory cancer (3 cases: SMR=2.5, 95%CI=0.52–7.4) were reported in the most recent study [Steenland and Brown 1995]. These categories might be expected to include cases of mesothelioma; however, mesothelioma was not mentioned on the death certificates for these cases.

Significant excesses in mortality from tuberculosis and pneumoconiosis (mainly silicosis) were observed in all of the studies. An excess of respiratory cancer (10 cases observed, SMR=3.7, 95%CI=1.8–6.7) was reported in the earliest study by Gillam et al. [1976]. Respiratory cancer mortality was not found to be elevated (34 cases, SMR=1.0, 95%CI=0.71–1.4) and there was only weak evidence that it increased with level of exposure in the study by McDonald et al. [1978]. A slight excess of lung cancer (115 cases, SMR=1.1, 95%CI=0.94–1.4) was reported in the most recent study based on comparison with U.S. mortality rates [Steenland and Brown 1995]. This lung cancer excess was more pronounced when county rates (SMR=1.3, 95%CI=1.0–1.5) and even more so when South Dakota state rates (SMR=1.6, 95%CI=1.3–1.9) were used as the referent. The excess was also increased (based on U.S. rates: SMR=1.3, 95%CI=1.0–1.6) when the analysis was restricted to individuals with at least 30 years of time since first exposure (latency). Lung cancer mortality was not found to increase with estimated cumulative exposure to dust in this study, though a clear exposure-response trend was observed for pneumoconiosis. The limited available data on smoking habits indicated that miners in this cohort smoked slightly more than the U.S. general population in a 1960 survey.

Taken together, the studies of Homestake gold miners provide, at best, weak evidence of an excess risk of lung cancer. Although small excesses of lung cancer have been reported in the most recent studies of the Homestake gold miners, the increased mortality has not been found to increase with measures of cumulative dust exposure. The uncertainty of the relationship between contemporary dust and EMP exposures hinders the usefulness of historical dust measurement data in estimating EMP exposures [Zumwalde et al. 1981]. Thus the lack of exposure-response reported in these studies for cancer is largely uninformative with respect to the hypothesis that nonasbestiform EMPs are associated with increased risk of respiratory diseases in this population.

Studies of Taconite Miners

There has been a long history of concern about a potential association between exposures associated with the taconite iron ore industry in northeastern Minnesota and the risk of respiratory cancers and diseases. This concern started in 1973, when amphibole fibers were found in the Duluth water supply and were traced to tailings that had been disposed

of in Lake Superior by the Reserve Mining Company. Extensive sampling and analysis of areas of the Peter Mitchell taconite iron ore mines was recently reported by Ross et al. [2007], who reported finding "no asbestos fibers of any type" in the mines. However, they did find and describe fibrous ferroactinolite, fibrous ferrian sepiolite, fibrous grunerite-ferroactinolite, and fibrous actinolite in ore samples, some of which was very thin (<0.01 μm) with a very high aspect ratio. They estimated fibrous amphibole material to represent "a tiny fraction of one percent of the total rock mass of this taconite deposit" [Ross et al. 2007].

Several epidemiological studies have examined mortality of miners working in the taconite mines and mills of Minnesota. Higgins et al. [1983] published the earliest study, which examined the mortality of approximately 5,700 workers employed at the Reserve Mining Company between 1952 and 1976 and followed up to 1976. Overall mortality (SMR=0.87) and mortality from respiratory cancer (15 cases, SMR=0.84) were both less than expected. Respiratory cancer mortality was not found to be increased among workers with at least 15 years since first exposure (latency) and did not increase with estimated cumulative exposure to dust. The maximum follow-up of this cohort was 24 years, which is probably too short to be able to detect increased mortality from lung cancer or mesothelioma.

Cooper et al. [1988, 1992] have reported on the mortality experience of 3,431 miners and millers who were employed in the Erie or Minntac mines and mills for at least 3 months between 1947 and 1958. Follow-up of the cohort, initially to 1983 [Cooper et al. 1988], was extended to 1988 in their more recent update [Cooper et al. 1992]. Comparisons were made with white male mortality rates for Minnesota and for the U.S. population. Mortality from respiratory cancer was found to be slightly less than expected in this study (106 cases, based on Minnesota rates: SMR=0.92, 95%CI=0.75–1.1). Respiratory cancer mortality was close to the expected value (46 cases, based on Minnesota rates: SMR=0.99, 95%CI=0.72–1.3) among workers with more than 20 years since first exposure (latency).

A statistically significant excess of mesothelioma has been reported in northeastern Minnesota, which is the area in which the taconite mining and milling industry is located [MDH 2007]. In its most recent report, the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) reported that a total of 159 cases occurred in this region during the period of 1988 to 2006. The mesothelioma rate in males was approximately twice the expected rate based on the rest of the state (146 cases, rate ratio (RR)=2.1, 95%CI=1.8–2.5), while the rate in females was less than expected (RR=0.72, 95%CI=0.38–1.2). The fact that the excess of mesothelioma was only observed among males strongly suggests an occupational etiology. In addition to the taconite industry, a plant producing asbestos ceiling tiles (Conwed Corporation) was located in the northeastern Minnesota region. From 1958–1965 amosite was used at Conwed, and from 1966–1974 chrysotile was used [Mandel 2008]. The MDH has initiated epidemiological studies of mesothelioma incidence among workers at the Conwed Corporation and at the iron mines in northeastern

Minnesota. The records from a cohort of approximately 72,000 iron miners and from 5,700 Conwed workers have been linked with a mesothelioma data registry. Between 1988 and 2007, a total of 58 mesothelioma cases have been identified among the miners and 25 cases have been identified among the Conwed workers. Because only 3 of the 58 mesothelioma cases identified in the miner cohort had also been employed at Conwed, it is unlikely that the mesothelioma excess in miners could be explained by asbestos exposures during employment at the Conwed ceiling tile facility [MDH 2007].

Brunner et al. [2008] have recently reported findings from an MDH study of mesothelioma cases occurring among iron miners between 1988 and 1996. The job histories of the cases were reviewed for evidence of exposure to commercial asbestos. Mining jobs were identified from company personnel files. Non-mining employment information was obtained from worker application files, worker compensation records, and obituaries. Potential asbestos exposures for jobs held in the mining industry were identified by conducting interviews of 350 workers representing 122 occupations and 7 different mining companies. To estimate the probability and intensity of potential exposure to commercial asbestos in each of the jobs, an expert panel rated the potential for asbestos exposure based on these interviews, available job descriptions from the relevant time period, and their knowledge of the mining environment. Fifteen of 17 iron miners known to have developed mesothelioma were judged to have sufficiently good work histories for the study. Eleven of the cases were reported to have had probable exposure, and 3 were reported to have possible exposure to commercial asbestos. The asbestos exposures were from non-mining jobs (4 cases), mining jobs (4 cases), or both (6 cases). The findings from this study suggest that the excess of mesothelioma observed among taconite miners might be explained by exposure to commercial asbestos rather than from the nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs generated during iron ore processing. However, this being a case series, it was not possible to determine whether commercial asbestos exposure was different in the cases than in the cohort as a whole or in a control group. This study also did not include the 41 additional mesothelioma cases that have been reported by the MDH since 1996 [MDH 2007].

In summary, the results from cohort mortality studies of taconite miners and millers in Minnesota have not provided any evidence of an increased risk of respiratory cancer or mesothelioma. This appears to be somewhat in conflict with reports from the MDH that mesothelioma incidence is significantly elevated among males (but not females) in northeastern Minnesota and that a large number of these cases were workers in the Minnesota taconite industry. There is some evidence that these cases could, at least in part, be related to exposures to commercial asbestos that occurred in or outside of the taconite mining industry, but further research on this question is needed. The MDH is currently working with researchers at the University of Minnesota, School of Public Health on a mesothelioma case-control study, a respiratory morbidity study, and a mortality study of the iron miners of northeastern Minnesota [MDH 2007].

Summary of Epidemiological Studies of Cohorts Exposed to Nonasbestiform EMPs

The results from studies of populations ~~reportedly~~ exposed to nonasbestiform EMPs do not provide clear answers regarding the toxicity of these EMPs. There are a number of features of these studies that limit their usefulness for answering these questions. First, the populations in these studies were exposed to a complex mixture of particles. ~~Nonasbestiform EMPs generally represented only a small component of airborne exposures, which included other minerals such as silica that are known to cause lung diseases.~~One of the goals of the Roadmap is to obtain a clearer understanding of the particulate exposures of these and other EMP exposed cohorts by type and particle dimensions. ~~Thus, a~~Although an excess of pneumoconiosis has been observed in the studies of Homestake gold miners and New York talc workers, the extent to which these findings are attributable to their exposures to nonasbestiform EMPs cannot be determined. A potential limitation of the New York talc studies is that if the EMPs do include asbestiform minerals as reported in the ~~NIOSH [1980] study~~literature, it is difficult to determine whether the observed health effects are from asbestiform or other EMPs.

Another major limitation of these studies is that they lack adequate information on past exposure to EMPs. An excess of respiratory cancer was observed in the occupational studies of New York talc workers and a small excess was observed in the most recent study of Homestake gold miners. In both studies, the excess of respiratory cancer was not found to increase with cumulative exposure to dust. ~~Relationships between health outcomes and exposure to an agent of interest can be attenuated when a nonspecific exposure indicator is used as a surrogate for exposure to that agent [Blair et al. 2007; Friesen et al. 2007]. Thus, when the exposure index used to assess the effect of EMPs is based on a surrogate measure, such as respirable dust, rather than on specific measurement of EMP concentrations, the lack of an exposure-response relationship between the exposure index and the health outcome must be considered suspect, particularly where the composition of a mixed exposure varies by work area.~~ Unless NIOSH wants to trash all such surrogate-related studies, it should delete this rather weak rationale. Interpretation of findings from the New York talc studies has been further complicated by the employment of the workers elsewhere, including employment at other talc mines in the area. Lack of positive findings from exposure-response analyses in the New York talc studies of RTV miners and millers could also have resulted from exposure misclassification—possible under-ascertainment of exposure to talc and other mineral particles caused by not considering exposures at neighboring talc mines.

~~The reliability of death certificate information is another major limitation, particularly for the diagnosis of mesothelioma. Mesothelioma did not have a discrete ICD code until the 10th revision of the ICD, used for U.S. death certificate data only since 1999. This likely explains the discordance between the apparent recent lack of excess mesothelioma deaths in an upstate New York county in which talc mines and mills have been located and the excess "mesothelioma" death rates previously reported in that same county. This may explain the apparent contradiction between the lack of an excess of mesothelioma in the cohort studies of taconite miners, and the excess of mesothelioma that has been reported~~

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

~~in the more recent studies based on a mesothelioma registry in northeastern Minnesota.~~ There isn't any excess mesothelioma in St Lawrence county.

Finally, the lack of information on cigarette smoking habits of the studied workers is a major issue in interpreting the findings for respiratory cancer in these studies. Concerns about cigarette smoking in occupational cohort studies is generally based on the assumption that blue collar workers smoke more than the general population. However, the extent of this bias is generally not expected to be able to account for more than a 50% increase in lung cancer risk and is unlikely to explain the 2- to 3-fold risk reported in the New York talc studies. Confounding by smoking could conceivably explain the small excess of lung cancer that has been reported in the most recent study of Homestake gold miners [Steenland and Brown 1995]. How about silica since the silicosis rate is incredibly high? However, smoking may have introduced a negative bias in some of these studies. Cigarette smoking has been reported to have been banned in the Homestake gold mines [Brown et al. 1986] and in the underground taconite mines [Lawler et al. 1985]. Preventing workers from smoking at work could have negatively biased the lung cancer findings in these studies.

Because of the study limitations described above, the findings from these studies should best be viewed as providing inconclusive as opposed to negative evidence regarding the health effects associated with exposures to nonasbestiform EMPs. To be more informative, additional studies of these populations would need improved characterizations of exposure to EMPs, smoking status, and exposures associated with other employment. Additional studies of these populations should be pursued if these improvements are deemed feasible.

2.6.1.3.2 Animal Studies

In NIOSH's rationale for its 1990 recommendation that the REL for airborne asbestos fibers encompass cleavage fragments from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals, discussion of results of animal carcinogenicity studies cited several original studies and reviews [Stanton et al. 1977, 1981; Wagner et al. 1982; Muhle et al. 1987; Pott et al. 1974, 1987; Lippmann 1988]. NIOSH [1990a] concluded that the cited papers provided evidence indicating that fiber dimension (and not fiber composition) was the major determinant of carcinogenicity for mineral fibers, stating that:

> *Literature reviews by Lippmann [1988] and Pott et al. [1987] enhance the hypothesis that any mineral particle can induce cancer and mesothelioma if it is sufficiently durable to be retained in the lung and if it has the appropriate aspect ratio and dimensions. Similarly, Wagner [1986] concluded that all mineral particles of a specific diameter and length size range may be associated with development of diffuse pleural and peritoneal mesotheliomas.*

That general conclusion notwithstanding, a study by Smith et al. [1979] that was not cited by NIOSH in 1990 addressed the specific question of carcinogenicity of EMPs from

nonasbestiform amphiboles. Pleural tumor induction by intrapleural (IP) injection challenge in hamsters was compared for various challenge materials including two asbestiform tremolites and two nonasbestiform (prismatic) tremolitic talcs. The two tremolitic talc samples were identified as RTV talc and one of these samples was a concentrate of tremolite. In contrast to the two asbestiform tremolites, which induced tumors in 22% and 42% of challenged hamsters at the higher dose, no tumors resulted following challenge with either of the two nonasbestiform tremolites [Smith et al. 1979]. In its rule-making, OSHA noted several limitations of the study, including the small number of animals in the study, the early death of many animals, and the lack of systematic characterization of fiber size and aspect ratio [OSHA 1992]. One of the nonasbestiform tremolitic talcs was later analyzed and confirmed to have tremolitic chemical composition and 13% "fibers" as defined by a 3:1 aspect ratio [Wylie et al. 1993]. This nonasbestiform tremolitic talc was an RTV talc sample. This finding is consistent with the findings of Stanton et al in which talc 6 and 7, also RTV talcs, produced no tumors with pleural implantation.

Since 1990, another carcinogenicity study of nonasbestiform amphibole minerals has been published. An IP injection study in rats used six samples of tremolite, including three asbestiform samples that induced mesothelioma in 100%, 97%, and 97% of challenged animals [Davis et al. 1991]. Two nonasbestiform tremolite samples resulted in mesotheliomas in 12% and 5% of the animals, at least the former incidence being above expected background levels. Another sample that was predominantly nonasbestiform but contained a small amount of asbestiform tremolite resulted in mesothelioma in 67% of animals. Of note, the nonasbestiform material associated with the 12% mesothelioma incidence and this latter material contained an approximately equal number of EMPs longer than 8 μm and thinner than 0.5 μm.

Studies of *in vitro* assays of various biological responses, some published before and some after 1990, have also found relative toxicities of asbestiform and nonasbestiform minerals that generally parallel the differences observed in the *in vivo* IP injection studies of tumorigenicity [Wagner et al. 1982; Woodworth et al. 1983; Hansen and Mossman 1987; Marsh and Mossman 1988; Sesko and Mossman 1989; Janssen et al. 1994; Mossman and Sesko 1990] A recent review of the literature concluded that low aspect ratio cleavage fragments of amphiboles are less potent than asbestos fibers [Mossman 2008].

In summary, there is more literature now than in 1990 pertaining to differential animal carcinogenicity and toxicity of EMPs from nonasbestiform amphiboles (e.g., acicular crystals, prismatic crystals, cleavage fragments). Though each study has limitations, as a body of work they lend no support to the conclusion that they pose the same type or magnitude of risk as asbestos. More detailed discussion of these studies, including discussion of important limitations of the studies, can be found in Section 2.7.4 of this document.

2.6.1.3.3 Analytical Limitations

The third element that served as a basis for NIOSH's recommendation in 1990 was the inability to accurately and consistently distinguish asbestos fibers and nonasbestiform EMPs in samples of airborne particulate. The 1990 NIOSH testimony argued that asbestiform and nonasbestiform minerals can occur in the same geological area and that mixed airborne exposures to asbestos fibers and EMPs from the nonasbestiform analog minerals can occur at mining operations. The potential for mixed exposures can also occur downstream if the mined commodity contains both asbestiform and nonasbestiform minerals.

The 1990 NIOSH testimony further pointed out the lack of routine analytical methods for air samples that can accurately and consistently determine whether an individual EMP that meets the dimensional criteria of a countable particle is an asbestos fiber or a nonasbestiform EMP (e.g., acicular crystals, prismatic crystals, cleavage fragments).

Two analytical components of the NIOSH REL for airborne asbestos fibers are applied to air samples, the microscopic methods and the counting rules. The microscopic methods include:

- *Phase contrast microscopy* (PCM) — Analytical Method 7400 "A rules" — Asbestos and Other Fibers by PCM [NIOSH 1994a] is used to count all particles that are longer than 5 μm and have a length-to-width ratio equal to or greater than 3:1.

- *Transmission electron microscopy* (TEM) — Analytical Method 7402 — Asbestos by TEM [NIOSH 1994b] is used as a supplement to the PCM method when there is uncertainty about the identification of elongate particles (EPs) that are counted. When TEM analysis is used for particle identification, only those EPs that are identified as "asbestos" and meet the dimensional criteria used by PCM (>0.25 μm width and >5μm length) are counted as asbestos fibers. PCM counts can be adjusted to yield corrected asbestos fiber counts by multiplying them by the proportion of fibers determined by TEM to be asbestos.

There are several limitations of the use of PCM and TEM for asbestos analysis. PCM is stated to be limited to observing EPs with widths >0.25 μm and is not equipped for particle identification. TEM, while capable of resolving EPs with widths as small as 0.001 μm, frequently cannot differentiate nonasbestiform from asbestiform EMPs when the elemental composition is the same or when present in a heterogeneous mix of unknown particles~~.~~ **NOTE: From NIOSH Method7402 – "**There are some crystalline substances which exhibit diffraction patterns similar to those of asbestos fibers. Many of these (brucite, halloysite, etc.) can be eliminated from consideration by chemistry. There are, however, several minerals (e.g., pyroxenes, massive amphiboles, and talc fibers)

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

which are chemically similar to asbestos and can be considered interferences. The presence of these substances may warrant the use of more powerful diffraction pattern analysis before positive identification can be made. **If interefernces are suspected, morphology can play an important role im making positive identification." (Emphasis added)** Important limitations of TEM are that partial lengths of long fibers that intersect grid bars can be hidden due to the small field of view; likewise, because only a small portion of the filter sample is being analyzed some uncertainty may exist in determining airborne fiber concentrations. Another limitation of both methods is that high concentrations of background dust collected on samples may interfere with fiber counting by PCM and particle identification by TEM.

Thus, the current PCM and TEM methods used for routine exposure assessment lack the capability to accurately count, size, and identify all EMPs collected on airborne samples. Further discussion of the analytical limitations and possible improvements are discussed in Section 2.8.

2.6.2 Some Minerals of Potential Concern Not Covered by the NIOSH REL

By analogy to asbestos, there is reason to be concerned about potential for health risks associated with inhalational exposure to other fibrous minerals not covered by asbestos policies promulgated by federal agencies.

Erionite is perhaps the most worrisome known example [HHS 2005b]. An epidemic of malignant mesothelioma affecting several villages in Central Turkey has been studied for several decades [Baris et al. 1981]. Homes and other buildings in those villages were traditionally constructed of blocks of local volcanic stone containing erionite, a fibrous zeolite mineral. A recently published prospective mortality study has documented that mesothelioma accounts for over 40% of deaths among those residing in the affected villages [Baris and Grandjean 2006]. This localized epidemic of malignant mesothelioma produced an opportunity for a pedigree study that indicates a strong genetic influence on erionite-caused mesothelioma [Dogan et al. 2006]. As with exposure to asbestos, there is evidence that exposure to erionite causes other malignant tumors [Baris et al. 1996] and pleural plaques [Karakoca et al. 1997] in addition to mesothelioma. Likewise, as with amphiboles, the mineralogy of zeolites, including erionite, appears to be complicated and subject to misclassification [Dogan and Dogan 2008]. While no clear epidemic of erionite-caused disease has been documented elsewhere, the mineral occurs in the intermountain west of the United States and a recent publication purports to be the first to report a case of erionite-associated malignant mesothelioma in North America [Kliment et al. 2009].

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has considered evidence relevant to carcinogenicity for several EMPs [IARC 1987a, 1997]. Only for erionite has IARC made an assessment that the evident was sufficient to determine that it is a human carcinogen (i.e., Group 1) [IARC 1987a]. Based on studies in rats, palygorskite

(attapulgite) fibers longer than 5 μm were determined to be possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) [IARC 1997]. In experimental animals the evidence was limited for the carcinogenicity of long sepiolite fibers (>5 μm) and inadequate to assess carcinogenicity of non-erionite fibrous zeolites (including clinoptilolite, mordenite, and phillipsite) and wollastonite (Group 3) [IARC 1997]. A Group 3 determination means that "the available studies are of insufficient quality, consistency or statistical power to permit a conclusion regarding the presence or absence of a causal association, or no data on cancer in humans are available" [IARC 1997]. These Group 3 determinations highlight the need for additional research on these non-asbestos EMPs.

2.7 Determinants of Particle Toxicity and Health Effects

Current recommendations for assessing occupational and environmental exposures to asbestos fibers rely primarily on dimensional and mineralogical characteristics. Dimension, which impacts the deposition of EMPs in the lung, lung clearance mechanisms, and retention time in the lung, is an important determinant of toxicity. However, other particle characteristics, such as durability in lung fluids, chemical composition, and surface activity, may also play important roles in causing respiratory diseases. Research to elucidate what roles these EMP characteristics play in causing biological responses may help to provide better evidence-based recommendations for asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

2.7.1 Deposition

Deposition of airborne particles in the respiratory system is defined as the loss of particles from the inspired air during respiration. Clearance pertains to the removal of these deposited particles by diverse processes over time, whereas retention is the temporal persistence of particles within the respiratory system [Morrow 1985]. The deposition of inhaled particles in the respiratory tract is a function of their physical characteristics (dimension and density), the anatomical and physiological parameters of the airways, and the rate and depth of respiration [Yu et al. 1986]. While particle chemical composition does not play a role in deposition, respiratory clearance of all particle types is dependent on both physical and chemical characteristics of the particle. In addition, surface charge and hydrophilicity, as well as adsorbed materials (e.g., coatings on synthetic fibers) and other physical and chemical factors, determine whether small particles and fibers will agglomerate into larger, non-respirable masses [ILSI 2005].

Depending on their physical characteristics, inhaled particles are differentially deposited in one of the following three respiratory system compartments: the extra-thoracic region consisting of the anterior and posterior nose, mouth, pharynx, and larynx; the bronchial region consisting of the trachea, bronchi, and bronchioles down to and including the

terminal bronchioles; and the alveolar-interstitial region consisting of the respiratory bronchioles, alveolar ducts, and alveolar sacs.

Important parameters for the deposition of airborne particles are their aerodynamic and thermodynamic properties. Below a particle size of 0.5 μm aerodynamic equivalent diameter (AED), thermodynamic properties prevail. The AED of EPs is mostly determined by their geometric diameter and density. Deposition of EPs in an airway is strongly related to the orientation of the particle with respect to the direction of the air flow and is affected by the interrelationship of four major deposition mechanisms: impaction, interception, sedimentation, and diffusion [Asgharian and Yu 1988]. In a study to assess EP deposition in the tracheobronchial region, Zhou et al. [2007] evaluated the deposition efficiencies of carbon fibers (3.66 μm diameter) using two human airway replicas that consisted of the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, trachea, and 3 to 4 generations of bronchi. Carbon fiber deposition was found to increase with the Stokes number, indicating that inertial impaction is the dominant mechanism. Also, fiber deposition in the tracheobronchial region was lower than that of spherical particles at a given Stokes number, indicating a greater likelihood for small-width EPs to move past the upper respiratory tract and reach the lower airways where diffusional deposition predominates [Yu et al.1986]. These results were confirmed by results of later studies evaluating the deposition of asbestos using a similar tracheobronchial cast model [Sussman et al. 1991a,b]. The probability of deposition of a particle in a specific location in the airways is not the same as the probability of penetration to that region, and for particles in a certain range of aerodynamic diameters the difference between penetration and deposition may be substantial [ICRP 1994].

2.7.2 Clearance and Retention

A variety of mechanisms are associated with the removal of deposited particles from the respiratory tract [Warheit 1989]. Physical clearance of insoluble particles deposited in the lung is an important physiological defense mechanism that usually serves to moderate any risk that might otherwise be associated with exposure to particles. Inhaled particles that deposit on respiratory tract surfaces may be physically cleared by the tracheobronchial mucociliary escalator or nasal mucus flow to the throat, and then may be either expectorated or swallowed. Clearance depends upon the physicochemical properties of the inhaled particles, the sites of deposition, and respiratory anatomy and physiology. For example, inhaled insoluble particles with larger AEDs tend to deposit on the nasopharyngeal mucus and are generally cleared by sneezing or nose blowing or by flow into the oropharynx where they are swallowed. Insoluble particles with smaller AEDs tend to deposit lower in the respiratory tract, with associated longer retention times. Those deposited in the alveolar region are subject to longer retention times than those deposited on the bronchial region [Lippmann and Esch 1988].

The most important process for removal of insoluble particles from the airways is mucociliary clearance, which involves a moving layer of mucus by the action of ciliated

airway cells that line the trachea, bronchi, and terminal bronchioles [Warheit 1989]. The mucociliary transport system is sensitive to a variety of agents, including cigarette smoke and ozone [Vastag et al. 1985]. These toxicants affect the speed of mucus flow and consequent particle clearance by altering ciliary action and/or modifying the properties and/or amount of mucus. Chronic exposure to cigarette smoke has been shown to cause a prolonged impairment of particulate clearance from the bronchial region. This impaired clearance is associated with increased retention of asbestos fibers in the bronchi, where they stimulate inflammatory processes in the bronchial epithelium [Churg et al. 1992; Churg and Stevens 1995].

Because the alveolar region of the lung does not possess mucociliary clearance capability, particles (generally <2 μm AED) deposited in this region are cleared at a much slower rate than particles deposited in the bronchial region. Particles that are soluble may dissolve and be absorbed into the pulmonary capillaries, while insoluble particles may physically translocate from the alveolar airspace [Lippmann et al. 1980; Lippmann and Schlesinger 1984; Schlesinger 1985]. Most insoluble EPs that deposit in the alveolar regions are phagocytized (i.e., engulfed) by alveolar macrophages. Macrophages contain lysosomes packed with digestive enzymes, such as acid hydrolases, at acidic pH levels. Lysosomal contents are capable of digesting many—though not all—types of phagocytized particles. Alveolar macrophages that have phagocytized particles tend to migrate to the bronchoalveolar junctions, where they enter onto the mucociliary escalator for subsequent removal from the lung [Green 1973]. It has been postulated by some investigators that dissolution of particles within macrophages is a more important determinant of long-term clearance kinetics for many mineral dusts than is mucociliary transport and the migratory potential of lung macrophages [Brain et al. 1994]. However, there are circumstances which can disrupt the normal phagosomal function of alveolar macrophages. One such type of circumstance involves the toxic death of macrophages initiated by highly reactive particle surfaces (e.g., crystalline silica particles). Another such circumstance involves overwhelming the capacity of macrophages by an extreme burden of deposited particles, sometimes referred to as "overload," even by particles that would be considered "inert" at lower doses. A third type of circumstance, typified by asbestos fibers, involves EPs that, even though having a small enough AED (defined primarily by particle width) to permit deposition in the alveolar region, cannot be readily phagocytized because particle length exceeds macrophage capacity. When alveolar macrophages attempt to phagocytize such EPs, they cannot completely engulf them (sometimes referred to as "frustrated phagocytosis") and lysosomal contents are released into the alveolar space. "Frustrated phagocytosis" can initiate a process in which reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated, stimulating the induction of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α). TNF-α is considered an inflammatory and fibrogenic cytokine that plays an important role in the pathogenesis of pulmonary fibrosis [Blake et al. 1998].

All three types of disruption of normal macrophage function contribute to decreased particle clearance rates and can result in inflammation of the alveolar spaces. In addition, particles that are not phagocytized in the alveoli can translocate to the lung interstitium,

where they may be phagocytized by interstitial macrophages or transported through the lymphatics to pulmonary lymph nodes [Lippmann et al. 1980; Lippmann and Schlesinger 1984; Schlesinger 1985; Oberdorster et al. 1988]. Tran and Buchanan [2000] have reported findings suggesting that sequestration of particles in the interstitial compartment is more prominent in exposed humans than is the observed retention of particles due to overload in animal studies. The importance of interstitialization in humans is consistent with the kinetic differences observed in lung clearance rates in humans and rats. The first-order rate coefficient for alveolar clearance is approximately 1 order of magnitude faster in rats than in humans [Snipes 1996], which may allow for greater interstitialization of particles in humans at all levels of lung dust burden. These findings indicate that adjustment of kinetic differences in particle clearance and retention is required when using rodent data to predict lung disease risks in humans and that current human lung models underestimate working lifetime lung dust burdens in certain occupational populations [Kuempel et al. 2001].

Evidence from *in vivo* studies in rodents and *in vitro* studies indicates that EPs (vitreous glass and EMPs) with a length equal to or greater than the diameter of rodent lung macrophages (about 15 μm) are most closely linked to biological effects observed in rodent lungs [Blake et al. 1998]. Alveolar macrophages appear to be capable of phagocytizing and removing EPs shorter than approximately 15 μm, either by transport to the mucociliary system or to local lymph channels. With increasing length above approximately 15 μm, alveolar macrophages appear to be increasingly ineffective at physical removal, resulting in differential removal rates for EPs of different lengths. While EP lengths greater than 15 μm appear to be associated with toxicity in experimental studies with rodents, a "critical" length for toxicity in humans is probably greater than 15 μm [Zeidler-Erdely et al. 2006]. For long EPs that cannot be easily cleared by macrophages, biopersistence in the lung is influenced by the ease with which the EPs break into shorter lengths.

2.7.3 Biopersistence and other Potentially Important Particle Characteristics

The differences in crystalline structure between amphibole asbestos fibers and amphibole cleavage fragments have been hypothesized to account for apparent differences in toxicological response to these particles. It has been observed that cleavage fragments which meet the dimensional criteria for countable particles under federal regulatory policies for asbestos fibers are generally shorter and wider than asbestos fibers [Siegrist and Wylie 1980; Wylie 1988]. This dimensional difference between populations of asbestos fibers and populations of cleavage fragments might contribute to generally shorter biopersistence in the lung for cleavage fragments compared to asbestos fibers. Asbestos fibers also tend to separate longitudinally once deposited in the lung, thus increasing the total number of retained fibers without an accompanying reduction in lengths of the retained fibers [NRC 1984]. In contrast, cleavage fragments tend to break transversely due to dissolution of their weaker crystalline structure, resulting in shorter particles that can be more easily cleared through phagocytosis and mucociliary clearance

[Zoltai 1981]. The impact of these structural differences on solubility in lung fluids warrants study, because substantial differences in solubility in lung fluids between asbestos fibers and other EMPs (including amphibole cleavage fragments) could translate into differences in toxicity.

2.7.3.1 Biopersistence

Dissolution of EPs in the lung is a poorly understood process that is dependent on particle characteristics, biological processes, and concomitant exposure to other particulates. The ability of an EP to be retained and remain intact in the lung is considered an important factor in the process of an adverse biological response. EPs of sufficient length that remain intact and are retained in the lung are thought to pose the greatest risk for respiratory disease. The ability of an EP to reside long-term in the lung is generally referred to as "biopersistence." Biopersistence of EPs in the lung is a function of site and rate of deposition, rates of clearance by alveolar macrophages and mucociliary transport, solubility in lung fluids, breakage rate and breakage pattern (longitudinal or transverse), and rates of translocation across biological membranes. The rates of some of these processes can affect the rates of other processes. For example, a high rate of deposition in the alveolar region could potentially overwhelm macrophage clearance mechanisms and increase the rate of translocation to the lung interstitium.

The persistence of an EP in the lung is influenced by changes that may occur in its dimension, surface area, chemical composition, and surface chemistry. Differences in any of these characteristics can potentially result in differences in clearance and retention and affect toxic potential. For example, EPs too long to be effectively phagocytized by alveolar macrophages will tend to remain in the alveolar compartment and be subjected to other clearance mechanisms, including dissolution, breakage, and translocation to interstitial sites and subsequently to pleural and other sites.

The durability of EPs residing in the lung is an important characteristic influencing biopersistence. An EP's durability is generally measured by its ability to resist dissolution and mechanical disintegration after being subjected to lung extra-cellular fluid (approximately pH 7) and lysosomal fluids (approximately pH 5). EPs that are more soluble will be less biopersistent, and thicker EPs may take longer to dissolve than thinner EPs, all else being equal. For example, long, thin EPs that are not very durable could dissolve and/or fragment into shorter EPs, increasing their probability of being cleared from the lung and thus potentially decreasing lung retention time and risk for fibrotic or neoplastic effects. Some EPs, such as certain types of glass fibers, are fairly soluble in lung fluid and are cleared from the lung in a matter of days or months. Other EPs, such as amphibole asbestos, can remain in the lung for decades. It has been suggested that some types of EPs may alter the mobility of macrophages and the translocation of EPs to the pleura or lymph nodes [Davis 1994]. No relationship has been established between biopersistence of EPs in the lung and the risk of induction of genetic and epigenetic changes that may lead to cancer [Barrett 1994]. While some evidence

indicates that durability may be a determinant of toxicity for various SVFs, EMPs need to be evaluated to determine whether they conform to this paradigm [ILSI 2005].

Measurement of the biopersistence of various EMPs has been suggested as a means for estimating their relative potential hazard. Short-term inhalation and intratracheal instillation studies have been used to determine the biopersistence of various SVFs and asbestos fibers. Animal inhalation studies are preferred over animal tracheal instillation studies to assess biopersistence because they more closely mimic typical human exposure. The European Commission has adopted specific testing criteria that permit the results from either short-term biopersistence studies or chronic animal studies to be used as a basis for determining carcinogenicity [European Commission 1997].

Several animal inhalation studies have indicated that oncogenic potential of long SVFs can be determined by their biopersistence [Mast et al. 2000; Bernstein et al. 2001; Moolgavkar et al. 2001]. It has been suggested that a certain minimum persistence of long EPs is necessary before even minute changes appear in the lungs of exposed animals [Bernstein et al. 2001]. Furthermore, Moolgavkar et al. [2001] have suggested that fiber-induced cancer risk, in addition to being a linear function of exposure concentration, is also a linear function of the weighted half-life of fibers observed in inhalation studies with rats. Also, dosimetry models for rodents and humans indicate that, on a normalized basis, fiber clearance rates are lower in humans than in rats [Maxim and McConnell 2001] and that fibers frequently sequester in the interstitial compartment of humans [Snipes 1996; Tran and Buchanan 2000]. Thus, results from chronic inhalation studies with rodents exposed to EPs may underestimate risks for humans and adjustment for kinetic differences in particle clearance and retention in rats is required to predict lung disease risks in humans [Kuempel et al. 2001].

Studies using *in vitro* assays have been conducted with various SVFs and silicate minerals to determine the dissolution rate in simulated lung and lysosomal fluids [Hume and Rimstidt 1992; Werner et al. 1995; Hesterberg and Hart 2000; Jurinski and Rimstidt 2001]. *In vitro* dissolution studies can provide a rapid and more controlled alternative to classical long-term toxicity testing in animals and could provide useful information when performed as companion experiments with *in vivo* studies if conditions of exposure and test agent can be made similar. The design of *in vitro* assays is intended to mimic the biological conditions that exist in the lung once the EP comes into contact with lung tissue or macrophages. While uncertainties exist about the specific physiological processes that occur in the lung, results from *in vitro* assays can provide some insight into the chemical reactions that influence EP dissolution. For example, it appears that EP (e.g., glass fibers) dissolution occurs more readily when the EP is in contact with a fluid that is under-saturated with respect to the EP's composition. The condition of under-saturation must be maintained at the EP's surface for dissolution to continue. If an EP is surrounded by a saturated or super-saturated solution (compared to the EP composition), then no further dissolution occurs.

The results from many *in vitro* experiments demonstrate different patterns of dissolution for most of the tested EP types (i.e., glass, asbestos) under various test conditions. This effect was most notable in those experiments where different pH conditions were used. Fluid pH appears to influence the creation of complexes from the leached elements of the EP, which in turn alters the rate of solubility. Chrysotile fibers tend to dissolve readily in acids because of the preferential leaching of Mg from the fiber. The leaching of Mg from tremolite and anthophyllite and Na from crocidolite also occurs more readily in acid conditions.

Rate of EP dissolution has also been observed to be affected by differing internal and surface structures. EPs with porous or rough surfaces have larger surface areas compared to smooth EPs with the same gross dimensions. These larger surface areas interact more readily with the surrounding medium because of the greater number of sites where solute molecules can be absorbed. EMPs with cleavage plane surfaces will contain varying degrees of defects; the higher the number of surface defects, the greater the potential instability of the particle. Dissolution of these types of EMPs is typically initiated where surface vacancies or impurities are present [Searl 1994]. Chrysotile asbestos is an example of a sheet silicate made up of numerous fibrils comprised of tightly bound rolled layers of Mg hydroxide. These Mg hydroxide layers are readily leached by acid solutions within human tissues [Spurny 1983], causing disintegration of the fibril's crystalline structure. In contrast, the amphibole asbestos minerals are chain silicates with a crystalline structure comprised of alkali and alkali earth metals that are tightly bound, making the fibers less susceptible to dissolution. In contrast to the crystalline structure of the asbestos fibers, some high-temperature glass fibers are more stable than chrysotile fibers because they are comprised of silicate chains, sheets, and frameworks [Searl 1994]. The absence of cleavage planes or structural defects in glass fibers limits the degree to which fluids can penetrate their interior to promote dissolution. Chrysotile fibers were found to be less durable in rat lungs than some high-temperature SVFs [Bellmann et al. 1987; Muhle et al. 1987] but more durable in physiological solutions than some refractory ceramic fibers (RCFs) [Scholze and Conradt 1987].

EP surface characteristics (e.g., structural defects, porous surfaces) and composition not only influence the rate of dissolution, but also affect the manner in which dissolution occurs. In some instances, surface dissolution will cause alterations in internal structure sufficient to cause mechanical breakage. In some studies, slagwools and rockwools exposed to water developed irregular surfaces, creating stress fractures which caused transverse breakage [Bellmann et al. 1987]. Similar occurrences of glass fiber breakage have been observed when there was leaching of alkaline elements [Searl 1994].

Results from *in vitro* and short-term *in vivo* studies conducted with various EMPs and SVFs provide some confirmation that persistence of EPs in the lung is influenced by particle durability [Bernstein et al. 1996]. However, other evidence suggests that, because of the relatively short biodurability of chrysotile fibers, any damage to the lung tissue caused by chrysotile fibers must be initiated soon after exposure [Hume and

Rimstidt 1992], suggesting that biopersistence of EPs in the lung may be only one of many factors that contribute to biological response. A better understanding of the factors that determine the biological fate of EMPs deposited in the lung is critical to understanding the mechanisms underlying differences in toxic potential of various EMPs of different dimensions and compositions. Because biopersistence of EMPs is thought to play an important role in the development of disease, it may eventually prove to be an important characteristic to incorporate into occupational safety and health policies concerning exposures to EMPs.

2.7.3.2 Other Potentially Important Particle Characteristics

Surface composition and surface-associated activities have been suggested as factors affecting the potential for disease induction by EMPs (e.g., asbestos)[Bonneau et al. 1986; Kane 1991; Jaurand 1991; Fubini 1993]. For non-elongate respirable mineral particles (e.g., crystalline silica), surface composition and surface interactions can directly and profoundly affect *in vitro* toxicities and *in vivo* pathogenicity; they can also directly cause membranolytic, cytotoxic, mutagenic, or clastogenic damage to cells, and have been shown to induce fibrogenic activities in animals and humans. Investigation is warranted to confirm that these effects of surface composition and surface interactions also apply to EMPs. One strategy is to determine the effects of well-characterized surface modification of different types of EMPs on cell-free interactions with biological materials, *in vitro* cellular cytotoxicities or genotoxicities, and pathology in animal models.

Surface properties of mineral fibers and other EMPs may have direct impact on cytotoxic or genotoxic mechanisms responsible for fibrogenic or carcinogenic activity. Chemical surface modification of asbestos fibers has been shown to affect their cytotoxicity [Light and Wei 1977a,b; Jaurand et al. 1983; Vallyathan et al. 1985]. While asbestos fibers clearly can be carcinogenic, they are not consistently positive in genotoxicity assays; their principal damage is chromosomal rather than gene mutation or DNA damage [Jaurand 1991]. One study linked cytotoxicity with *in vitro* mammalian cell transformation [Hesterberg and Barrett 1984]; thus, surface factors affecting cytotoxicity might affect potential for inducing some genotoxic activities. However, surface modification of a well-characterized sample of chrysotile fibers by depleting surface Mg while retaining fiber length did not result in a significant quantitative difference for *in vitro* micronucleus induction between the native and surface-modified materials, both of which were positive in the assay [Keane et al. 1999].

The surface of mineral fibers and other EMPs also might be an indirect but critical factor in the manifestation of pathogenic activity. EMP surfaces may be principal determinants of EMP durability under conditions of *in vivo* dissolution in biological fluids. As such,

they would be a controlling factor in biopersistence, critical to the suggested mechanisms of continuing irritation or inflammatory response in causing fibrosis or neoplastic transformation.

2.7.4 Animal and In Vitro Toxicity Studies

Over the last half-century, *in vivo* animal model studies have explored induction of cancer, mesothelioma, and pulmonary fibrosis by asbestos fibers and other EMPs following intrapleural, intraperitoneal, or inhalation challenge. Numerous cell-free, *in vitro* cellular, and *in vivo* short-term animal model studies have been pursued, attempting to: (1) examine tissue and cellular responses to EMPs and impact of EMP conditioning on these responses; (2) identify and evaluate interactions and mechanisms involved in pathogenesis; and (3) seek morphological or physicochemical EMP properties controlling those mechanisms. These short-term studies provide an evolving basis for design or interpretation of higher-tier chronic exposure studies of selected EMPs.

Some of the short-term studies have addressed:

- the general question of extrapolating human health effects from *in vivo* animal model studies;
- the physiological relevance of *in vitro* cellular studies of EMP toxicities;
- the association of EMP dimensions with pathology demonstrated in animal model studies;
- the potential mechanisms and associated EMP properties responsible for initiating cell damage;
- the extensive information now available on a "central dogma" of subsequent intracellular biochemical pathway stimulation leading to toxicity or intercellular signaling in disease promotion; and
- the use of these mechanistic paradigms to explain specific questions of:
  - differences between the activities of asbestiform and nonasbestiform EMPs, including seemingly anomalous differences between some *in vitro* and *in vivo* EMP activities;
  - differences between the activities of erionite fibers and amphibole asbestos fibers; and
  - the possibility of EMP-viral co-carcinogenesis.

Several reviews and recommendations for animal model and cellular studies on these issues have been developed by expert workshops and committees. Early studies on the carcinogenicity of asbestos and erionite fibers were reviewed by IARC [1977, 1987a,b] and SVFs were reviewed more recently [IARC 2002]. Short-term *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies to elucidate mechanisms of fiber-induced genotoxicity and genetic mechanisms affecting fiber-induced lung fibrosis have been extensively reviewed. A review for the EPA by an international working group assembled in 2003 provides an update on short-term assay systems for fiber toxicity and carcinogenic potential [ILSI 2005], and two

additional reviews discuss the fiber genotoxicity literature up to the current decade [Jaurand 1997; Schins 2002].

2.7.4.1 Model Systems Used to Study EMP Toxicity

The paucity of human health effects information for some new synthetic EPs has led to renewed considerations of the value and limitations of animal model studies, and the question of the interpretability of intrapleural, intraperitoneal, or inhalation challenge methods of animal model tests to make predictions of human health effects [IARC 2002]. One analysis concluded that rat inhalation is not sufficiently sensitive for prediction of human carcinogenicity by EMPs other than asbestos fibers [Muhle and Pott 2000]. Another review concluded that there are significant interspecies differences between the mouse, hamster, rat, and human, with the available evidence suggesting that the rat is preferable as a model for the human, noting that rats develop fibrosis at comparable lung burdens, in fibers per gram of dry lung, to those that are associated with fibrosis in humans. The review suggested that, on a weight-of-evidence basis, there is no reason to conclude that humans are more sensitive to fibers than rats with respect to the development of lung cancer [Maxim and McConnell 2001]. However, others suggest that, because inhaled particles frequently sequester in the interstitial compartment of humans, alveolar clearance is approximately one order of magnitude slower in humans than in rats [Snipes 1996; Tran and Buchanan 2000]. Those comparisons imply that results of inhalation studies with rats exposed to particles underestimate the risk for humans and that adjustment for kinetic differences in particle clearance and retention in rats is required to predict lung disease risks in humans [Kuempel et al. 2001].

How the results of *in vitro* tests which use cells or organ cultures apply to humans has been questioned because of differences in cell types and species-specific responses. It is difficult to isolate and maintain epithelial or mesothelial cells for use as models. Interpretation of *in vitro* test results may be limited because *in vitro* models may not consider all processes, such as clearance or surface conditioning, which occur *in vivo*. A major deficiency of *in vitro* systems is that biopersistence is not easily addressed. In addition to the usual exposure metric of mass, experimental designs should also include exposure metrics of EMP number and surface area [Mossman 2008; Wylie et al. 1997].

As frequently performed, *in vitro* assays of mineral particle-induced damage, measured by cell death or cytosolic or lysosomal enzyme release, do not adequately model or predict the results of *in vivo* challenge or epidemiological findings. For example, respirable aluminosilicate clay dust is as cytotoxic as quartz dust in such *in vitro* assays, while quartz, but not clay, is strongly fibrogenic *in vivo* [Vallyathan et al. 1988].

2.7.4.2 Studies on Effects of Fiber Dimension

Early animal inhalation studies found that chrysotile fibers induced fibrosis, hyperplasia of lung epithelial cells, and carcinomas in mice [Nordman and Sorge 1941] and tumors in

rats [Gross et al. 1967]. Another study found lung carcinomas and mesotheliomas in rats inhalationally exposed to asbestos fiber samples of amosite, anthophyllite, crocidolite, and chrysotile [Wagner et al. 1974]. The effects of fiber length, width, and aspect ratio on carcinogenicity were addressed in a seminal study using a pleural surface implantation method of challenge in the rat [Stanton et al. 1977, 1981]. Tests were performed on 72 durable EPs: 13 crocidolites; 22 glasses; 8 aluminum oxide sapphire whiskers; 7 talcs; 7 dawsonites; 4 wollastonites; 2 asbestos tremolites; an amosite; 2 attapulgites; 2 halloysites; a silicon carbide whisker; and 3 titanates. The incidence of malignant mesenchymal neoplasms a year after implantation correlated best with EPs that were longer than 8 μm and no wider than 0.25 μm, with relatively high correlations with EPs longer than 4 μm and no wider than 1.5 μm. This suggested that carcinogenicity of durable EPs depends on dimension and durability, rather than physicochemical properties. This is sometimes referred to as the "Stanton hypothesis" and has been the subject of continuing research. Reanalysis of the dimensions of seven of the crocidolite samples used in the 1981 study found that tumor probability was significantly correlated with the number of index particles (defined as particles longer than 8 μm and no wider than 0.25 μm), but the coefficient was low enough to suggest that factors other than size and shape play a role in carcinogenic effects of durable EPs [Wylie et al. 1987]. Further analysis confirmed the number of such index particles as the primary dimensional predictor of tumor incidence, but the correlation was increased when the data were analyzed by separate mineral types [Oehlert 1991]. These analyses suggested that mineral type is important, which is counter to the "Stanton hypothesis." Further suggesting that mineral type and the physio-chemical properties associated with different mineral types plays a role would be the negative tumor results obtained for the RTV talc samples 6 and 7 in Stanton's experiments. These samples contained significant levels of nonasbestiform tremolite and some talc and mixed or transitional fiber. One of the RTV talc samples contained enough Stanton index particles (talc fiber concentrate) to predict a 50 to 60% tumor rate – yet no tumors were produced. This finding is consistent with Smith's work with tremolitic talc and with cell toxicology work performed by Wylie and Mossman et al 1997.

In the Wylie and Mossman in-vitro study a concentrate of talc and mixed (transitional) fiber was tested against an equal weight of asbestos fiber in rodent tracheal epithelial and mesothelial cells. The authors concluded that "fibrous talc does not cause proliferation fo HTE cells or cytotoxicitgy equivalent to asbestos in either cell type despite the fact that talc samples contain duravle mineral fibers with dimensions similar to asbestos." It was noted that these findings were consistent with the findings of Stanton. A key research objective of the Roadmap is to better identify what elongaged mineral particle characteristics besides dimension are most important in the induction of pulmonary disease. Recognition of research "outliers" in this regard is important.

Data from animal models exposed by instillation or inhalation of EMPs of defined size distributions have been reviewed, along with human lung fiber burden data and associated effects, to conclude that: (1) asbestosis is most closely associated with the

surface area of retained EMPs; (2) mesothelioma is most closely associated with numbers of EMPs longer than about 5 μm and thinner than about 0.1 μm; and (3) lung cancer is most closely associated with EMPs longer than about 10 μm and thicker than about 0.15 μm [Lippmann 1988]. Lippmann 1988 states: "Therefore, it appears that the risk of lung cancer is associated with long fibers, especially those with diameters between ~0.3 and 0.8 um, and that substantial numbers of fibers >10 um in length are needed." A more recent review of the response to asbestos fibers of various lengths in animal models, along with data from studies of human materials, concluded that asbestos fibers of all lengths induce pathological responses, and suggested caution when attempting to exclude any subpopulation of inhaled asbestos fibers, based on their length, from being considered contributors to the development of asbestos-related diseases [Dodson et al. 2003].

2.7.4.3 Initiation of Toxic Interactions

A first question in seeking a full understanding of EMP properties and mechanisms responsible for fibrosis, lung cancer, or mesothelioma risks is the identity of initiating toxic interactions and the morphological, physical, or chemical properties of EMPs controlling them. Among proposed initiating mechanisms are: (1) EMP surfaces generate ROS (even *in vitro* in the absence of cells), which are the primary toxicants to cells; (2) EMP surfaces are directly membranolytic or otherwise directly cytotoxic or genotoxic to components of the cell, as are some non-elongate mineral particles, and that damage can cause necrosis, apoptosis, mutation, or transformation directly or by responsive cellular production of secondary reactive intermediates; and (3) EMP morphology itself can result in "frustrated phagocytosis" with an anomalous stimulation or release of ROS or other toxic reactive species.

2.7.4.3.1 Reactive Oxygen Species

Asbestos fibers can generate ROS or reactive nitrogen species in *in vitro* systems through direct aqueous-phase surface chemical reactions, as well as by stimulating secondary release of reactive species from cells. Electron spin resonance using spin-trapping techniques found that crocidolite, chrysotile, and amosite asbestos fibers were all able to catalyze the generation of toxic hydroxyl radicals in a cell-free system containing hydrogen peroxide, a normal byproduct of tissue metabolism, and that the iron chelator desferroxamine inhibited the reaction, indicating a major role for iron in the catalytic process [Weitzman and Graceffa 1984]. ROS generated by some EMP surfaces in cell-free media may provide toxicants to initiate cell structural or functional damage, including chromosomal or DNA genetic damage or aneuploidy from spindle apparatus damage. They also may activate cellular signaling pathways that promote cell proliferation or transformation. Research has investigated the possible roles of iron in this reactivity and the roles of released versus surface-borne iron.

Asbestos fibers can cause lipid peroxidation in mammalian cells and artificial membranes that can be prevented by removal of catalytic iron. Reduction of crocidolite cytotoxicity by certain antioxidants (including superoxide dismutase (SOD), a depletor of superoxide anion (SO); catalase, a scavenger of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2); dimethylthiourea (DMTU), a scavenger of the hydroxyl radical ($^{\bullet}OH$); and desferroxamine, an iron chelator) suggested that iron is involved in the generation of ROS through a modified Haber-Weiss Fenton-type reaction resulting in the production of hydroxyl radical (e.g., from SO and H_2O_2 generated during phagocytosis) [Goodglick and Kane 1986; Shatos et al. 1987]. Such scavenging or chelation prevented DNA strand breakage in cells *in vitro* by crocidolite fibers [Mossman and Marsh 1989].

In a cell-free study of five natural and two synthetic fibers, erionite, JM code 100 glass fibers, and glass wool were the most effective initiators of hydroxyl radical formation, followed by crocidolite, amosite, and chrysotile fibers. Hydroxyl radical formation activity showed positive correlations with tumor rates in rats challenged by intrapleural injection and with human mesothelioma mortality rates, but not with tumor rates in rats challenged by intraperitoneal injection [Maples and Johnson 1992]. SO-produced ROS then might induce DNA oxidative damage, measured as elevated 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG). In cell-free systems, the crocidolite-induced increase of 8-OHdG in isolated DNA was enhanced by addition of H_2O_2 and diminished by addition of desferroxamine [Faux et al. 1994]. However, de-ironized crocidolite fibers incubated in a cell-free system induced twice the 8-OHdG oxidative damage to DNA as untreated crocidolite fibers. In parallel rat exposures, the combination of de-ironized crocidolite fibers plus Fe_2O_3 resulted in mesothelioma in all animals compared to half the animals injected with crocidolite fibers alone and none of the animals injected with Fe_2O_3 alone [Adachi et al. 1994]. Other research suggested that unreleased fiber-surface-bound iron is important to the reactivity; long fibers of amosite and crocidolite both caused significant dose-dependent free radical damage to cell-free phage DNA, suppressible by the hydroxyl radical scavenger mannitol and by desferroxamine, but short RCFs and man-made vitreous fibers (MMVFs) did not, while releasing large quantities of Fe(III) iron [Gilmour et al. 1995]. Crocidolite fibers induced mutations in peritoneal tissue *in vivo* in rats, most prominently guanine-to-thymine (G-to-T) transversions known to be induced by 8-OHdG; this was interpreted as strong evidence for the involvement of ROS or reactive nitrogen species in crocidolite-induced mutagenesis *in vivo*, consistent with *in vitro* and cell-free studies [Unfried et al. 2002]. In contrast to glass fiber, crocidolite fiber intratracheal instillation in rats increased 8-OHdG levels in DNA at one day and in its repair enzyme activity at seven days. This *in vivo* activity is consistent with asbestos- and MMVF-induced increases of 8-OHdG oxidative damage *in vitro* [Yamaguchi et al. 1999].

2.7.4.3.2 Membrane Interactions

Many mineral particles, elongate or not, can directly cause membranolysis or other cytotoxic responses without necessarily invoking extracellular generation of ROS.

Mechanisms of cell damage by EMPs independent of ROS formation have been proposed to involve direct interactions of particle surface functional groups (e.g., silicon or aluminum or magnesium) with lipoproteins or glycoproteins of the cell membrane. It has been suggested that silica particle cytotoxicity to macrophages is due to distortion and disruption of secondary lysosomal membranes by phagocytosed particles whose surface silanol groups hydrogen-bond to membrane lipid phosphates, but that chrysotile-induced cellular release of hydrolytic enzymes is due to surface magnesium interacting ionically with sialic acid residues of membrane glycoproteins, inducing cation leakage and osmotic lysis [Allison and Ferluga 1977]. Chrysotile fibers cause lysis of red blood cells. EM indicates that cell membranes become wrapped around the fibers and that cell distortion and membrane deformation correlate with an increase in the intracellular ratio of sodium to potassium ions. Cell pretreatment with neuraminidase prevents fiber-cell binding, suggesting mediation by cell membrane glycoproteins [Brody and Hill 1983]. However, chrysotile and crocidolite fibers both induced increased membrane rigidity in model unilamellar vesicles made of saturated dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC), suggesting that lipid peroxidation is not involved in membrane rigidity induced by asbestos [Gendek and Brody 1990]. Silicate slate dust and chrysotile fibers both induced hemolysis *in vitro* and peroxidation of polyunsaturated membrane lipids. However, poly(2-vinylpyridine N-oxide) (PVPNO) and DPPC surface prophylactic agents suppressed lysis but not peroxidation, while SOD and catalase did the reverse; and lysis was much faster than peroxidation. This suggested that membrane lysis and peroxidation are independent processes [Singh and Rahman 1987]. However, either mechanism may be involved in membrane damage by EMPs; and seemingly disparate findings suggest uncharacterized details of EMP properties or of cellular or mineral conditioning under test conditions may be important.

In *in vitro* studies, quartz dust and chrysotile fibers induced loss of viability and release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from alveolar macrophages. DPPC reduced these activities of the quartz but not of the asbestos [Schimmelpfeng et al. 1992]. DPPC is adsorbed from aqueous dispersion in approximately equal amounts on a surface area basis, about 5 mg phospholipid per square meter, by asbestos fibers [Jaurand et al. 1980] and by non-fibrous silicate particles [Wallace et al. 1992]; this is close to the value predicted by mathematical modeling of an adsorbed bilayer [Nagle 1993]. In the case of silica or clay membranolytic dusts, this adsorption fully suppresses their activity until toxicity is manifest as the prophylactic surfactant is digested from the particle surface by lysosomal phospholipase enzyme, with mineral-specific rates of the process suggesting a basis for differing fibrogenic potentials of different types of mineral particles [Wallace et al. 1992].

Samples of intermediate-length and short-length NIEHS chrysotile were compared, with and without DPPC lung surfactant pre-treatment, for micronucleus induction in Chinese hamster lung V79 cells *in vitro*. Increase in micronuclei frequency and multi-nuclear cell frequency were induced by all samples, with the greatest micronucleus induction by untreated intermediate-length chrysotile fibers and with greater activity for untreated

versus treated short chrysotile fibers. Cell viability was greater for treated fibers [Lu et al. 1994]. NIEHS intermediate-length chrysotile was mildly acid-treated to deplete surface-borne magnesium while only slightly affecting fiber length. Challenge of Chinese hamster lung fibroblast cells *in vitro* for micronucleus induction found no significant difference between the treated and untreated samples, supporting a model of chemically non-specific chromosomal and spindle damage effects [Keane et al. 1999]. Chrysotile fiber induction of mucin secretion in a tracheal cell culture was inhibited by using lectins to block specific carbohydrate residues on the cell surface; leached chrysotile was inactive, suggesting that the surface cationic magnesium of chrysotile was responsible for interaction with cell surface glycolipids and glycoproteins [Mossman et al. 1983]. However, complete removal of accessible sialic acid residues from erythrocytes did not inhibit hemolysis by chrysotile fibers, suggesting that chrysotile fibers can induce lysis by interaction with some other component of the cell [Pelé and Calvert 1983].

2.7.4.3.3 Morphology-mediated Effects

A third possible mechanism for damage by EMP principally involves morphology. The possibility of "frustrated phagocytosis" is suggested by the Stanton hypothesis of an over-riding significance of particle dimension for disease induction by durable EPs. A general concept is that EMPs longer than a phagocytic cell's linear dimensions can not be completely incorporated in a phagosome. Recruitment of membrane from the Golgi apparatus or endoplasmic reticulum may provide extensive addition to the plasma membrane for a cell's attempted invagination to accommodate a long EMP in a phagosomal membrane [Aderem 2002]. However, because of the length of the EMP relative to the dimensions of the cell, the final phagosomal structure is topologically an annulus extending fully through the cell, rather than an enclosed vacuole fully within the cell. Following uptake of non-elongate particles, there is a maturation of the phagosomal membrane; the initial phagosomal membrane is that of the cell's external plasmalemma, which cannot kill or digest phagocytosed material. After sealing of the fully invaginated phagosomal vesicle in the interior of the cell, there is a rapid and extensive change in the membrane composition [Scott et al. 2003]. This involves, in part, an association with lysosomal vesicles and exposure of particles within the secondary phagosome or phagolysosome to lytic enzymes and adjusted pH conditions. Failure to close the phagosome, as occurs in frustrated phagocytosis, is speculated to induce dysfunction of the system. Conventional phagocytosis of non-elongate particles can lead to a respiratory or oxidative burst of membrane-localized NADPH oxidase of SO radicals, which may be converted to H_2O_2, hydroxyl radicals, and other toxic reactive products of oxygen. If these are released extracellularly in connection with frustrated phagocytosis, they are potentially harmful to the tissue [Bergstrand 1990].

Failure to complete normal phagocytosis may affect the duration or intensity of the phagocytic response. It may also affect the generation or release of reactive species or membranolytic digestive enzymes into the still-exterior annulus. Another possible affect

is to alter the maturation of the annular frustrated phagocytic membrane from the normal structural and functional evolution of a closed phagolysosomal vesicle fully interior to the cell. Even in the response to such a frustrated phagocytosis, there might be some mineral specificity beyond morphology alone for EMP-induced release of reactive species. Amosite fibers, MMVF, silicon carbide fibers, and RCF-1 fibers all stimulated modest release of SO which was not dose-dependent in isolated rat alveolar macrophages. However, when IgG, a normal component of lung lining fluid, was adsorbed onto the fiber surfaces, such release was strongly enhanced for all but the silicon carbide fibers. SO release correlated with adsorptive capacity for IgG of the fibers, except for the amosite, which required only poorly adsorbed IgG for strong activity, suggesting some mineral specificity beyond morphology alone for the EMP-induced cellular respiratory burst [Hill et al. 1996].

2.7.4.3.4 Cellular Responses to Initiation of Toxicity

Subsequent to initiating damage, either by direct or induced ROS generation, or by direct membranolysis generated by interactions of mineral surface sites with membrane lipids or glycoproteins, or by not-fully-defined toxic response to morphology-based frustrated phagocytosis, a standard model for subsequent complex cellular response has evolved and has been the subject of extensive and detailed analyses [Mossman et al. 1997]. EMP-generated primary toxic stimuli to the cell are subject to signal transduction by mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), beginning an intracellular multiple kinase signal cascade which then induces transcription factors in the nucleus such as activator protein (AP)-1or nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-κB), which in turn regulate the transcription of mRNA from genes for TNF-α or other cytokines involved in cell proliferation or inflammation.

Fibers of the six asbestos minerals generate MAPK in lung epithelium *in vitro* and *in vivo*, increasing AP-1 transcription activation, cell proliferation, death, differentiation, or inflammation. This is synergistic with cigarette smoke [Mossman et al. 2006]. Macrophage release of oxidants or mitogenic factors through such a pathway could then cause cell proliferation or DNA damage [Driscoll et al. 1998]. In contrast to MMVF-10 and RCF-4, amosite and two other carcinogenic fibers (silicon carbide and RCF-1) produced significant dose-dependent translocation of NF-κB to the nucleus in A549 lung epithelial cells. It was hypothesized that carcinogenic fibers have greater free radical activity, which produces greater oxidative stress and results in greater translocation of NF-κB to the nucleus for the transcription of pro-inflammatory genes (e.g., cytokines) [Brown et al. 1999]. Crocidolite induced AP-1 *in vitro* in JB6 cells and induced AP-1 transactivation in pulmonary and bronchial tissue after intratracheal instillation in transgenic mice, apparently mediated by activation of MAPK [Ding et al. 1999]. Chrysotile challenge to blood monocytes co-cultured with bronchial epithelial cells resulted in elevated levels in epithelial cells of protein-tyrosine kinase activity, NF-κB activity, and mRNA levels for interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α. Protein-tyrosine kinase activity, NF-κB activity, and mRNA synthesis were inhibited by antioxidants,

suggesting ROS-dependent NF-κB-mediated transcription of inflammatory cytokines in bronchial epithelial cells [Drumm et al. 1999].

Chemokines known to be associated with particle-induced inflammation were found to be secreted by mesothelial cells after amosite challenge to cultured rat pleural mesothelial cells, and were found in pleural lavage of rats challenged *in vivo* [Hill et al. 2003].

Fibers from both crocidolite (asbestiform riebeckite) and nonfibrous milled riebeckite increased phosphorylation and activity of a MAPK cascade in association with induction of an inflammatory state of rat pleural mesothelial cells and progenitor cells of malignant mesothelioma. Amelioration by pre-incubation with vitamin E indicated this to be an oxidative stress effect [Swain et al. 2004]. Lung lysate, cells from bronchoalveolar lavage, and alveolar macrophages and bronchiolar epithelial cells from lung sections from rats exposed to crocidolite or chrysotile fibers contained nitrotyrosine and phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs); nitrotyrosine is a marker for peroxynitrile which activates ERK signaling pathways, altering protein function [Iwagaki 2003]. *In vitro* challenge of human bronchiolar epithelial cells with crocidolite or chrysotile fibers induced tissue factor (TF) mRNA expression and induced NF-κB and other transcription factors that bind the TF gene promoter. TF *in vivo* is involved in blood coagulation with inflammation and tissue remodeling [Iakhiaev et al. 2004]. Asbestos fibers activate an ERK pathway *in vitro* in mesothelial and epithelial cells. Crocidolite challenge to mice results in phosphorylation of ERK in bronchiolar and alveolar type II epithelial cells, epithelial cell hyperplasia, and fibrotic lesions. Epithelial cell signals through the ERK pathway lead to tissue remodeling and fibrosis [Cummins et al. 2003].

Crocidolite and erionite fibers, but not non-fibrous milled riebeckite, up-regulated expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in rat pleural mesothelial cells *in vitro*. Cell proliferation was co-localized subsequent to EGFR, suggesting initiation of a cell-signaling cascade to cell proliferation and cancer [Faux et al. 2000]. "Long" amosite fibers were more active than "short" amosite fibers in causing: (1) damage to nude DNA; (2) *in vitro* cytotoxicity in a human lung epithelial cell line; (3) free radical reactions; (4) inhibition of glycerol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and pentose phosphate pathways; (5) decrease in intracellular reduced glutathione; (6) increase in thiobarbituric acid reaction substances; and (7) leaking of LDH [Riganti et al. 2003].

An important paradox or seeming failure of *in vitro* studies concerns mesothelioma. While chrysotile or amphibole asbestos fibers clearly induce malignant mesothelioma *in vivo*, they do not transform primary human mesothelial cells *in vitro*, while erionite fibers do. Asbestos fibers can induce some genotoxic changes; crocidolite fibers induced cytogenotoxic effects, including increased polynucleated cells and formation of 8-OHdG in a phagocytic human mesothelial cell line, but did not induce cytogenotoxic effects in a non-phagocytic human promyelocytic leukemia cell line [Takeuchi et al. 1999]. Tremolite, erionite, RCF-1, and chrysotile fiber challenges of human-hamster hybrid

A(L) cells found chrysotile fibers to be significantly more cytotoxic. Mutagenicity was not seen at the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) locus for any of the fibers. Erionite and tremolite fibers induced dose-dependent mutations at the gene marker on the only human chromosome in the hybrid cell. Erionite was the most mutagenic type of fiber. RFC-1 fibers were not mutagenic, in seeming contrast to their known induction of mesothelioma in hamsters [Okayasu et al. 1999]. Crocidolite fibers induced significant but reversible DNA single-strand breaks in transformed human pleural mesothelial cells; TNF-α induced marginal increases; co-exposure to crocidolite fibers and TNF-α caused greater damage than fibers alone. Antioxidant enzymes did not reduce the DNA damage, suggesting a mechanism of damage other than by free radicals [Ollikainen et al. 1999]. Crocidolite fibers were also very cytotoxic to the cells; presumably cell death may prevent the observation of cell transformation. *In vitro* challenge to mesothelial cells and to fibroblast cells by crocidolite fibers, but not by glass wool, induced dose-dependent cytotoxicity and increased DNA synthesis activity [Cardinali et al. 2006]. Crocidolite fibers were found to induce TNF-α secretion and receptors in human mesothelial cells, and TNF-α reduced cytotoxicity of crocidolite fibers by activating NF-κB and improving cell survival and permitting expression of cytogenetic activity [Yang et al. 2006]. Erionite fibers transformed immortalized non-tumorigenic human mesothelial cells *in vitro* only when exposed in combination with IL-1β or TNF-α [Wang et al. 2004]. Erionite fibers were poorly cytotoxic but induced proliferation signals and high growth rate in hamster mesothelial cells. Long-term exposure to erionite fibers resulted in transformation of human mesothelial cells *in vitro,* but exposure to asbestos fibers did not transform those cells [Bertino et al. 2007]. *In vitro* challenge of mesothelial cells to asbestos fibers induced cytotoxicity and apoptosis, but not transformation. *In vitro* challenge of human mesothelial cells to asbestos fibers induced the ferritin heavy chain of iron-binding protein, an anti-apoptotic protein, with decrease in H_2O_2 and other ROS and resistance to apoptosis [Aung et al. 2007]. This was seen also in a human malignant mesothelial cell line.

The question of a co-carcinogenic effect of asbestos fibers with a virus has been raised. Most malignant mesotheliomas are associated with asbestos exposures, but only a fraction of those exposed develop mesothelioma, indicating that other factors may play a role. It has been suggested that simian virus 40 (SV40) and asbestos fibers may be co-carcinogens. SV40 is a DNA tumor virus that causes mesothelioma in hamsters and has been detected in several human mesotheliomas. Asbestos fibers appear to increase SV40–mediated transformation of human mesothelial cells *in vitro* [Carbone et al. 2002]. In an *in vivo* demonstration of co-carcinogenicity of SV40 and asbestos fibers, mice containing high copy number of SV40 viral oncogene rapidly developed fast-growing mesothelioma following asbestos challenge. Transgenic copy number was proportional to cell survival and *in vitro* proliferation [Robinson et al. 2006].

Various mechanisms exist to protect cells and tissues against oxidants, and it is conceivable that genetic and acquired variations in these systems may account for individual variation in the response to oxidative stress [Driscoll et al. 2002]. Similarly,

species differences in antioxidant defenses or the capacity of various defenses may underlie differences in response to xenobiotics that act, in whole or part, through oxidative mechanisms. Oxidative mechanisms of response to xenobiotics is especially relevant to the respiratory tract, which is directly and continually exposed to an external environment containing oxidant pollutants (e.g., ozone, oxides of nitrogen) and particles which may generate oxidants as a result of chemical properties or by stimulating production of cell-derived oxidants. In addition, exposure to particles or other pollutants may produce oxidative stress in the lung by stimulating the recruitment of inflammatory cells. For example, the toxicity of asbestos fibers likely involves the production of oxidants, such as hydroxyl radical, SO, and H_2O_2. Studies have also shown that asbestos fibers and other mineral particles may act by stimulating cellular production of ROS and reactive nitrogen species. In addition to direct oxidant production, exposure to asbestos and SVFs used in high-dose animal studies stimulates the recruitment and activation of macrophages and polymorphonuclear leukocytes that can produce ROS through the activity of NADPH oxidase on their cell membranes. Developing an understanding of the oxidative stress/NF-κB pathway for EMP-mediated inflammation and the interplay between exposure-induced oxidant production, host antioxidant defenses, and inter-individual or species variability in defenses may be very important for developing appropriate risk assessments of inhaled EMPs [Donaldson and Tran 2002].

2.7.4.4 Studies Comparing EMPs from Amphiboles with Asbestiform versus Nonasbestiform Habits

Smith et al. [1979] compared tumor induction after IP injection in hamsters of two asbestiform tremolites, two nonasbestiform prismatic tremolitic talcs, and one tremolitic talc of uncertain asbestiform status. No tumors were observed following the nonasbestiform tremolite challenge, in contrast to the asbestiform tremolites. However, tumors were observed from the tremolitic talc of uncertain amphibole status. In rule-making, OSHA [1992] noted the small number of animals in the study, the early death of many animals, and the lack of systematic characterization of particle size and aspect ratio. Subsequent analyses (by chemical composition) performed on the nonasbestiform tremolitic talc from the study, which was not associated with mesothelioma, found 13% of particles had at least a 3:1 aspect ratio [Wylie et al. 1993]. It is important to note that the two nonasbestiform tremolitic talc samples were RTV talc. One sample represented the product as sold (FD-14), the other a tremolitic concentrate from the same talc ore. A prismatic, nonasbestiform tremolitic talc and an asbestiform tremolite from the study were analyzed for aspect ratio [Campbell et al. 1979]. They analyzed 200 particles of the asbestiform tremolite sample and found 17% had an aspect ratio of 3:1 or greater and 9.5% had an aspect ratio greater than 10:1. Analysis of 200 particles of the prismatic tremolite found 2.5% had an aspect ratio of 3:1 or greater and 0.5% (one particle) had an aspect ratio greater than 10:1. Such aspect ratio variation is typical of the two mineral habits.

Wagner et al. [1982] challenged rats by IP injection using tremolite asbestos, a prismatic nonasbestiform tremolite, or a tremolitic talc considered nonasbestiform containing a limited number of long fibers. Only the tremolite asbestos produced tumors; mesothelioma was found in 14 of 37 animals. The authors speculated that tumor rate may have risen further if the testing period had not been shortened due to infection-induced mortality. On a per microgram of injected dose basis, the asbestiform sample contained 3.3×10^4 non-fibrous particles, 15.5×10^4 fibers, and 56.1×10^3 fibers >8 µm long and <1.5 µm wide. Corresponding values for the prismatic amphibole were 20.7×10^4, 4.8×10^4, and 0. Tremolitic talc values were 6.9×10^4, 5.1×10^4, and 1.7×10^3. Infection-reduced survival prevented evaluation of a crocidolite-exposed positive control.

Another IP injection study with the rat used six samples of tremolite of different morphological types [Davis et al. 1991]. For three asbestiform samples, mesothelioma occurred in 100%, 97%, and 97% of the animals, at corresponding doses of 13.4×10^9 fibers / 121×10^6 fibers with length >8 µm and diameter <0.25 µm; 2.1×10^9 / 8×10^6; and 7.8×10^9 / 48×10^6, respectively. For an Italian tremolite from a non-asbestos source and containing relatively few asbestiform fibers (1.0×10^9 / 1×10^6), mesothelioma was found in two-thirds of the animals, with delayed expression. For two nonasbestiform tremolites (0.9×10^9 / 0; 0.4×10^9 / 0), tumors were found in 12% and 5% of the animals, respectively; at least the former was above expected background levels. This is not clear. What is the definition of a fiber in the total fiber values? The Italian sample resulting in 67% mesothelioma incidence contained only one-third the number of EMPs >8 µm long compared to the nonasbestiform sample associated with 12% mesothelioma, and those two samples contained an approximately equal number of fibers with length >8 µm and width <0.5 µm. The preparation of the three asbestiform samples and the Italian sample were essentially identical. However, the two nonasbestiform samples associated with low mesothelioma incidence required significantly different pre-treatment, the first requiring multiple washing and sedimentation and the second grinding under water in a micronizing mill. It was noted that those two nonasbestiform samples and the Italian sample contained minor components of long, thin asbestiform tremolite fibers. This study suggested that carcinogenicity may not depend simply on the number of EMPs and called for methods of distinguishing "carcinogenic tremolite fibers" from non-fibrous tremolite dusts that contain similar numbers of EMPs of similar aspect ratios [Davis et al. 1991]. It has been suggested that the response observed for the Italian tremolite is of a pattern expected for a low dose of highly carcinogenic asbestos tremolite [Addison 2007].

A recent review of past studies of varieties of tremolite and the limitations of earlier studies (e.g., their use of injection or implantation versus inhalation) suggested that, based on observed differences in the carcinogenicity of tremolite asbestos and nonasbestiform prismatic tremolite, differences in carcinogenicity of amphibole asbestos fibers and nonasbestiform amphibole cleavage fragments are sufficiently large to be discernable even with the study limitations [Addison and McConnell 2008]. The authors also concluded the evidence supports a view that shorter, thicker cleavage fragments of

the nonasbestiform amphiboles are less hazardous than the thinner asbestos fibers [Addison and McConnell 2008].

In summary, several types of animal studies have been conducted to assess the carcinogenicity and fibrogenicity of asbestiform and nonasbestiform tremolite fibers and other EMPs. Tremolite asbestos was found to be both fibrogenic and carcinogenic in rats by inhalation. However, the data for other particle forms of tremolite and for other amphiboles in general are much more limited, and is based primarily on mesotheliomas produced by intrapleural administration studies in rats. These studies bypass the lung entirely, and thus provide no information on the test material's potential for causing lung tumors. In addition, they have often been criticized for employing a non-physiological route of administration. Conversely, many animal toxicologists view direct instillation as a "worst casse" or most sensitive testing approach. Some of the older studies [Smith et al. 1979; Wagner et al. 1982] are difficult to interpret due to inadequate characterization of the tremolite preparation that was used, although the studies do ~~tend to~~ show fewer or no tumors from prismatic tremolite than from asbestiform tremolite when tested in the same way and in most cases in the same experiment . Unfortunately, doses used in most animal studies are generally reported in terms of mass (e.g., 10, 25, or 40 mg/rat). Unless the test preparations are well characterized in terms of fiber counts and fiber size distributions, it is difficult to relate the mass-based dose in the animals to fiber count measurements used to assess human occupational exposures. Where semi-quantitative fiber count and size distribution data are given, as in the Davis et al. [1991] study, it is evident that the prismatic tremolite samples contain fewer countable [DEFINE COUNTABLE] fibers per 10 mg dose than the asbestiform tremolite samples. Although the prismatic tremolite samples clearly generated fewer if any mesotheliomas ~~than~~ relative to the asbestiform tremolite samples, it is not apparent whether the turmorigenic potency per fiber is lower for the nonasbestiform tremolites. These animal studies do show that the nonasbestiform tremolites have many more >1.0 micron wide "fibers" than the asbestiform fibers and the correlation tumors for these thicker fibers is very poor while the correlation with the % and number of <1.0 or < 0.5 micron wide fibers is very good.

Cellular *in vitro* assays used LDH release, beta-glucuronidase release, cytotoxicity, and giant cell formation to compare two nonasbestiform and one asbestiform tremolites, finding relative toxicities parallel to the differences seen in an *in vivo* rat IP injection study of tumorigenicity using the same samples [Wagner et al. 1982]. *In vitro* cellular or organ tissue culture studies showed squamous metaplasia and increased DNA synthesis in tracheal explant cultures treated with long glass fibers or with crocidolite or chrysotile fibers, while cleavage fragments from their nonasbestiform analogues, riebeckite and antigorite, were not active [Woodworth et al. 1983]. For alveolar macrophages *in vitro*, crocidolite fibers induced the release of ROS an order of magnitude greater than cleavage fragments from nonasbestiform riebeckite [Hansen and Mossman 1987]. Similar differences were observed in hamster tracheal cells for:

- induction of ornithine decarboxylase, an enzyme associated with mouse skin cell proliferation and tumor promotion [Marsh and Mossman 1988];
- stimulating survival or proliferation in a colony-forming assay using those hamster tracheal epithelial cells [Sesko and Mossman 1989];
- activation of proto-oncogenes in tracheal epithelial and pleural mesothelial cells *in vitro* [Janssen et al. 1994]; and
- cytotoxicity [Mossman and Sesko 1990].

A recent review concludes that a large body of work shows that asbestos fibers have been most active in a number of *in vitro* bioassays comparing activities of a variety of asbestos fibers and other nonpathogenic fibers or particles, while cleavage fragments of amphiboles are less potent than asbestos fibers [Mossman 2008].

These are a fraction of the extensive number of studies that have provided detailed information on some of the biomolecular mechanisms induced in cells by EMP exposure, suggesting some bases underlying applied questions of relative toxicities and pathogenicities of asbestiform and nonasbestiform EMPs. Seemingly contradictory implications between some experiments suggest that new methods for preparation and characterization of EMPs may be needed. Also, careful attempts to identify *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions which may unexpectedly influence the initiation or promotion of cell damage and progression to disease may aid the further elucidation of EMP properties and conditions of exposure determining disease risk.

The number of animal studies of nonasbestiform amphibole dusts is limited but all show similar results in that a carcinogenic effect has not been demonstrated. To date this research has found ~~generally~~ significant differences in pathogenicity between nonasbestiform and asbestiform amphiboles. Within these studies, there are few findings of biological effects ~~or~~ and no clear tumorigenicity induced by samples classified as nonasbestiform, and there are rational hypotheses as to the cause of those effects. There are general fundamental uncertainties concerning EMP properties and biological mechanisms that determine mineral particle toxicities and pathogenicities, and specifically concerning the similarities or differences in disease mechanisms between EMPs from asbestiform versus nonasbestiform amphiboles. *In vitro* studies have generally found differences in specific toxic activities between some asbestiform and nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs, although *in vitro* systems are not yet able to predict relative pathogenic risk for mineral fibers and other EMPs. This suggests a focus of research to determine if and when nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs are active for tumorigenicity or other pathology, if there is a threshold for those activities, and if distinguishing conditions or properties that determine such pathogenicity can be found.

2.7.5 Thresholds

Discussions of thresholds for adverse health effects associated with exposure to asbestos fibers and related EMPs have focused on the characteristics of dimension, including length, width, and the derived aspect ratio, as well as concentration. Although other particle characteristics discussed above may impact these thresholds, or may have thresholds of their own that impact the toxicity of EMPs, they are not well discussed in the literature. The following discussion is focused on thresholds for dimension and concentration.

The seminal work of Stanton et al. [1981] laid the foundation for much of the information on dimensional thresholds. Their analyses found that malignant neoplasms in exposed rats were best predicted by the number of EMPs longer than 8 μm and thinner than 0.25 μm. However, the number of EMPs in other size categories having lengths greater than 4 μm and widths up to 1.5 μm were also highly correlated with malignant neoplasms. Also, some samples with relatively larger proportions of shorter particles, such as the tremolites, produced high rates of tumors. Lippmann [1988, 1990] reviewed the literature and suggested that lung cancer is most closely associated with asbestos fibers longer than 10 μm and thicker than 0.15 μm, while mesothelioma is most closely associated with asbestos fibers longer than 5 μm and thinner than 0.1 μm. Evidence from animal studies and some *in vitro* studies suggests that short asbestos fibers (e.g., <5 μm long) may play a role in fibrosis, but are of lesser concern than longer asbestos fibers for cancer development.

Berman et al. [1995] statistically analyzed aggregate data from 13 inhalation studies in which rats were exposed to 9 types of asbestos (4 chrysotiles, 3 amosites, a crocidolite, and a tremolite asbestos) to assess fiber dimension and mineralogy as predictors of lung tumor and mesothelioma risks. Archived samples from the studies were reanalyzed to provide detailed information on each asbestos structure, including mineralogy (i.e., chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite, or tremolite), size (i.e., length and width, each in 5 categories), type (i.e., fiber, bundle, cluster, or matrix), and complexity (i.e., number of identifiable components of a cluster or matrix). Multiple concentrations (each for asbestos structures with different specified characteristics) were calculated for the experimental exposures. While no univariate index of exposure adequately described lung tumor incidence observed across all inhalation studies, certain multivariate indices of exposure did adequately describe outcomes. Fibers and bundles longer than 5 μm and thinner than 0.4 μm contributed to lung tumor risk; very long (≥40 μm) and very thick (≥5 μm) complex clusters and matrices possibly contributed. While structures <5 μm long did not contribute to lung tumor risk, potency of thin (<0.4 μm) structures increased with increasing length above 5 μm and structures ≥40 μm long were estimated to be about 500 times more potent than structures between 5 and 40 μm long. With respect to lung tumor risk, no difference was observed between chrysotile and amphibole asbestos. With respect to mesothelioma risk, chrysotile was found to be less potent than amphibole asbestos. While the Berman et al. [1995] analysis was limited to studies of asbestos exposure, similar statistical approaches may be adaptable to assess study outcomes from exposures to a broader range of EMPs beyond asbestos.

In addressing the issue of a length threshold, the Health Effects Institute [HEI 1991] concluded that asbestos fibers <5 μm long appear to have much less carcinogenic activity than longer fibers and may be relatively inactive. A panel convened by the ATSDR [2003] concluded that "given findings from epidemiological studies, laboratory animal studies, and *in vitro* genotoxicity studies, combined with the lung's ability to clear short fibers, the panelists agreed that there is a strong weight of evidence that asbestos and SVFs shorter than 5 μm are unlikely to cause cancer in humans." Also, an EPA [2003] peer consultant panel "agreed that the available data suggest that the risk for fibers <5 μm long is very low and could be zero." They also generally agreed that the width cut-off should be between 0.5 and 1.5 μm, but deserved further analysis.

However, Dodson et al. [2003] have argued that it is difficult to rule out the involvement of short (<5 μm) asbestos fibers in causing disease because exposures to asbestos fibers are overwhelmingly comprised of fibers shorter than 5 μm and fibers observed in the lung and in extrapulmonary locations are also overwhelmingly shorter than 5 μm. For example, in a study of malignant mesothelioma cases, Suzuki and Yuen [2002] and Suzuki et al. [2005] found that the majority of asbestos fibers in lung and mesothelial tissues were shorter than 5 μm.

NIOSH investigators have recently evaluated the relationship between the dimensions (i.e., length and width) of airborne chrysotile fibers and risks for developing lung cancer or asbestosis by updating the cohort of chrysotile-exposed textile workers previously studied by Dement et al. [1994], Stayner et al. [1997], and Hein et al. [2007]. Archived airborne samples collected at this chrysotile textile plant were re-analyzed by TEM to generate exposure estimates based on bivariate fiber-size distribution [Dement et al. 2008]. TEM analysis of sampled fibers found all size-specific categories (35 categories were assigned based on combinations of fiber width and length) to be highly statistically significant predictors of lung cancer and asbestosis [Stayner et al. 2007]. The smallest fiber size-specific category was thinner than 0.25 μm and ≤1.5 μm long. The largest size-specific category was thicker than 3.0 μm and >40 μm long. Both lung cancer and asbestosis were most strongly associated with exposures to thin fibers (<0.25 μm), and longer fibers (>10 μm) were found to be the strongest predictors of lung cancer. A limitation of the study is that cumulative exposures for the cohort were highly correlated across all fiber-size categories, which complicates the interpretation of the study results.

In addition to length and width, an important parameter used to define EMPs is the aspect ratio. The use of the 3:1 length:width aspect ratio as the minimum to define an EMP was not established on scientific bases such as toxicity or exposure potential. Rather the decision was based on the ability of the microscopist to determine the elongate nature of a particle [Holmes 1965], and the practice has been carried through to this day. As bivariate analyses are conducted, the impact of aspect ratio, in addition to length and width, on toxicity and health outcomes needs to be addressed.

As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the nature of occupational exposures to asbestos has changed over the last several decades. Once dominated by chronic exposures in textile mills, friction product manufacturing, and cement pipe fabrication, current occupational exposures to asbestos in the United States are primarily occurring during maintenance activities or remediation of buildings containing asbestos. These current occupational exposure scenarios frequently involve short-term, intermittent exposures. The generally lower current exposures give added significance to the question of whether or not there is an asbestos exposure threshold below which workers would incur no risk of adverse health outcomes.

Risk assessments of workers occupationally exposed to asbestos were reviewed by investigators sponsored by the Health Effects Institute [1991]. They found that dose-specific risk is highly dependent on how the measurement of dose (exposure) was determined. A common problem with many of the epidemiological studies of workers exposed to asbestos was the quality of the exposure data. Few studies have good historical exposure data and those data which were available are mostly area samples with concentrations reported as millions of particles per cubic foot of air (mppcf). Although correction factors were used to convert exposures measured in mppcf to f/cm^3, the conversions were often based on more recent exposure measurements collected at concentrations lower than those prevalent in earlier years. In addition, a single conversion factor was typically used to estimate exposures throughout a facility, which may not accurately represent differences in particle sizes and counts at different processes in the facility.

More recently, the concept of a concentration threshold has been reviewed by Hodgson and Darnton [2000]. It is generally accepted that lung fibrosis requires relatively heavy exposure to asbestos and that the carcinogenic response of the lung may be an extension of the same inflammatory processes that produce lung fibrosis. Some evidence for a threshold is provided by an analysis of a chrysotile-exposed cohort, which suggests a potential threshold dose of about 30 f/mL-yr to produce radiologically evident fibrosis [Weill 1994]. Another study of necropsy material from textile workers exposed to chrysotile shows a distinct step increase in fibrosis for exposures in the 20–30 f/mL-yr range [Green et al. 1997]. However, a study of textile mill workers exposed to chrysotile did not find evidence for significant concentration thresholds for either asbestosis or lung cancer [Stayner et al. 1997]. Hodgson and Darnton [2000] pointed out that any evidence suggesting a threshold for chrysotile would likely not apply to amphibole asbestos because radiologically evident fibrosis has been documented among workers exposed to amphibole asbestos at low levels (<5 f/mL-yr). They concluded that if a concentration threshold exists for amphiboles, it is very low. A discussion of the Berman and Crump protocol model for asbestos risk needs to be provided here.

For mesothelioma, Hodgson and Darnton [2000] identified cohorts with high rates of mesothelioma at levels of exposure below those at which increased lung cancer has been

identified; in some studies, the proportion of mesothelioma cases with no likely asbestos exposure is much higher than expected. Hodgson and Darnton [2000] concluded that these studies support a non-zero risk, even from brief, low-level exposures.

Animal studies using intraperitoneal and intrapleural injection of asbestos fibers cited by Ilgren and Browne [1991] suggest a possible threshold concentration for mesothelioma. However, it is not clear how this would be useful to determine a threshold for inhalation exposure in humans.

2.8 Analytical Methods

Available analytical methods can characterize the size, morphology, elemental composition, crystal structure, and surface composition of bulk materials and individual airborne particles. There are two separate paradigms for selecting among these methods for their use or further development for application to EMPs: one is for their support of standardized surveys or compliance assessments of workplace exposures to EMPs; another is for their support of research to identify physicochemical properties of EMPs that are critical to predicting toxicity or pathogenic potential for lung fibrosis, cancer, or mesothelioma. The former refers to analytical methods that can be applied to samples of airborne particles, while the latter can be used to characterize airborne particles and bulk materials.

Cost, time, availability, standardization requirements, and other pragmatic factors limit the selection of analytical methods for standardized analysis of field samples for the first set of uses. Additionally, those uses require methods with an historic established association with disease risk. Principal among these analyses for standardized industrial hygiene use is an optical microscopy method — PCM (e.g., the NIOSH Method 7400 or equivalent) [NIOSH 1994a]. Under the current NIOSH REL for airborne asbestos fibers, particles are counted if they ~~are EMPs (i.e., mineral particles with an aspect ratio [length:width] of 3:1 or greater) of the covered minerals and they~~ are longer than 5 µm and have a minimum aspect ratio [length to width] of 3:1 when viewed microscopically using NIOSH Method 7400 or its equivalent. The assumption when using this method is that all particles meeting the dimensional criteria are airborne asbestos fibers because PCM cannot identify the chemistry or crystalline structure of a particle. This assumption may be appropriate in situations where the majority of particles are reasonably assumed to be one of the minerals included in the airborne asbestos fiber REL. Electron microscopy can be used to determine the actual proportion of the total particles that are covered by the airborne asbestos fiber REL, and this proportion can be used to adjust the count from PCM (NIOSH Method 7402) [NIOSH 1994b]. Such counts are known as PCM-equivalents or PCMe. Note that this is not the same procedure as counting particles that would meet the PCM criteria under the electron microscope. Methods for performing counts under both scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [ISO 2002] and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [U.S. Code of Federal Regulations 2001] have

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

been developed. However, only a few countries (e.g. Germany, Austria, Netherlands and Switzerland) use SEM routinely for counting. The EPA uses TEM for counting.

Characterization of bulk minerals is a process known as petrographic analysis. Petrographic analysis includes a number of techniques including polarized light microscopy (PLM), electron microscopy (scanning electron microscopy [SEM], or transmission electron microscopy [TEM]), x-ray diffraction (XRD), x-ray fluorescence (XRF), and electron microprobe analysis. Other techniques, such as infra-red and Raman spectroscopy and surface area measurements, can also be used. Some of these techniques can also be applied to individual airborne particles. If it is determined that the toxicity of EMPs has a basis in properties that can be measured by one or more of these techniques, then it may be possible to tailor analytical procedures in the future to more precisely estimate risk.

Care should be taken in developing or applying new analytical methods to the analysis of asbestos for standardized and compliance assessments. The use of new or different analytical methods to assess exposures must be carefully evaluated and validated to ensure that they measure exposures covered by the health protection standard. The sampling and analytical methods for assessing *workplace* exposures to EMPs have different constraints from methods used to assess *environmental* exposures. NIOSH is focused on developing and validating methods for assessing workplace exposures to EMPs and provides assistance in developing environmental exposure methods, where possible, and appropriate through its relationships with other federal agencies.

2.8.1 NIOSH Sampling and Analytical Methods for Standardized Industrial Hygiene Surveys

The analytical components of NIOSH's REL for asbestos exposure take on substantial significance because the current REL was set on the basis of the limit of quantification (LOQ) of the PCM method using a 400-L sample, rather than solely on estimates of the health risk. Had a lower LOQ been possible, a lower REL may have been proposed to further reduce the risk of occupational cancer among asbestos-exposed workers. With the change from an 8-hour TWA to a 100-minute TWA, and advances in sampling pump capabilities, using sampling pumps at the16 L/min maximum flow rate of the method for 100 minutes provides a 1600-L sample, which would allow quantitation of about 0.04 f/cm^3, provided there is no excessive interference from other dust.

PCM was designated as the principal analytical method for applying the REL because it was thought to be the most practical and reliable available method, particularly for field assessments. The particle counting rules specified for PCM analysis of air samples result in an index of exposure which has been used with human health data for risk assessment. PCM-based counts do not enumerate all EMPs because very thin particles, such as asbestos fibrils, are typically not visible by PCM when using NIOSH Method 7400. The ratio of countable EPs to the total number of EPs collected on air samples can therefore

vary for samples collected within the same workplace, as well as between different workplaces where the same or different asbestos materials are handled [Dement and Wallingford 1990]. The result of this is that equivalent PCM asbestos exposure concentrations determined at different work places would be considered to pose the same health risk, when, in fact, those risks may be different due to unknown amounts of unobserved fibers on the samples. It is commonly stated that particles thinner than about 0.2–0.25 μm typically cannot be observed with PCM because they are below the resolution limits of the microscope. However, the results for PCM counts may also vary depending on the index of refraction of the object being examined. When the index of refraction of the particle is similar to that of the filter substrate or mounting medium, the ability to resolve particles is less than when the refractive index of the particle differs from that of the substrate [Kenny and Rood 1987]. When a microscope is calibrated appropriately for NIOSH Method 7400, and triacetin is used as the mounting medium, calculation and experiment have indicated that chrysotile fibers as thin as 0.15 μm can be resolved [Rooker et al. 1982], which implies that amphibole fibers thinner than 0.2 μm and with higher refractive index may actually be visible and potentially counted.

Individual asbestos fibrils range in width from <10 nm (0.01 μm) for chrysotile up to 40 nm (0.04 μm) or more for amosite. Thus, individual asbestos fibrils are not likely to be visible under PCM. However, asbestos particles of 3:1 aspect ratio and longer than 5 μm are not usually individual fibrils, but fibrillar bundles that are much wider than fibrils [Hwang and Gibbs 1981; further data cited in Walton 1982], so that the number of particles meeting these criteria counted under PCM has not generally been found to differ greatly from the number of particles meeting the same criteria counted under the electron microscope [Lynch et al. 1970; Hwang and Gibbs 1981; Marconi et al. 1984; Dement and Wallingford 1990]. Also, silicate mineral particles thinner than the resolution of PCM in NIOSH Method 7400 are in the same size range as the deposition minimum observed for small particles in human respirable particle studies. Current standards for assessing particle dose are based on particle penetration into the human respiratory system which may overestimate deposition [ISO 1995a]. More recently, proposals have been developed to account for deposition [Vincent 2005]. In addition, a single large bundle may be the source of a great many fibrils in the lung because the larger fibrillar bundles are known to split apart into individual fibrils in the lung. For these reasons, asbestos particles visible by PCM may contribute more to risk than those that are not visible, lending credibility to PCM counts as an index of risk.

Another aspect of NIOSH Method 7400 is that two sets of counting rules are specified depending on the type of fiber analysis. The rules for counting particles for asbestos determination, referred to as the "A" rules, instruct the microscopist to count EPs of any width that are longer than 5 μm and have an aspect ratio of at least 3:1. However, EPs wider than 3 μm are not likely to reach the thoracic region of the lung when inhaled. The "B" counting rules, which are used to evaluate airborne exposure to other EPs, specify that only EPs thinner than 3 μm and longer than 5 μm should be counted [NIOSH 1994a]. The European Union is moving toward a standardized PCM method for

evaluating asbestos exposures using counting rules recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), which specify counting only EPs thinner than 3 μm and with a 3:1 or larger aspect ratio [WHO 1997; European Parliament and Council 2003].

2.8.2 Analytical Methods for Research

For research purposes, it may be important for a more expansive set of analyses to be considered. However, EMPs thinner than the limit of spatial resolution of the optical microscope are thought to be important etiologic agents for disease, so other detection and measurement methods may be needed for improved investigations of the relationship between fiber dimension and disease outcomes.

TEM has much greater resolving power than optical microscopy, on the order of 0.001 μm. Additionally, TEM has the ability to semi-quantitatively determine elemental composition by using EDS. Incident electrons excite electronic states of atoms of the sample, and the atoms decay that excess energy either by emitting an X-ray of frequency specific to the element (X-ray spectroscopy) or by releasing a secondary electron with equivalent kinetic energy (an Auger electron). Furthermore, TEM can provide some level of electron diffraction (ED) analysis of particle mineralogy by producing a mineral-specific diffraction pattern based on the regular arrangement of the particle's crystal structure [Egerton 2005].

The greater spatial resolving power and the crystallographic analysis abilities of TEM and TEM-ED are used in some cases for standardized workplace industrial hygiene characterizations. TEM methods (e.g., NIOSH 7402) are used to complement PCM in cases where there is apparent ambiguity in EMP identification [NIOSH 1994b] and, under the Asbestos Hazardous Emergency Response Act of 1986, the EPA requires that TEM analysis be used to ensure the effective removal of asbestos from schools [EPA 1987]. Each of these methods employs specific criteria for defining and counting visualized fibers and report different fiber counts for a given sample. These data subsequently can be independently interpreted according to different definitional criteria, such as those developed by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), which provides methods ISO 10312 and ISO 13794 [ISO 1995b, 1999].

Improved analytical methods that have become widely available should be re-evaluated for complementary research applications or for ease of applicability to field samples. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is now generally available in research labs and commercial analytical service labs. SEM resolution is on the order of ten times that of optical microscopy, and newly commercial field emission SEM (FESEM) can improve this resolution to about 0.01 μm or better, near that of TEM. SEM-EDS and SEM-wavelength dispersive spectrometers (WDS) can identify the elemental composition of particles. It is not clear that SEM-backscatter electron diffraction analysis can be adapted to crystallographic analyses equivalent to TEM-ED capability. Ease of sample collection and preparation for SEM analysis compared to TEM, and some SEM advantages in

visualizing fields of EMPs and EMP morphology, suggest that SEM methods should be re-evaluated for EMP analyses both for field sample analyses and for research [Goldstein 2003].

Research on mechanisms of EMP toxicity includes concerns for surface-associated factors. To support this research, elemental surface analyses can be performed by scanning Auger spectroscopy on individual particles with widths near the upper end of SEM resolution. In scanning Auger spectroscopy, the Auger electrons stimulated by an incident electron beam are detected; the energy of these secondary electrons is low, which permits only secondary electrons from near-surface atoms to escape and be analyzed, thus analyzing the particle elemental composition to a depth of only one or a few atomic layers [Egerton 2005]. This method has been used in some pertinent research studies (e.g., assessing effects on toxicity of leaching Mg from chrysotile fiber surfaces) [Keane et al. 1999]. Currently, this form of analysis is time-consuming and not ideal for the routine analysis of samples collected from field studies.

Surface elemental composition and limited valence state information on surface-borne elements can be obtained by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS or ESCA), albeit not for individual particles. XPS uses X-ray excitation of the sample, rather than electron excitation as used in SEM-EDS or TEM-EDS. The X-rays excite sample atom electrons to higher energy states, which then can decay by emission of photoelectrons. XPS detects these element-specific photoelectron energies, which are weak and therefore emitted only near the sample surface, similar to the case of Auger electron surface spectroscopy. In contrast to scanning Auger spectroscopy, XPS can in some cases provide not only elemental but also valence state information on atoms near the sample surface. However, in XPS the exciting X-rays cannot be finely focused on individual fibers, so analysis is made of an area larger than single particle [Watts and Wolstenholme 2003]. Thus, analysis of a mixed-composition dust sample would be confounded, so XPS is applicable only to some selected or prepared homogeneous materials or to pure field samples.

2.8.3 Differential Counting and Other Proposed Analytical Approaches for Differentiating EMPs

When used to assess asbestos fiber counts in mixed exposures, the use of PCM to determine concentrations of airborne fibers from asbestos minerals cannot ensure that EMPs from nonasbestiform minerals are excluded. Reliable and reproducible analytical methods are not available for air samples to distinguish between asbestos fibers and EMPs from nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals. The lack of reliable and validated analytical methods that can make these distinctions on individual fibers in air samples is clearly a major limitation in applying the airborne asbestos fiber definitions of federal agencies.

A technique referred to as "differential counting," suggested as an approach to differentiate between asbestiform and nonasbestiform EMPs, is mentioned in a non-mandatory appendix to the OSHA asbestos standard. That appendix points out that the differential counting technique requires "a great deal of experience" and is "discouraged unless legally necessary." It relies heavily on subjective judgment and does not appear to be commonly used except for samples from mines. In this technique, EMPs that the microscopist judges as nonasbestiform (e.g., having the appearance of cleavage fragments) are not counted; any EMPs not clearly distinguishable as either asbestos or nonasbestos using differential counting are to be counted as asbestos fibers. One effect of using differential counting is to introduce an additional source of variability in the particle counts caused by different "reading" tendencies between microscopists. The technique has not been formally validated and has not been recommended by NIOSH.

For counting airborne asbestos fibers in mines and quarries, ASTM has proposed "discriminatory counting" that incorporates the concepts of differential counting. The proposed method uses PCM and TEM in a tiered scheme. Air samples are first analyzed by PCM. If the initial PCM fiber count exceeds the MSHA permissible exposure limit (PEL), TEM is performed to determine an equivalent PCM count of regulated asbestos fibers only. If the initial PCM count is greater than one-half the PEL but less than the PEL, discriminatory counting is then performed. Discriminatory counts are restricted to fiber bundles, fibers longer than 10 μm, and fibers thinner than 1.0 μm. If the discriminatory count is at least 50% of the initial PCM fiber count, TEM is performed to determine an equivalent PCM count of regulated asbestos fibers only. These results are then compared to regulatory limits [ASTM 2006].

ASTM has begun an interlaboratory study (ILS#282) to determine the interlaboratory precision of "binning" fibers into different classes based on morphology [Harper et al. 2007]. The first part of the validation process was to evaluate samples of ground massive or coarsely crystalline amphiboles and air samples from a taconite mine which have amphibole particulates, where the majority are characterized as cleavage fragments. Almost none of the observed particles met the Class 1 criteria (i.e., potentially asbestiform based on curved particles and/or fibril bundles). Many particles were classified as Class 2 (i.e., potentially asbestiform based on length >10 μm or width <1 μm), although their morphology suggested they were more likely cleavage fragments. Using alternative criteria for Class 2 (length >10 μm and width <1 μm), the number of Class 2 particles was greatly reduced. However, evidence from the literature [Dement et al. 1976; Griffis et al. 1983; Wylie et al. 1985; Siegrist and Wylie 1980; Beckett and Jarvis 1979; Myojo 1999] indicates that as much as 50% of airborne asbestos fibers are <10 μm long. The proportion of asbestos fibers in the length "bin" bracketed by 5 μm and 10 μm was also quite large (about 30%), and the adoption of the alternate Class 2 criteria as length >10 μm and width <1 μm would cause this proportion of asbestos fibers to be classified as nonasbestiform and excluded from counts of asbestos fibers [Harper et al. 2008b].

Other procedures have been suggested with the intent of ensuring that the counts on air samples do not include cleavage fragments [IMA-NA 2005; NSSGA 2005]. These procedures include reviewing available geological information and/or results from analysis of bulk materials to establish that asbestos is present in the sampled environment, or specifying dimensional criteria to establish that airborne particulates have population characteristics typical of asbestos fibers (e.g., mean particle aspect ratios exceeding 20:1).

For research purposes, it is critically important that an analytical method that is able to clearly distinguish between asbestiform and nonasbestiform EMPs be developed, validated, and used. Whether any of these suggested procedures would ensure adequate health protection for exposed workers is unclear, and the practical issues associated with implementing these supplemental procedures are also undetermined.

2.9 NIOSH's 1990 Recommendation for Occupational Exposure to Asbestos

The NIOSH REL for asbestos has been described in NIOSH publications and in formal comments and testimony submitted to the Department of Labor. The recommendation was based on the Institute's understanding in 1990 of potential hazards, the ability of the analytical methods to distinguish and count fibers, and the prevailing mineral definitions used to describe covered minerals.

2.9.1 Comments to OSHA [NIOSH 1990a]

> *The NIOSH definition of minerals to be included in the regulatory standard for asbestos is as follows:*
>
> *Asbestos is defined as chrysotile, crocidolite, amosite (cummingtonite-grunerite), anthophyllite, tremolite, and actinolite. The nonasbestiform habits of the serpentine minerals antigorite and lizardite, and the amphibole minerals contained in the series cummingtonite-grunerite, tremolite-ferroactinolite, and glaucophane-riebeckite shall also be included provided they meet the criteria for a fiber as ascertained on a microscopic level. A fiber is defined as a particle with an aspect ratio of 3:1 or larger and having a length >5 μm.*
>
> *The determinations of airborne fiber concentrations are made microscopically and can be determined using NIOSH Method 7400 [PCM], or its equivalent. In those cases when asbestos and other mineral fibers occur in the same environment, then Method 7400 can be supplemented by the use of NIOSH Method 7402 [TEM], or its equivalent, to improve specificity of the mineral determination.*

2.9.2 Testimony at OSHA Public Meeting [NIOSH 1990b]

NIOSH has attempted to incorporate the appropriate mineralogical nomenclature in its recommended standard for asbestos and recommends the following to be adopted for regulating exposures to asbestos:

The current NIOSH asbestos recommended exposure limit is 100,000 fibers greater than 5 micrometers in length per cubic meter of air, as determined in a sample collected over any 100-minute period at a flow rate of 4L/min. This airborne fiber count can be determined using NIOSH Method 7400, or equivalent. In those cases when mixed fiber types occur in the same environment, then Method 7400 can be supplemented with electron microscopy, using electron diffraction and microchemical analyses to improve specificity of the fiber determination. NIOSH Method 7402 ... provides a qualitative technique for assisting in the asbestos fiber determinations. Using these NIOSH microscopic methods, or equivalent, airborne asbestos fibers are defined, by reference, as those particles having (1) an aspect ratio of 3 to 1 or greater; and (2) the mineralogical characteristics (that is, the crystal structure and elemental composition) of the asbestos minerals and their nonasbestiform analogs. The asbestos minerals are defined as chrysotile, crocidolite, amosite (cummingtonite-grunerite), anthophyllite, tremolite, and actinolite. In addition, airborne cleavage fragments[3] from the nonasbestiform habits of the serpentine minerals antigorite and lizardite, and the amphibole minerals contained in the series cummingtonite-grunerite, tremolite-ferroactinolite, and glaucophane-riebeckite shall also be counted as fibers provided they meet the criteria for a fiber when viewed microscopically.

2.9.3 Clarification of the NIOSH Recommended Exposure Limit

As described in the preceding sections, uncertainty remains concerning the adverse health effects that may be caused by nonasbestiform EMPs encompassed by NIOSH since 1990 in the REL for asbestos. In addition, current analytical methods still cannot reliably differentiate between fibers from the asbestos minerals and other EMPs in mixed-dust environments. NIOSH recognizes that its descriptions of the REL since 1990 have created confusion and caused many to infer that the additional covered minerals were included by NIOSH in its definition of "asbestos." NIOSH wishes to make clear that such nonasbestiform minerals are not "asbestos" or "asbestos minerals." NIOSH also

[3] NIOSH intended the term "cleavage fragment" to include all elongate particles from the nonasbestiform habits of the specified serpentine minerals and amphibole minerals. This includes more particle types, such as acicular and prismatic crystals, than the more restrictive meaning of "cleavage fragments" used by mineralogists.

wishes to minimize any potential future confusion by no longer referring to particles from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals as "asbestos fibers." However, as the following clarified REL makes clear, particles that meet the specified dimensional criteria remain countable under the REL for the reasons stated above, even if they are derived from the nonasbestiform analogs of the asbestos minerals.

Using terms defined in this *Roadmap*, the NIOSH REL is now clarified as follows:

The **NIOSH REL** for airborne asbestos fibers and related elongate mineral particles (EMPs) is 0.1 countable EMPs from one or more covered minerals per cubic centimeter averaged over 100 minutes, where:

- a *countable elongate mineral particle (EMP)* is any fiber or fragment of a mineral longer than 5 µm with a minimum aspect ratio of 3:1 when viewed microscopically using NIOSH Analytical Method #7400 ('A' rules) or its equivalent; and
- a *covered mineral* is any mineral having the crystal structure and elemental composition of: one of the asbestos varieties (chrysotile, riebeckite asbestos [crocidolite], cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos [amosite], anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite asbestos, and actinolite asbestos) or one of their nonasbestiform analogs (the serpentine minerals antigorite and lizardite, and the amphibole minerals contained in the cummingtonite-grunerite mineral series, the tremolite-ferroactinolite mineral series, and the glaucophane-riebeckite mineral series).

This clarification of the NIOSH REL for airborne asbestos fibers and related EMPs results in *no change* in counts made as defined by NIOSH Method 7400 ('A' rules). However, it clarifies definitionally that EMPs included in the count are not necessarily asbestos fibers

The existing NIOSH REL established in 1990 remains subject to change based on research findings that shed light on the toxicity of nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs covered by the REL and on the toxicity of other EMPs outside the range of those minerals currently covered by the REL. In addition, due to changes by the IMA in 1978 [Meeker et al. 2003] in how minerals (e.g., amphiboles) are to be identified and classified (optical microscopy to chemistry-based), a more extensive clarification of specific minerals covered by the NIOSH REL may be warranted. That more extensive clarification of covered minerals is beyond the scope of this *Roadmap*, but will be addressed through additional efforts by NIOSH to encompass contemporary mineralogical terminology within the REL.

2.10 Summary of Key Issues

For fibers from the asbestos minerals, an important question that remains unanswered is "What are the important dimensional and physicochemical determinants of

pathogenicity?" Evidence from epidemiological and animal studies indicates that the risk for asbestosis and lung cancer decreases with decreasing exposure concentrations and that the potency of asbestos is reduced as the fiber length decreases. However, the results from lung burden studies indicate the presence of short asbestos fibers at disease sites, and positive correlations between lung cancer and exposure to short asbestos fibers make it difficult to rule out a role for short asbestos fibers in causing disease. The ATSDR looked at the short fiber issue in depth with some of the most knowledgeable experts in the field of asbestos disease and found that fibers shorter than 5 microns did not contribute to cancer but may be important to asbestosis.

Understanding the determinants of toxicity of EMPs from varieties of asbestos minerals and of erionite, a fibrous zeolite, as well as of non-elongate mineral particles such as quartz, may help to elucidate some of these issues. The results of human, animal, and *in vitro* studies performed to date on a limited number of nonasbestiform EMPs are not sufficient to conclude that exposures to EMPs from this large and highly variable group of minerals are not capable of causing substantial adverse health outcomes. Additional data are needed to develop risk assessments. What data is needed? There is a general lack of occupational exposure data on nonasbestiform EMPs, making it difficult to assess the range of particle characteristics, including dimension, in occupational settings with exposures to nonasbestiform EMPs. The few studies that have assessed biopersistence or durability suggest that nonasbestiform EMPs are not as biopersistent as asbestiform fibers of the same dimension, but more information is needed to systematically assess the ranges and importance of biopersistence in determining toxicity. Any assessment of risk needs to address the influence of dimension, so studies that systematically compare effects of asbestiform and nonasbestiform particles of similar dimensions (as commented on before, asbestos and non-asbestos will not have a similar dimension) from the same mineral (e.g., crocidolite and nonasbestiform riebeckite) are needed for a variety of mineral types.

An important need is to identify and develop methods of analysis that can be used or modified to assess occupational exposures to EMPs and that are capable of differentiating EMPs based on particle characteristics demonstrated to be important in causing disease. The current PCM method is inadequate for assessing exposures to fibers in mixed-dust environments which are likely to predominate for the foreseeable future, and it lacks the capability to measure the important physical and chemical parameters of fibers thought to be associated with toxicity. For routine use in assessing compliance with regulations, the limited availability, high relative cost, and long turnaround times associated with EM methods will need to be addressed to provide an alternative to the PCM method. Until these issues are addressed, improvements in PCM methodologies should be pursued. In epidemiological and toxicological research, EM methods will need to be used to carefully characterize the exposure materials. Also, the results of toxicological and epidemiological studies may identify additional determinants of particle toxicity that warrant evaluation to determine whether they can be incorporated into sampling and analytical methods used to assess the health risks of exposure to EMPs.

Section 3 of this *Roadmap* presents a framework for proposed research intended to address these scientific issues and inform future public health policies and practices.

3 FRAMEWORK FOR RESEARCH

3.1 Strategic Research Goals and Objectives

Strategic goals and objectives for a multi-disciplinary research program on mineral fibers and other EMPs are identified below. Shown in brackets following each goal and objective is the number of the section of this *Roadmap* in which the goal or objective is subsequently discussed.

I. Develop a broader understanding of the important determinants of toxicity for asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.2].

- Conduct *in vitro* studies to ascertain what physical, chemical, surface properties, and other particle characteristics influence the toxicity of asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.2.1]; and
- Conduct animal studies to ascertain what physical and chemical properties, surface properties, and other particle characteristics influence the toxicity of asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.2.2].

II. Develop information and knowledge on occupational exposures to asbestos fibers and other EMPs and related health outcomes [3.3].

- Assess available occupational exposure information relating to various types of asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.3.1];
- Collect and analyze available information on health outcomes associated with exposures to various types of asbestos fibers and other EMPs[3.3.2];
- Analyze archived air samples, lung tissue samples and relevant bulk materials related to published epidemiological or case studies that demonstrate asbestos-related disease so that the nature (dimension, mineralogical and physico-chemical properties) of the exposures can be reconstructed to help ascertain the common properties of the fiber exposures.
- Conduct selective epidemiologic studies of workers exposed to various types of asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.3.3]; and
- Improve clinical tools and practices for screening, diagnosis, treatment, and secondary prevention of diseases caused by asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.3.4].

Formatted: Font: 9 pt

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

III. Develop improved sampling and analytical methods for asbestos fibers and other EMPs [3.4].

- Reduce inter-operator and inter-laboratory variability of the current analytical methods used for asbestos fibers [3.4.1];
- Develop analytical methods with improved sensitivity to visualize thinner EMPs to ensure a more complete evaluation of airborne exposures [3.4.2];
- Develop a practical analytical method for air samples to differentiate between exposures to asbestiform fibers from the asbestos minerals and exposures to EMPs from their nonasbestiform analogs [3.4.3];
- Develop analytical methods to assess durability of EMPs [3.4.4]; and
- Develop and validate size-selective sampling methods for EMPs [3.4.5].

Within each of the goals and objectives laid out in this framework, a more detailed research program will have to be developed. Research conducted to support these three research goals must be planned and conducted using an interdisciplinary approach between the toxicological, epidemiological, exposure assessment, medical, analytical, and mineralogical disciplines. The research must also be integrated to optimize resources, facilitate the simultaneous collection of data, and ensure, to the extent feasible, that the research builds toward a resolution of the key issues. An aim of the research is to acquire a level of mechanistic understanding that can provide the basis for developing biologically-based models for extrapolating results of animal inhalation and other types of *in vivo* studies to exposure conditions typically encountered in the workplace. The information gained from such research can then be used by regulatory agencies and occupational health professionals to implement appropriate exposure limits and risk management programs for monitoring worker exposure and health. Much of this research may be accomplished by NIOSH, other federal agencies, or other stakeholders. Any individual research project undertaken should be designed to ensure that the results can be interpreted and applied within the context of other studies in the overall program and lead to outcomes useful for decision-making and policy-setting.

3.3 National Reference Repository of Minerals and Information System

To support the needed research, a national reference repository of samples of asbestos and related minerals will be required, and a database of relevant information should be developed. Minerals vary in composition and morphology by location and origin, and differences within the same mineral type can be significant. Currently, no national repository exists to retain, document, and distribute samples of asbestiform and nonasbestiform reference minerals for research and testing. These reference samples should be well-characterized research-grade materials that are made available to the research community so they can be used for testing and standardization. This will allow minerals to be chosen for study in such a way as to match properties (e.g., morphology, dimension). To accomplish this research, exhaustive characterization of the samples including contaminants is necessary. Detailed characterizations of particles that may affect biological activities (e.g., surface composition, durability, morphology, and surface properties) are needed. The use of these samples in research would facilitate meaningful comparisons and reduce uncertainties in the interpretation of results between and among studies.

The characterization of minerals should include, among other properties: (1) purity of the mineral; (2) particle morphology (range of dimensions and sizes); (3) surface area; (4) surface chemistry; and (5) surface reactivity. The particle characteristics identified by Hochella [1993] should be considered for particle characterization. Care must be taken to ensure that a sufficient amount of the studied material is available, not only for current studies, but also as reference material for possible future studies. The repository needs to be able to accept and store samples from researchers conducting EMP studies so that future and perhaps more comprehensive mineral characterization may be performed. The information developed from all of these efforts should be entered into a database which can serve as a tool for selection of minerals for testing and validation of toxicological tests, as well as to assist in identification of worker populations for possible epidemiological studies.

The development of a comprehensive, publicly available information system incorporating all studies of the toxicity, exposures, and health effects of asbestos and related minerals could help enhance the development of the research programs, avoid duplication of effort, and enhance interpretation of the information generated. The information system should include all pertinent information about the methods, doses or exposures, mineral information, particle characteristics, and other information deemed pertinent.

3.4 Develop a Broader Understanding of the Important Determinants of Toxicity for Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

To address this objective, one of the first steps will be to identify the range of minerals and mineral habits needed to systematically address the mineral characteristics that may determine particle toxicity. Care must be taken to ensure that mineralogical issues in a study are adequately addressed. Information on both crystalline lattice structure and

composition are needed to define a mineral species because information on either alone is insufficient to describe the properties of a mineral. For example, nonasbestiform riebeckite and asbestiform riebeckite (crocidolite) share the same elemental composition but have different crystalline lattices. EMPs from nonasbestiform riebeckite are not flexible. Crocidolite fibers generally have chain-width defects, which explain the flexibility of crocidolite fibers. These chain-width defects also affect diffusion of cations and dissolution properties, both of which can explain greater release of iron into surrounding fluid by crocidolite than by nonasbestiform riebeckite [Guthrie 1997].

In addition to elemental content and crystalline lattice, the particle characteristics identified by Hochella [1993] should be considered for particle characterization. For example, the current paradigm for fiber pathogenicity does not discriminate between different compositions of biopersistent fibers, except insofar as composition determines biopersistence. There are instances of two biopersistent fiber types, erionite [Wagner et al. 1985] and silicon carbide [Davis et al. 1996], that show a special proclivity to cause mesothelioma for reasons that are not easily explained by the current paradigm because biopersistence and distributions of fiber lengths are not substantially different than the amphiboles. The biochemical basis of the enhanced pathogenicity of these two fiber types has not been elucidated. This suggests that some fiber types may possess surface or chemical reactivity that imparts added pathogenicity over and above what would be anticipated for long biopersistent fibers. Because of the many variations in elemental composition, crystalline structure, and other characteristics of these minerals, it will be impossible to study all variants. Therefore, a strategy will need to be developed for selecting minerals for testing. Included in this strategy should be consideration of occupationally relevant minerals and habits, availability of appropriate and well-characterized specimens for testing, and practical relevance of the results to be achieved through testing. Most important in this endeavour is the comprehensive characterization of asbestiform minerals known to cause disease in humans either as reported in published epidemiological studies or from case studies. Finding common demoninators with respect to fiber characteristics among the studies that have shown to cause disease will help establish priorities for research on EMPs that have unknown toxicities. Just as important would be understanding the common characteristics of EMPs that have not been shown to cause disease.

EPA's Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, NIEHS, NIOSH, and OSHA assembled an expert panel a decade ago to consider major issues in animal model chronic inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity testing of thoracic-size elongate particles. Issues considered included: the design of chronic inhalation exposure of animals to EMPs; preliminary studies to guide them; parallel mechanistic studies to help interpret study results and to extrapolate findings to potential for human health effects; and available screening tests for identifying and assigning a priority for chronic inhalation study. There was general agreement that: (1) chronic inhalation studies of EMPs in the rat are the most appropriate tests for predicting inhalation hazard and risk of EMPs to humans; (2) no single assay and battery of short-term assays could predict the outcome of a chronic inhalation bioassay

for carcinogenicity; and (3) several short-term *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies may be useful to assess the relative potential of various EMPs to cause lung toxicity or carcinogenicity [Vu et al. 1996].

Such short-term assays and strategies were considered by an expert working group assembled by the International Life Sciences Institute's Risk Science Institute to arrive at a consensus on current short-term assays useful for screening EMPs for potential toxicity and carcinogenicity [ILSI 2005]. Dose, dimension, durability, and possibly surface reactivities were identified as critical parameters for study, while it was noted that no single physicochemical property or mechanism can now be used to predict carcinogenicity of all EMPs. The strategy for short-term (i.e., 3 months or less) testing in animal models included: sample preparation and characterization (composition, crystallinity, habit, size-distribution); testing for biopersistence *in vivo* using a standard protocol such as that of the European Union [European Commission 1999]; and a sub-chronic inhalation or instillation challenge of the rat with evaluation of lung weight and fiber burden, bronchoalveolar lavage profile, cell proliferation, fibrosis, and histopathology. Additionally, other non-routine analyses for particle surface area and surface reactivities and short-term *in vitro* cellular toxicological assays might be evaluated. The use of *in vitro* tests should be tempered by the observations that standard protocols fail to distinguish relative pathogenic potentials of even non-elongate silicates (i.e., quartz versus clay dusts) and that treatment of particle surfaces (i.e., modeling their conditioning upon deposition on the lipoprotein-rich aqueous hypophase surface of the deep lung) can greatly affect their expression of toxicities [ATSDR 2003].

EMPs encountered in any particular work environment are frequently heterogeneous, which limits the ability of epidemiological and other types of health assessment studies to evaluate the influence of EMP dimensions (length and width), chemical composition, biopersistence, and other characteristics on toxicity. Toxicological testing is needed to address some of the fundamental questions about EMP toxicity that cannot be determined through epidemiology or other types of health assessment studies. Irrespective of study type or design, the full characterization of all particulate material in a test sample is an essential step in understanding the mechanisms of EMP toxicity. The determination of EMP dimensions is important and best expressed as bivariate size distributions (i.e., width and length). Such determinations should be made using both relatively simple procedures (optical microscopy) and highly specialized techniques (e.g., TEM or SEM with EDS) because size-specific fractions of EMP exposures have both biological and regulatory significance.

The chemical composition (e.g., intrinsic chemical constituents and surface chemistry) of mineral fibers and other EMPs has been shown to have a direct effect on their ability to persist in the lung and to interact with surrounding tissue to cause DNA damage. For example, ferric and ferrous cations are major components of the crystalline lattice of amphibole asbestos fibers; iron may also be present as surface impurities on chrysotile asbestos fibers and other EMPs. The availability of iron at the surface of asbestos fibers

and other EMPs has been shown to be a critical parameter in catalyzing the generation of ROS which may indirectly cause genetic damage [Kane 1996]. NIOSH's second bullet (pg 21) for its policy on cleavage fragments explicitly states that chemical composition is not a critical factor in determining a mineral particle's carcinogenic potential. This discussion contradicts that argument. Also, attempted clearance of long asbestos fibers from the lung causes frustrated phagocytosis, which stimulates the release of ROS [Mossman and Marsh 1989]. Individual adaptive responses to oxidant stress and the body's ability to repair damaged DNA are dependent on multiple exogenous and endogenous factors, but few experiments have been attempted to evaluate these variables in animal or human model systems. Kane [1996] has suggested that the mechanisms responsible for the genotoxic effects of asbestos fibers are due to indirect DNA damage mediated by free radicals and to direct physical interference with the mitotic apparatus by the fibers themselves. Research to address the following questions would assist in validating these proposed mechanisms:

- Are *in vitro* genotoxicity assays relevant to carcinogenesis of asbestos fibers and other EMPs?
- Are *in vitro* doses relevant for *in vivo* exposures?
- Can genotoxic effects of asbestos fibers and other EMPs be assessed *in vivo*?

Macrophages are the initial target cells of EMPs and other particulates deposited in the lungs or pleural and peritoneal spaces. Phagocytosis of asbestos fibers has been shown to be accompanied by the activation of macrophages, which results in the generation of ROS as well as a variety of chemical mediators and cytokines [Kane 1996]. These mediators amplify the local inflammatory reaction. Persistence of asbestos fibers in the lung interstitium or in the sub-pleural connective tissue may lead to a sustained chronic inflammatory reaction accompanied by fibrosis [Oberdorster 1994]. The unregulated or persistent release of these inflammatory mediators may lead to tissue injury, scarring by fibrosis, and proliferation of epithelial and mesenchymal cells. In the lungs and pleural linings, chronic inflammation and fibrosis are common reactions following exposure to asbestos fibers, but research is needed to understand the relationship between inflammation, fibrosis, and cancer including the effects of fiber dimension and fiber loading on the development of these disease endpoints.

It has been suggested that asbestos fibers and other EMPs may contribute to carcinogenesis by multiple mechanisms and that EMPs may act at multiple stages in neoplastic development depending on their physicochemical composition, surface reactivity, and biopersistence in the lung [Barrett 1994]. Animal inhalation studies are needed to investigate the biopersistence and toxicity of asbestos fibers and other EMPs representing a range of chemical compositions and morphological characteristics (including crystalline habits) and representing a range of discrete lengths and widths. An additional factor which should be considered and evaluated is the influence of concurrent exposure to other particles and contaminants on the biopersistence and toxicity of EMPs. In a recently reported short-term (5-day) animal inhalation study to evaluate the

biopersistence of chrysotile fibers with and without concurrent exposure to joint compound particles (1–4 μm MMAD), the clearance half-time of all fiber sizes was approximately an order of magnitude less for the group exposed to chrysotile and joint-compound particles [Bernstein et al. 2008]. Based on histopathological examination, the combination of chrysotile and fine particles accelerated the recruitment of alveolar macrophages, resulting in a ten-fold decrease in the number of fibers remaining in the lung. Although no mention was made of any pathological changes in the lungs of the chrysotile/particulate exposed group, other studies have shown that the recruitment of macrophages then increases the production and recruitment of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, which themselves can generate ROS [Driscoll et al. 2002; Donaldson and Tran 2002].

Much research has been focused on lung cancer and mesothelioma. Even if it is determined that EMPs from some minerals have low potency for causing cancer, additional studies may be needed to investigate their potential for causing inflammation, fibrosis, and other nonmalignant respiratory effects. Also, the relationship between EMP dimension and fibrosis should be more fully investigated. The results of such research may allow currently used standard exposure indices to be modified by specifying different dimensional criteria (lengths and widths) relevant to each of the disease outcomes associated with EMP exposures, and by determining whether biopersistence should be included as an additional criterion. However, this research may be dependent on the development of new aerosol technology that can generate mineral fibers and other EMPs of specific dimensions in sufficient quantities to conduct animal inhalation experiments. Consequently, the development of revised exposure indices based on EMP dimension may not be possible in the short term.

This research strategy described above should conform with the general strategies and tactics that have been recommended by several expert panels for clarifying the risks and causes of asbestos exposure-associated diseases, and with the current effort of the U.S. Federal Government Interagency Asbestos Working Group (IAWG), involving participation of the EPA, USGS, NIOSH, ATSDR, CPSC, OSHA, MSHA, and the NIEHS/NTP, to identify federal research needs and possible actions regarding asbestos fibers and other durable EMPs of public health concern [Vu et al. 1996; ILSI 2005; Schins 2002; Greim 2004; Mossman et al. 2007].

An ILSI Risk Science Institute Working Group supported by EPA published a tiered testing strategy for fibrous particles in 2005 [ILSI 2005]. Consideration should be given to the following slight modification of this published scheme. Noteworthy in the findings of the ILSI Working Group report is the inadequacy of *in vitro* test models to predict the *in vivo* toxicity of EMPs. Indeed, many man-made mineral fibers are positive in cell test systems but do not to cause fibrosis or cancer in chronic animal models. The *in vitro* test systems lack predictive ability because they do not incorporate biopersistence. For this

reason, *in vitro* tests, other than assays for durability, are not included in the tiered testing strategy given below.

Step 1. Preparation and characterization of test EMPs.

This is the initial, required step for any toxicological evaluation. It should include:

- full chemical and mineralogical characterization, including crystallinity and EMP habit.
- size distribution of the EMPs found in the workplace (total particulate sample), as well as dimensional characteristics of size-selected fraction(s) to be used for hazard evaluation. A limiting step for detailed toxicological evaluation is the availability of sufficient quantities of size-selected EMPs of known chemistry and mineralogy.

Step 2. Assessment of in vitro durability

Evidence indicates that highly soluble fibrous particles do not exhibit fibrotic or carcinogenic potential in animal studies. One should measure rate of dissolution in simulated body fluids using a dynamic flow-through system as outlined by Potter et al. [2000]. Briefly, EMPs are exposed by continuous flow to a modified Gamble's solution, and fiber diameter is monitored optically over time. Biopersistence would be an indication of concern and would indicate the need for further testing of the pathogenic potential of the EMP. This step is optional, as one could move directly to Step 3.

Step 3. Short-term in vivo biopersistence test

Biopersistence of fibers longer than 20 μm has been found to be an excellent predictor of collagen deposition in chronic inhalation studies [Bernstein et al. 2001]. Two alternative methods are accepted by the European Commission [1997] — intratracheal instillation or 5-day inhalation of rats. It is recommended that fiber burden be measured at time points up to 3 months post-exposure. Biopersistence would be an indication of concern and would indicate the need for further testing of the pathogenic potential of the EMP.

Step 4. Sub-chronic inhalation study

Parameters that should be measured in such an inhalation study are noted by EPA [2001]. The test should conduct inhalation exposure for 3 months and evaluate pulmonary responses over 6 months post-exposure. Responses to be measured should include: biopersistence, persistent inflammation, cell proliferation (bromodeoxyuradine [BrdU] assay), fibrosis, epithelial cell hyperplasia, lung weight, and fiber burden. Biopersistence and persistent inflammation are notable markers of concern. If the sub-chronic study is positive, a long-term inhalation study is necessary to conduct a full risk assessment.

Step 5. Long-term inhalation study

The test would include a 2-year inhalation study in rats with life-long follow up. Fibrosis, lung tumors, and mesothelioma should be measured following EPA guidelines [EPA 2001] for long-term inhalation studies of fibers. Lung burden, dose-related response, and time-course data would enable risk assessment.

Implicit in any new or revised occupational health policy for EMPs would be the need to conduct appropriate assessments of risk. Risk assessments for lung cancer, mesothelioma, and asbestosis have been conducted on worker populations exposed to various asbestos minerals. These risks have been qualitatively confirmed in animals, but no adequate quantitative multi-dose inhalation studies with asbestos have been conducted in rodents that would permit direct comparisons to lung cancer and mesothelioma risks determined from exposed human populations. Given the availability of risk estimates for lung cancer in asbestos-exposed humans, chronic studies with rats exposed to asbestos (e.g., chrysotile) fibers would provide an assessment of the rat as a valid "predictor" for human lung cancer risks associated with exposure to asbestos fibers and other EMPs.

3.4.1 Conduct In Vitro Studies to Ascertain the Physical and Chemical Properties that Influence the Toxicity of Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

Although *in vitro* studies may not be appropriate for toxicology screening testing of EMPs, they can help clarify the mechanisms by which some EMPs induce cancer, mesothelioma, or fibrosis, and the properties of EMPs and conditions of exposure that determine pathogenicity. *In vitro* studies allow specific biological and mechanistic pathways to be isolated and tested under controlled conditions which are not feasible in animal studies. *In vitro* studies can yield data rapidly and provide important insights and confirmations of the mechanism which can be confirmed with specifically designed *in vivo* studies.

With the exception of *in vitro* genotoxicity testing of asbestos fibers, little information is available on the potential genotoxicity of other EMPs. In contrast to standard genotoxicity testing of soluble substances, the results from testing EMPs can be influenced by dimension, surface properties, and biopersistence. The mechanisms of asbestos-induced genotoxicity are not clear, but direct interaction with the genetic material and indirect effects via production of ROS have been proposed. A combination of the micronucleus test and the comet assay using continuous treatment (without exogenous metabolic activation) has been reported to detect genotoxic activity of asbestos fibers [Speit 2002]. However, further research is needed to determine whether this approach is applicable for genotoxicity testing of other EMPs. Before conducting such studies, the following EMP interactions should be addressed:

- initial lesions evoking cell damage or response (e.g., direct or indirect cytotoxic or genotoxic events or induction of toxic reactive intermediate materials);

- subsequent multi-stage cellular response (e.g., intracellular signaling through a kinase cascade to nuclear transcription of factors for apoptosis, cell transformation, and cell or cell system proliferation or remodeling and initiation or promotion of neoplasia or fibrosis); and
- critical time-course events in those processes (e.g., cell-cycle-dependent EMP interactions or EMP durability under different phagocytic conditions).

Capabilities for conducting these studies have improved in the last decade through:

- advancement in analytical methods for physicochemical characterization of EMP properties (e.g., for resolving small dimensions and nanoscale surface properties); and
- ability to prepare EMP samples that are "monochromatic" in size or surface properties in quantities sufficient for well-controlled *in vitro* assays.

Identification of the initiating EMP-cell interactions calls for research on the mechanisms of:

- cell-free generation of toxic ROS by EMPs or EMP-induced cellular generation of toxic ROS; and
- direct membranolytic, cytotoxic, or genotoxic activities of the EMP surface in contact with cellular membranes or genetic material.

These investigations will require attention to the:

- effects of EMP surface composition (e.g., surface-borne iron species);
- effects of normal physiological conditioning of respired particles (e.g., *in vitro* modeling of *in vivo* initial conditioning of EMP surfaces by pulmonary surfactant);
- non-physiological conditioning of EMP under *in vitro* test conditions (e.g., by components of nutrient medium);
- cell type (e.g., phagocytic inflammatory cell, or phagocytic or non-phagocytic target cell); and
- EMP dimensions in relation to cell size (e.g., as a factor distinguishing total phagocytosis and partial "frustrated phagocytosis").

Cell generation of ROS is seen generally in phagocytic uptake of elongate or non-elongate particles (e.g., as a respiratory burst). In normal phagocytosis, there is a maturation of the phagosomal membrane with progress to a phagolysosomal structure for attempted lysosomal digestion. Anomalous behavior of this system may occur in frustrated phagocytosis of long EMPs. The "frustrated phagocytosis" hypothesis suggests that EMPs that are too long to permit full invagination may stimulate cells to generate ROS or anomalously release lytic factors into the extracellular annulus rather than into a closed intracellular phagosome.

EMP surfaces may be tested for direct membranolytic or cytotoxic activities which are dependent on surface composition or structure. As a guide, membranolytic or cytotoxic activities of non-elongate particulate silicates are dependent on surface-properties. Non-elongate particulate silicates also provide an example of failure of *in vitro* cytotoxicity to relate with pathogenicity (e.g., respirable particles of quartz or kaolin clay significantly differ in disease risk for fibrosis, but are comparably cytotoxic *in vitro* unless they are pre-conditioned with pulmonary surfactants and then subjected to phagolysosomal digestion). *In vitro* studies of direct versus indirect induction of genotoxic activities may consider factors affecting the bioavailability of the nuclear genetic material (e.g., the state of phagocytic activity of the cell or the stages in the cell cycle with collapse of the nuclear membrane in mitosis). These again suggest care in the preparation of EMPs and the manner of challenge with EMPs employed in *in vitro* experiments.

The two modes of primary damage, a release of reactive toxic agents induced by long particulates or a surface-based membranolytic or genotoxic mechanism, may be involved singly or jointly in primary cell responses to EMPs. These may be investigated by comparing the effects of different types of EMPs (e.g., relative potencies of erionite fibers and amphibole asbestos fibers in *in vitro* cell transformation studies are different than their potencies in *in vivo* induction of mesothelioma).

In the second phase of cellular response to EMPs, the central dogma of intracellular response is being intensively researched. The initial extracellular primary damage induces intracellular signaling (e.g., by MAPK) which causes a cascade of kinase activities that stimulate selective nuclear transcription of mRNAs leading to production of TNF-α or other cytokines for extracellular signaling of target cells. Those other cytokines may induce cell proliferation toward cancer or collagen synthesis toward fibrosis. Further definition of signaling mechanisms and analyses of their induction by different primary EMP-cellular interactions may better define the ultimate role of EMP properties in the overall process. That research, again, may be facilitated by using different specific types EMPs, each type with relatively homogeneous morphology and surface properties.

While full investigation of biopersistence of EMPs may require long-term animal model studies, *in vitro* systems coupled with advanced surface analytical tools (e.g., field emission scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy or scanning Auger spectroscopy) may help guide *in vivo* studies. This could be done by detailing specific surface properties of EMPs and their modifications under cell-free or *in vitro* conditions representing the local pH and reactive species at the EMP surface under conditions of extracellular, intra-phagolysosomal, or frustrated annular phagocytic environments.

3.4.2 Conduct Animal Studies to Ascertain the Physical and Chemical Properties that Influence the Toxicity of Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

A multi-species testing approach has been recommended for short-term assays [ILSI 2005] and chronic inhalation studies [EPA 2000] that would provide solid scientific evidence on which to base human risk assessments for a variety of EMPs. To date, the most substantial base of human health data for estimating lung cancer risk exists for workers exposed to fibers from different varieties of asbestos minerals.

Interspecies differences have been identified in the clearance of inhaled particles. Variations in deposition patterns and airway cell morphology and distribution account for significant deposition and clearance differences among species. In addition, the efficacy of pulmonary macrophage function differs among species. All these differences could affect particle clearance and retention. It has been suggested that the following species differences should be considered in the design of experimental animal inhalation studies of elongate particles [Dai and Yu 1988; Warheit et al. 1988; Warheit 1989]:

- Due to differences in airway structure, airway size, and ventilation parameters, a greater fraction of larger AED particles are deposited in humans than in rodents.
- Alveolar deposition fraction in humans varies with workload. An increase in the workload reduces the deposition fraction in the alveolar region because more of the inhaled particulate is deposited in the extra-thoracic and bronchial regions.
- Mouth breathing by humans results in a greater upper bronchial deposition and enhanced particle penetration to the peripheral lung.
- For both animals and humans, the deposition rate of particles is greatest in the AED range between 1 and 2 μm. Alveolar deposition of EPs decreases as their aspect ratio increases when their width remains constant.
- For rats and hamsters, alveolar deposition becomes practically zero when particle AED exceeds 3.0 μm and aspect ratio exceeds 10. In contrast, considerable alveolar deposition is found for humans breathing at rest, even for EPs with AEDs approaching 5 μm and aspect ratio exceeding 10.
- Rodents have smaller-diameter airways than humans, which increases the chance for particle deposition via contact with airway surfaces.
- Turbulent air flow, which enhances particle deposition via impaction, is common in human airways but rare in rodent airways.
- Variations in airway branching patterns may account for significant differences in deposition between humans and rodents. Human airways are characterized by symmetrical branching, wherein each bifurcation is located near the centerline of the parent airway. This symmetry favors deposition "hotspots" on carinal ridges at the bifurcations due to disrupted airstreams and local turbulence. Rodent airways are characterized by asymmetric branching, which results in a more diffuse deposition pattern because the bulk flow of inspired air follows the major airways with little change in velocity or direction.
- Alveolar clearance is slower in humans than in rats. Human dosimetry models predict that, at non-overloading exposure concentrations, a greater proportion of particles deposited in the alveolar region will be interstitialized and sequestered in humans than in rats.

An important consideration in the conduct and interpretation of animal studies is the selection of well characterized (with respect to chemical and physical parameters) and appropriately sized EMPs that take into account differences in deposition and clearance characteristics between rodents and humans. EMPs that are capable of being deposited in the bronchoalveolar region of humans cannot be completely evaluated in animal inhalation studies because the maximum thoracic size for particles in rodents is approximately 2 µm AED, which is less than the maximum thoracic size for humans of about 3 µm AED [Timbrell 1982; Su and Cheng 2005].

3.4.2.1 Short-Term Animal Studies

There are advantages to conducting short-term animal studies in rats. The information gained (e.g., regarding overload and maximum tolerated dose [MTD]) from these studies can be used in designing chronic inhalation studies [ILSI 2005]. The objectives of these studies would be to:

- Evaluate EMP deposition, translocation, and clearance mechanisms;
- Compare the biopersistence of EMPs retained in the lung with results from *in vitro* durability assays;
- Compare *in vivo* pulmonary responses to *in vitro* bioactivity for EMPs of different dimensions; and
- Compare cancer and noncancer toxicities of EMPs from asbestiform and nonasbestiform amphibole mineral varieties of varying shapes as well as within narrow ranges of length and width.

More fundamental studies should also be performed to:

- Identify biomarkers or tracer/imaging methods that could be used to predict or monitor active pulmonary inflammation, pulmonary fibrosis, and malignant transformation;
- Investigate mechanisms of EMP-induced pulmonary disease; and
- Determine whether cell proliferation in the lungs (terminal bronchioles and alveolar ducts) can be a predictive measure of pathogenicity following brief inhalation exposure using the BrdU assay [Cullen et al. 1997].

Exposure protocols for tracheal inhalation or instillation in an animal model for short-term *in vivo* studies using field-collected or laboratory-generated EMPs should address possible adulteration of EMP morphology (e.g., anomalous agglomeration of particles). This might be addressed in part by pre-conditioning EMPs in a delivery vehicle containing representative components of pulmonary hypophase fluids. Exposure protocols using pharyngeal aspiration as a delivery system should be considered given the observations in studies with single-walled carbon nanotubes that such a delivery system closely mimics animal inhalation studies [Shvedova et al. 2005, 2008].

Studies evaluating the roles of biopersistence and dimension in the development of non-cancer and cancer endpoints from exposure to EMPs are also needed. These studies should attempt to elucidate the physicochemical parameters that might affect bio-durability of EMPs of specific dimensions. While short-term animal inhalation studies would be informative, companion *in vitro* assays should also be conducted to assess their validity for screening EMPs.

3.4.2.2 Long-Term Animal Studies

Chronic animal inhalation studies are required to address the impacts of dimension, morphology, chemistry, and biopersistence on critical disease endpoints of cancer induction and nonmalignant respiratory disease. The EPA's proposed testing guidelines should be considered as the criteria for establishing the testing parameters for chronic studies [EPA 2001].

To date, chronic inhalation studies have been conducted with different animal species using different types of EPs. However, it remains uncertain which species of animal(s) best predict(s) the risk of respiratory disease(s) for workers exposed to different EPs. Chronic inhalation studies should be initiated to establish exposure/dose-response relationships for at least two animal species. The rat has historically been the animal of choice for chronic inhalation studies with EPs, but the low incidence of lung tumors and mesotheliomas occurring in rats exposed to asbestos fibers suggests that rats may be less sensitive than humans. Therefore, any future consideration for conducting long-term animal inhalation studies should address the need for using a multi-species testing approach to help provide solid scientific evidence on which to base human risk assessments for a variety of EMPs of different durabilities and dimensions. For example, some recent studies suggest that the hamster may be a more sensitive model for mesothelioma than the rat. Validation of appropriate animal models could reduce the resources needed to perform long-term experimental studies on other EMP types [EPA 2001].

Multi-dose animal inhalation studies with asbestos (probably a carefully selected and well-characterized chrysotile, because most of the estimates of human risk have been established from epidemiological studies of chrysotile-exposed workers) are needed to provide an improved basis for comparing the potential cancer and non-cancer risks associated with other types of EMPs and various types of synthetic EPs. The asbestos fibers administered in these animal studies should be comparable in dimension to those fibers found in the occupational environment. The results from these studies with asbestos (e.g., chrysotile) would provide a "gold standard" that could be used to validate the utility of long-term inhalation studies (in rats or other species) for predicting human risks of exposure to various types of EMPs.

3.4.3 Evaluation of Toxicological Mechanisms to Develop Early Biomarkers of Human Health Effects

The following scheme using acellular and cellular tests can be conducted to develop a mechanistic understanding of fiber toxicity and to support the development of *in vivo* biomarkers of effect in humans. These studies must use well-characterized EMP samples as described in the tiered testing strategy presented in Section 3.4. The use of size-selected fractions of EMPs could provide information needed to understand the relationships between dimension and bioactivity.

Acellular assays could include measurement of the generation of ROS employing electron spin resonance (ESR) or oxidant sensitive fluorescent dyes. Evaluation of the mobilization of metal ions from EMPs could indicate cytotoxic potential.

The i*n vitro* cellular tests could include the following:

- generation of reactive species measured by ESR or fluorescent dyes;
- generation of inflammatory, fibrogenic, and proliferative mediators, such as TNF-alpha, IL-1, TGF, etc.;
- DNA damage by comet assay;
- effects on cell growth regulation by measuring cell proliferartion;
- effects on mitosis and aneuploidy using confocal fluorescent microscopy; and
- signal transduction pathways, such as MAPkinase, and phosphoinositide-3 (PI3) kinase pathways.

In vivo tests would measure markers of inflammation (e.g., BAL neutrophils, inflammatory cytokines and chemokines), fibrosis (e.g., collagen, hydroxyproline), and proliferation (e.g., BrdU assay, hyperplasia) which precede pathology. Knockout mice or pathway inhibitors in rats may be used to confirm mechanistic pathways identified *in vitro* and develop biomarkers for disease initiation and progression. Potential biomarkers identified in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies would be evaluated in human populations with known exposure to EMPs, and the type and extent of the relationships between the marker and clinical signs of disease could be determined.

.

3.5 Develop Information and Knowledge on Occupational Exposures to Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs and Related Health Outcomes

Many studies have been published concerning occupational exposures to asbestos fibers and associated health effects. These studies have formed a knowledge base that has supported increased regulation of occupational asbestos exposures and substantial reductions in asbestos use and asbestos exposures in the United States over the past several decades. But, as this *Roadmap* makes clear, much less is known about other

types of mineral fibers and EMPs in terms of occupational exposures and potential health effects.

Research is needed to produce information on:

- current estimates and, where possible, future projections of numbers of U.S. workers exposed to asbestos fibers;
- levels of current exposures; and nature of the exposures (e.g., continuous, short-term, or intermittent); and
- the nature of any concomitant dust exposures.

Similar research is needed to produce analogous information about occupational exposures to other EMPs. Research is needed to assess and quantify potential human health risks associated with occupational exposures to other EMPs, as well as to better understand and quantify the epidemiology of asbestos-related diseases using more refined indices of exposure. Research is also needed to produce improved methods and clinical guidance for screening, diagnosis, secondary prevention, and treatment of diseases caused by asbestos fibers and other hazardous EMPs.

3.5.1 Assess Available Information on Occupational Exposures to Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

A fully informed strategy for prioritizing research on EMPs should be based on preliminary systematic collection and evaluation of available information on: (1) industries/occupations/job tasks/processes with exposure to various types of asbestos fibers and other EMPs; (2) numbers of workers exposed; (3) characteristics and levels of exposures; and (4) associated concomitant particulate exposures. Such information could enable estimations of:

- the overall distribution and levels of occupational exposures and an estimate of the total number of workers exposed to EMPs currently, in the past, and projected in the future; and
- the specific distributions and levels of exposures to each particular type of EMP, as well as numbers of workers exposed to each type of EMP currently, in the past, and (projected) in the future.

Initial efforts should be made to collect, review, and summarize available occupational exposure information and to collect and analyze representative air samples relating to various types of EMPs. For example, systematic compilation of exposure data collected by OSHA, MSHA, NIOSH, state agencies, and private industry could contribute to an improved understanding of current occupational exposures to EMPs, particularly if there are opportunities to (re)analyze collected samples using enhanced analytical methods to better characterize the exposures (see Section 3.6). To help limit potential impact of sampling bias that may be inherent in the available EMP exposure data, these initial efforts should be supplemented with efforts to systematically identify, sample, and

characterize EMP exposures throughout U.S. industry. These exposure assessments should include workplaces in which a fraction of the dust is comprised of EMPs (i.e., mixed-dust environments), and occupational environments in which EMPs may not meet the current regulatory criteria to be counted (i.e., "short" fibers). With appropriate planning and resources, such efforts could be designed and implemented as ongoing surveillance of occupational exposures to EMPs, with periodic summary reporting of findings. Representative EMP exposure data could help identify worker populations or particular types of EMPs warranting further study (i.e., more in-depth exposure assessment, medical surveillance; epidemiology studies of particular types of EMPs, processes, job tasks, occupations, or industries; toxicity studies of particular EMPs). Occupational exposure data should be collected and stored in a comprehensive database. Information similar to that described in Marchant et al. [2002] should be incorporated into the database to support these efforts. This could be accomplished in parallel with efforts to develop an occupational exposure database for nanotechnology [Miller et al. 2007] or efforts to develop a national occupational exposure database [Middendorf et al. 2007].

3.5.2 Collect and Analyze Available Information on Health Outcomes Associated with Exposures to Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

The body of knowledge concerning human health effects from exposure to EMPs consists primarily of epidemiological studies of workers exposed to asbestos fibers and several other types of EMPs (e.g., wollastonite, attapulgite, erionite) as well as case studies where asbestiform fibers of various kinds have resulted in asbestos-related diseases (ie erionite). Additional relevant information may be gleaned from the epidemiological studies conducted on some SVFs (e.g., glass and mineral wool fibers, ceramic fibers). There is general agreement that workers exposed to fibers from any asbestiform amphibole mineral would be at risk of serious adverse health outcomes of the type caused by exposure to fibers from the six commercially exploited asbestos minerals NOTE: asbestiform talc has not shown these serious adverse health outcomes. NIOSH commented on the recent MSHA proposed rule on asbestos (subsequently promulgated as a final rule), stating that "NIOSH remains concerned that the regulatory definition of asbestos should include asbestiform mineral fibers such as winchite and richterite, which were of major importance as contaminants in the Libby, MT vermiculite" [NIOSH 2005]. To ensure a clear science base that might support a formal recommendation for control of occupational exposures to all asbestiform amphibole fibers, it would be reasonable to thoroughly review, assess, and summarize the available information on asbestiform amphiboles that have not been commercially exploited as asbestos. Publication of such a review could be done in the short term.

It will also be important to authoritatively and quantitatively determine health risks posed by EMPs from nonasbestiform amphiboles and to compare them to those posed by fibers from asbestiform amphiboles. Animal and *in vitro* studies have indicated a potential risk for exposed humans NSSGA disagrees and asks NIOSH to identify which animal and in

vitro studies they are relying on, but available epidemiological studies have limitations that do not allow them to definitively resolve this major area of current controversy NSSGA disagrees. If nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs are, in fact, associated with some risk, a quantitative risk assessment would be needed to understand the risks relative to those associated with exposures to asbestos fibers this needs to be performed before NIOSH advocates regulation. A risk assessment of nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs should be performed if new epidemiological and other evidence is sufficient to support such a risk estimate that could, in turn, lead to development of risk management policy for nonasbestiform amphibole EMPs that is distinct from risk management policy for asbestos fibers. Separate risk management policies would motivate the development of new analytical methods that differentiate asbestiform from nonasbestiform particles on air sample filters and their routine use.

Surveillance and epidemiological studies generally have been circumscribed by the long latency periods that characterize manifestations of either pulmonary fibrosis (e.g., as detected by chest radiographs or pulmonary function tests) or cancer caused by asbestos exposures. Modern medical pulmonary imaging techniques or bioassays of circulating levels of cytokines or other biochemical factors associated with disease processes might be adaptable to better define early stages of asbestosis, and might provide a new paradigm for early detection of the active disease process. For example, positron emission tomographic imaging using tracers indicative of active collagen synthesis can detect fibrogenic response in a matter of weeks after quartz dust challenge in a rabbit animal model [Jones et al. 1997; Wallace et al. 2002].

3.5.3 Conduct Selective Epidemiological Studies of Workers Exposed to Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

Statistically powerful and well designed epidemiological studies are typically very expensive and time consuming, but they have been invaluable for defining associations between human health outcomes and occupational exposures. In fact, the strongest human evidence indicating that, at a sufficient dose and with a sufficient latency, certain EMPs of thoracic dimension and high durability pose risks for malignant and nonmalignant respiratory disease has come from epidemiological studies of workers exposed to asbestos fibers.

Outcomes from proposed research efforts outlined above in Section 3.5.2 may identify additional opportunities for informative epidemiological studies following the example of NIOSH researchers who have recently undertaken a reanalysis of data from a prior epidemiological study of asbestos textile workers after having more thoroughly characterized exposures using sample filters archived from that study [Kuempel et al. 2006]. Outcomes from the approaches outlined above in Section 3.3.2 might also potentially identify opportunities for aggregate meta-analyses of data from multiple prior

epidemiological studies, allowing an assessment of risks across various types of EMPs. This really needs to be expanded since it is potentially the most direct path to ascertaining the character or nature of exposures that cause human disease.

Given the ongoing and widespread occupational and environmental exposure to Libby vermiculite, a more complete understanding of the mortality experience of the Libby occupational cohort could shed light on risks associated with exposure to the attic insulation from Libby, such as exposures at the World Trade Center disaster, as well as the health effects among the Libby community. Analyses of the Libby worker cohort continue and future analyses are envisioned, with the following aims:

- complete exposure-response modeling and occupational risk assessment for mesothelioma and asbestosis.
- description of non-respiratory outcomes (e.g., mortality with rheumatoid arthritis; mortality from extra-pulmonary cancers)

Other research relating to Libby amphibole also continues. EPA and ATSDR have been engaged in a program of research involving several recent projects, including evaluation of:

- the relationship between radiographic abnormalities and lung function in Libby community residents, finding that diffuse pleural thickening on radiography was a significant predictor of both restrictive and obstructive patterns on spirometry.
- the natural history of radiographic disease progression, observing an exposure-response relationship between cumulative fiber exposure and small opacity profusion level on chest radiographs among Libby workers.
- the effect of exposure to asbestos-containing Libby vermiculite at 28 processing sites in the United States. Activities included conducting medical screening of former workers and household contacts at 6 sites. A summary report is available at: www.atsdr.cdc.gov/asbestos/sites/national_map.
- cases of mesothelioma, asbestosis, and lung cancer among former workers and others with non-occupational exposure associated with a vermiculite processing facility in northeast Minneapolis.
- disease progression in workers exposed to asbestos-containing vermiculite ore at a fertilizer plant in Marysville, Ohio.
- autoimmune conditions not classically associated with asbestos exposure, and on health effects associated with low-level exposure and childhood exposure.

In addition, ATSDR continues to update its Tremolite Asbestos Registry (TAR) of individuals exposed to vermiculite-associated asbestiform amphibole in Libby. Opportunities for additional informative epidemiological studies relating to Libby amphibole could be pursued in the future, particularly if an EM-based job-exposure matrix for workers exposed to the Libby amphiboles is developed, or if amphibole exposures during commercial building and household construction renovation tasks were well-characterized.

Large unstudied populations with sufficiently high exposure to commercial asbestos fibers are unlikely to be identified in developed countries like the United States, where asbestos use has been markedly curtailed and where occupational exposures have been strictly regulated in recent decades. Nevertheless, some developing countries (where asbestos use continues on a large scale and where exposures may be less regulated) may offer opportunities for *de novo* epidemiological studies that could contribute to a more refined understanding of the association of human health outcomes with occupational exposures to asbestos and other EMPs.

Opportunities for epidemiological studies of exposed workers might be sought in other countries where medical registry data and historical or current workplace sampling data are available (e.g., in China, where epidemiological studies of another occupational dust disease, silicosis, have been collaboratively conducted by Chinese and NIOSH researchers [Chen et al. 2005]).

Opportunities may also exist in other countries for epidemiological studies of non-worker populations exposed to asbestos in ways not encountered in more developed countries. For example, regular whitewashing of the interiors of homes has, in more than one country, been shown to be fraught with hazard. In parts of Greece and Turkey, and in New Caledonia, the local earthen material traditionally used for whitewashing homes was predominantly composed of tremolite asbestos, resulting in high rates of nonmalignant pleural plaques [Constantopoulos et al. 1987], lung cancer [Luce et al 2000; Menvielle et al. 2003], and malignant mesothelioma [Sakellariou et al. 1996; Senyiğit et al. 2000]. The whitewashing work, including crushing of the dry material before addition of water, was typically done by women with small children in tow, placing both sexes at risk of intermittent heavy exposures very early in life [Sakellariou et al. 1996]. This, along with the longer term and lower-level exposures associated with inhabiting homes whitewashed with this asbestos-containing material, represents an exposure pattern very different from the occupational exposures to asbestos studied in the Unites States and other industrialized countries.

Results from epidemiological studies of workers exposed to EMPs from nonasbestiform amphibole minerals have provided limited, if any, evidence in support of an association between occupational exposure and lung cancer or mesothelioma. It will be important to establish *a priori* criteria to enable results of epidemiological studies or meta-analyses to be used to indicate whether or not occupational exposure to EMPs from nonasbestiform amphibole minerals is associated with a risk level that warrants preventive intervention. Clearly laying out these criteria and assessing the feasibility of conducting necessary studies should be done by a panel of knowledgeable experts. Laboratory research will undoubtedly shed much light on the issue of potential human health risks associated with specific physicochemical characteristics of EMPs, including amphibole cleavage fragments. Still, where not only feasible but also judged likely to be informative, there is reason to consider:

- Epidemiological studies of worker populations exposed to amphibole cleavage fragments (e.g., taconite miners in Minnesota, talc miners in New York, etc.) conducted either *de novo* or through updating of prior studies for more complete follow-up of health outcomes and/or through re-analyzing archived exposure samples for development of more specific knowledge concerning etiologic determinants and quantitative risk;
- Epidemiological studies of worker populations incidentally exposed to EMPs from fibrous minerals, including asbestiform minerals (e.g., those associated with Libby vermiculite);
- Epidemiological studies of populations exposed to other less-well-studied EMPs (e.g., wollastonite, attapulgite, and erionite); and
- Meta-analyses of data from multiple epidemiological studies of various worker populations, each exposed to EMPs with somewhat different attributes (e.g., EMP type, dimensions, etc.), to better define specific determinants of EMP-associated adverse health outcomes for purposes of risk assessment.

The following criteria should be considered in selecting and prioritizing possible populations for epidemiological study: (1) type of EMP exposure (e.g., mineral source, chemical composition, crystalline structure, surface characteristics, and durability); (2) adequate exposure information (e.g., EMP concentrations and (bivariate) EMP dimensions); (3) good work histories; (4) sufficient latency; (5) number of workers needed to provide adequate statistical power for the health outcome(s) of interest; and (6) availability of data on other potentially confounding risk factors. Priority should be placed on epidemiological studies with potential to contribute to the understanding of EMP characteristics that determine toxicity, including type of mineral source (e.g., asbestiform mineral habit vs. other fibrous mineral habit vs. blocky mineral habit) and morphology and other aspects of the airborne EMPs (e.g., dimensions [length and width], chemical composition, crystalline structure, surface characteristics, and durability).

In addition to epidemiological studies that address etiology and that quantify exposure-related risk, epidemiological studies can be used to better understand the pathogenesis of lung diseases caused by asbestos fibers and other EMPs. For example, appropriately designed epidemiological studies could be used to assess the relationship between lung fibrosis and lung cancer. Reconstruction of exposures to determine the /fiber/particle size distributions of materials handled by epidemiological cohorts previously studied and published would shed considerable light on the nature of the exposures that caused or did not cause asbestos-related diseases.

3.5.4 Improve Clinical Tools and Practices for Screening, Diagnosis, Treatment, and Secondary Prevention of Diseases Caused by Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

Given the huge human and economic impact of asbestos-related disease and litigation, Congress has considered asbestos-related legislation on several occasions in recent years.

To date, bills with provisions to require private industry to fund an asbestos victims' trust fund have not succeeded in passing Congress. Most recently, a "Ban Asbestos in America Act," which passed the U.S. Senate in 2007 but was not acted on in the House of Representatives would have authorized and funded a network of Asbestos-Related Disease Research and Treatment Centers to conduct research, including clinical trials, on effective treatment, early detection, and prevention [U.S. Senate 2007]. This bill also called for the establishment of a mechanism for coordinating and providing data and specimens relating to asbestos-caused diseases from cancer registries and other centers, including a recently funded virtual biospecimen bank for mesothelioma [Mesothelioma Virtual Bank 2007].

Various research objectives relevant to clinical aspects of asbestos-related diseases are worthy of pursuit by NIOSH and other federal agencies along with their partners to improve screening, diagnosis, secondary prevention, and treatment. These include, but are not limited to:

- Continue to develop and validate technical standards for the assessment of digital chest radiographs using the ILO classification system. The ILO system for classifying chest radiographs of the pneumoconioses is widely used as a standard throughout the world. While initially intended for use in epidemiological studies, the ILO system is now also commonly used as a basis for describing severity of disease in clinical care and for awarding compensation to individuals affected by non-malignant diseases of the chest caused by asbestos and other airborne dusts. To ensure that digital chest radiographic methods used in future clinical and epidemiological studies can be compared with past studies based on conventional film radiography, there is a critical need to continue ongoing research to validate use of the ILO system for classification of digital chest images.
- Develop and promote standardized assessment of non-malignant dust-induced diseases, including asbestos-related pleural and parenchymal disease, on computed tomography (CT) images of the chest. Over the past several decades, CT scanning of the chest has become increasingly used for assessing chest disease and high-resolution CT scanning is often done in clinical settings. While approaches for standardizing classifications of CT images for dust-related diseases have been proposed, none have yet been widely adopted or authoritatively promoted.
- Develop, validate, and promote standardization of approaches for assessment of past asbestos exposures by measurement of asbestos bodies and uncoated fibers, particularly in samples collected noninvasively (e.g., sputum). Various approaches for quantifying fiber burden have been used for research and clinical purposes, but results are often difficult or impossible to compare across different studies due to lack of standardization and differential rates of biopersistence and translocation of various types of asbestos fibers.
- Develop and validate biomarkers for asbestosis, lung cancer, and mesothelioma to enable more specific identification of those at risk or early detection of disease in

those previously exposed to asbestos. For example, non-invasive bioassays for mesothelioma warrant further research before they can be considered ready for routine application in clinical practice.

- Develop and/or adapt emerging medical imaging techniques to better define stages of asbestosis, or to provide a new paradigm for early detection or grading of the active disease process. For example, positron emission tomographic (PET) imaging using tracers indicative of active collagen synthesis can detect pulmonary fibrogenic response in a matter of weeks after quartz dust challenge in a rabbit animal model [Jones et al. 1997; Wallace et al. 2002]. This holds promise for non-invasive approaches for earlier clinical detection and more sensitive surveillance and epidemiological studies, that to date have been circumscribed by the long latency periods that characterize pulmonary fibrosis associated with asbestos exposure (e.g., as detected by conventional chest radiography).
- Develop new treatment options to reduce risk of malignant and nonmalignant disease among those exposed to asbestos and to effectively treat established asbestos-induced disease. For example, many widely used anti-inflammatory drugs exert their effect by inhibiting cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), an enzyme that is induced in inflammatory and malignant (including pre-malignant) processes. Promising results of laboratory and case-control epidemiological studies have led to clinical trials of COX-2 inhibitors as adjuvant therapy to enhance treatments for various types of cancer. Research is warranted to determine whether these drugs can reduce the risk of asbestos-related malignancies in exposed individuals.
- Clear clinical guidance for practitioners, based on expert synthesis of available literature, should be regularly updated and disseminated in an authoritative manner.

3.6 Develop Improved Sampling and Analytical Methods for Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

There are important scientific gaps in understanding the health impacts of exposure to EMPs. Changes in how EMPs are defined for regulatory purposes will likely have to be accompanied by improvements to currently used analytical methods or development and application of new analytical methods. An ability to differentiate between fibers from the asbestos minerals and EMPs from their nonasbestiform analogs in air samples is an important need, especially for recommendations (e.g., occupational exposure limits) specific to type of mineral. However, overcoming this obstacle may be difficult because of: (1) lack of standard criteria for the mineralogical identification of airborne EMPs; and (2) technical difficulties in generating test aerosols of size-specific EMPs representative of worker exposures so that sampling and analytical methods can be tested and validated.

Improvements in exposure assessment methods are needed to increase the accuracy of the methods used to identify, differentiate, and count EMPs captured in air-sampling filter media. Until new analytical methods are developed and validated, it will be necessary to

investigate the various proposals that have been made to modify current analytical methods, such as those discussed in Section 3.6.2, and additional modifications to the current analytical methods.

Manual microscopy methods are labor intensive and error prone. Automated analyses would permit examination of larger sample fractions and improve the accuracy of particle classification. Developing a practical method that accurately counts and sizes all EMPs could improve risk assessments and exposure assessments done in support of risk management. Automated methods will reduce operator bias and inter-laboratory variability, providing more consistent results for risk assessments.

Some barriers to improving current analytical methods have been identified. Increasing the optical resolution of PCM analysis may help to increase counts of thinner asbestos fibers. However, any increases in optical microscopy resolution will not be sufficient to detect all asbestos fibers. In addition, any improvements in counting EMPs (e.g., increase in the number of EMPs observed and counted) will need to be evaluated by comparing them with counts made by the current PCM method. The use of electron microscopy (EM) would improve the capability to detect thin fibers and also provide a means to identify many types of minerals. However, the routine use of EM would:

- require the development of standardized analytical criteria for the identification of various EMPs;
- require specialized experience in microscopy and mineral identification;
- increase analytical costs; and
- potentially increase the lag time between collecting the sample and obtaining results.

In some workplace situations, such as in construction, increases in the time needed to analyze samples and identify EMPs could potentially delay the implementation of appropriate control measures to reduce exposures.

Several potential sampling and analytical improvements are currently under study. Some of the studies are aimed at improving the accuracy of current techniques used for monitoring exposures to asbestos. One such study is evaluating the use of thoracic samplers for the collection of airborne EPs and another is studying the use of gridded cover slips for PCM analyses. The proposed use of gridded cover slips for sample evaluation can aid in the counting of asbestos and other EMPs and can provide a means for "recounting" fibers at specific locations on the filter sample. Another study is evaluating the proposed ASTM method to determine whether inter-operator variability of differential counting (to distinguish fibers of asbestos minerals from other EMPs) is within an acceptable range.

Research into new methods development is warranted. One such area would be the development of methods that would permit an assessment of the potential biopersistence

(e.g., durability) of EMPs collected on air sampling filters prior to their evaluation by PCM or other microscopic methods. If durability is deemed biologically relevant, then an exposure assessment limited to only durable EMPs collected on a sample would help to reduce possible analytical interferences caused by other non-durable EMPs and may eliminate the need for mineral identification. Another such area would be improvement in EM particle identification techniques, such as field emission SEM and the capability to determine the elemental composition of EMPs using an SEM equipped with EDS.

Modifications of current analytical methods and development of new analytical methods will require an assessment of their implications for worker health protection (e.g., how do the results using improved or new methods relate to human risk estimates based on counts of EMPs made by PCM?). To ensure that relevant toxicological parameters (e.g., dimension, durability, and physicochemical parameters) are incorporated in the analysis and measurement, any changes in analytical methods should be made in concert with changes in how asbestos fibers or other EMPs are defined.

3.6.1 Reduce Inter-operator and Inter-laboratory Variability of the Current Analytical Methods Used for Asbestos Fibers

To ensure the validity of EMP counts made on air samples, it is important to ensure consistency in EMP counts between and among analysts. Microscopy counts of EMPs on air sample filters are made using only a small percentage of the surface area of the filter, and the counting procedures require the analyst to make decisions on whether each observed particle meets specified criteria for counting. Interlaboratory sample exchange programs have been shown to be important for ensuring agreement in asbestos fiber counts between laboratories [Crawford et al. 1982]. Unfortunately, microscopists from different laboratories are unlikely to view exactly the same fields, and this alone accounts for some of the observed variation in fiber counts between microscopists. A mechanism to allow recounts of fibers from the exact same field areas would remove this variable and allow a better assessment of the variation attributable to microscopists in analyzing samples.

A technique is under development for improving the accuracy of PCM-based fiber-counting by allowing the same sample fields to be examined by multiple microscopists or by the same microscopist on different occasions [Pang et al. 1984, 1989; Pang 2000]. The method involves the deposition of an almost transparent TEM grid onto the sample. Included with the grid are coordinates which allows relocation of each grid opening. Photomicrographs of typical grid openings superimposed on chrysotile and amosite samples have been published [Pang et al. 1989]. Slides prepared in this manner have been used in a Canadian proficiency test program for many years. The main errors affecting the counts of various types of fibers (e.g., chrysotile, amosite, and SVF) have been evaluated by examining large numbers of slides by large numbers of participants in

this program. A recently developed scoring system for evaluating the performance of microscopists is based on errors compared with a reference value defined for each slide by the laboratory in which they were produced [Pang 2002]. A statistical analysis of the intra-group precision in this study was able to identify those analysts who were outliers [Harper and Bartolucci 2003]. In a pilot study, the pooled relative standard deviations, without the outliers, met the requirements for an unbiased air sampling method. Further study is needed to validate these findings and to identify other techniques that can reduce inter-laboratory and inter-operator variability in counting asbestos and other EMPs by PCM.

Reference slides made from proficiency test filters from the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) have been created and circulated to laboratories and individual microscopists recruited from AIHA laboratory quality programs [Pang and Harper 2008; Harper et al. 2009]. The results illustrate an improved discrimination of fiber counts when the proficiency test materials have a more controlled composition. These reference slides have also been evaluated in Japan, the United Kingdom, and elsewhere in Europe. Further research will be useful in determining the value of these slides for training purposes.

3.6.2 Develop Analytical Methods with Improved Sensitivity to Visualize Thinner EMPs to Ensure a More Complete Evaluation of Airborne Exposures

Most PCMs can visualize EMPs with widths >0.25 µm, which is the approximate lower resolution limit when the microscope is operated at a magnification of 400X and calibrated to NIOSH 7400 specifications [NIOSH 1994a]. However, higher-end optical microscopes can resolve thinner widths, and, for crocidolite, they may resolve widths as thin as 0.1 µm.

Improvement in the optical resolution may be possible using an oil-immersion 100X objective with a numerical aperture of 1.49. Also, the use of 15X eyepiece oculars would help improve the visibility of small particles and thin EMPs on samples. However, using oil immersion has several drawbacks. When exposed to air for more than a few hours, the oil on the slide dries and its optical properties change. Also, the oil cannot be wiped off because the cover slip is likely to be moved and ruin the sample. For these reasons, using oil immersion does not permit recounts or further analysis for quality control purposes and is not an attractive alternative.

Other methods may also allow for increased resolution using optical microscopes. Anecdotal information on the use of PCM using dark-medium (DM) objectives, presented at a meeting in November 2007, suggests that analysts using DM objectives could resolve more blocks of the Health and Safety Executive/National Physical

Laboratory (HSL/ULO) test slide[4] than are allowable for the method and produced higher counts of chrysotile fibers than expected [Harper et al. 2009]. The implication is that using DM objectives can resolve thinner chrysotile fibers than the accepted method. This methodology should be explored further to determine its resolution and potential application in asbestos exposure assessment.

As stated previously, because risk estimates for workers exposed to asbestos fibers have been based on counts made by the current PCM method, counts made with improved optical microscope resolution capabilities would not be directly comparable to current occupational exposure limits for airborne asbestos fibers. Additionally, the findings that asbestos fibers thinner than 0.1 μm are most associated with mesothelioma and that optical microscopes cannot resolve fibers <0.1 μm in width suggest that alternatives to PCM should be researched.

TEM can resolve asbestos fibers with widths <~0.01 μm, which effectively detects the presence of asbestos fibers and other EMPs collected on airborne samples. Both TEM and SEM provide greater resolution for detecting and sizing EMPs. Both methods also provide capability for mineral identification (TEM using selected area X-ray diffraction [SAED], TEM and SEM using EDS or WDS for elemental analysis). The cost of using TEM and/or SEM for routine analysis of all samples would be considerably higher than PCM analysis and the turnaround time for analysis would be substantially longer. In addition, any routine use of EM methods for counting and sizing asbestos fibers or other EMPs would require formal evaluation of inter-operator and inter-laboratory variability.

SEM is now a generally available method which can routinely resolve features down to ~0.05 μm, an order of magnitude better than optical microscopes. Field emission SEM (FE-SEM) is now commercially available and further increases this resolution. *In vitro* or short-term or long-term animal model studies can now utilize these EM imaging technologies to characterize EMPs for studies of etiology and disease mechanism. EM analyses of EMP size and composition can be supplemented with analysis of surface elemental composition by scanning Auger spectroscopy or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Investigation is needed to determine whether SEM-backscatter electron diffraction analysis can be adapted to EMP crystallographic analyses equivalent to TEM-SAED capability. Ease of sample preparation and data collection for SEM analysis compared to TEM, along with some SEM advantage in visualizing EMP and EMP morphology (e.g., surface characteristics), provides reason to reevaluate SEM methods for EMP characterization and mineral identification both for field and laboratory sample analysis.

[4] The HSE/NPL Mark II or HSL/ULO Mark III Phase Shift Test Slide checks or standardizes the visual detection limits of the PCM. The HSL/ULO Test Slide consists of a conventional glass microscope slide with seven sets of parallel line pairs of decreasing widths. The microscope must be able to resolve the blocks of lines in accordance with the certificate accompanying the slide. Only slides where at least one block of lines is intended to be invisible should be used.. Microscopes which resolve fewer or greater numbers of blocks than stated on the certificate cannot be used in the NIOSH 7400 fiber counting method.

3.6.3 Develop a Practical Analytical Method for Air Samples to Differentiate Between Asbestiform Fibers from the Asbestos Minerals and EMPs from Their Nonasbestiform Analogs

A recently published ASTM method for distinguishing other EMPs from probable asbestos fibers uses PCM-determined morphologic features to differentiate asbestos fibers from other EMPs [ASTM 2006]. The proposed method has several points of deviation from existing PCM methods. It uses a new graticule that has not been tested for conformance with the traditional graticule used in standard PCM analysis of asbestos air samples. It specifies additional counting rules to classify particles, and there are few data to show these rules provide consistently achievable or meaningful results. Also, only limited data are available to show inter- or intra-operator or inter-laboratory variation. These issues must be addressed before the method can be considered acceptable. NIOSH researchers are currently addressing these issues. Specific aims of the project are:

- to determine the effect of using the traditional Walton-Beckett graticule and the new RIB graticule on the precision of measuring fiber dimensions; and
- to determine the inter-laboratory variation of the proposed method for determining particle identities by observing morphological features of individual particles.

Anticipated outcomes of these ongoing research projects include a measure of method precision, which will help to determine whether the method meets the requirements of regulatory and other agencies.

While EM may currently not be suitable for routine analysis of samples of airborne EMPs, EM techniques used to characterize and identify minerals (e.g., differentiating between asbestos fibers and other EMPs) should be further investigated and evaluated to determine whether results are reproducible by multiple microscopists and laboratories.

3.6.4 Develop Analytical Methods to Assess Durability of EMPs

While some research has been conducted to determine the ability of biological assays to evaluate the biopersistence of EMPs in the lung, there is a need to consider how the assessment of EMP durability might be incorporated into the evaluation of air samples containing a heterogeneous mix of EMPs. Research with several types of glass fibers and some other SVFs indicate that they dissolve in media at different rates depending on the pH and that they dissolve more rapidly than chrysotile and amphibole asbestos fibers [Leineweber 1984]. Chrysotile fibers have been shown to dissolve at a rate which varies not only with the strength of the acid, but also with the type of acid. Amphibole asbestos fibers have been shown to be more resistant to dissolution than chrysotile fibers.

Research suggests that the rate of dissolution in the lungs for most EMPs appears to be strongly dependent on their chemical composition, surface characteristics, and dimension.

The selective dissolution of EMPs might be a useful approach in eliminating specific types of EMPs or other particles collected on air samples prior to analysis (e.g., microscopic counting). The removal of interfering EMPs prior to counting could potentially eliminate the need for additional analysis to identify EMPs on the sample. Selective dissolution of samples to remove interferences is well established in NIOSH practice for other analytes. NIOSH Method 5040 for diesel exhaust has an option for using acidification of the filter sample with hydrochloric acid to remove carbonate interference [NIOSH 2003a]. Silicate interferences for quartz by infra-red spectroscopic detection are removed by phosphoric acid digestion in NIOSH Method 7603 [NIOSH 2003b]. Although selective dissolution might be accomplished for some EMPs, research will be necessary to develop and characterize a procedure that would correlate residual EMP counts to the results of toxicity studies.

3.6.5 Develop and Validate Size-selective Sampling Methods for EMPs

For measuring airborne concentrations of non-elongate particles in the workplace, conventions have been developed for sampling the aerosol fractions that penetrate to certain regions of the respiratory tract upon inhalation: the inhalable fraction of particulate that enters into the nose or the mouth; the fraction that penetrates into the thorax (i.e., beyond the larynx); and the respirable fraction that reaches the alveoli of the lung. The thoracic convention is recognized by NIOSH and other organizations that recommend exposure limits, and NIOSH has established precedence in applying it in RELs (e.g., the REL for metalworking fluid aerosols [NIOSH 1998]).

Asbestos fibers currently are collected for measurement using standard sampling and analytical methods (e.g., NIOSH Method 7400 [NIOSH 1994a], in OSHA ID-160 [OSHA 1998], in Methods for the Determination of Hazardous Substances (MDHS) 39/4 [HSE 1995], and in ISO 8672 [ISO 1993]). In these methods, air samples are taken using a membrane filter housed in a cassette with a cowled sampling head. Early studies [Walton 1954] showed that the vertical cowl excludes some very coarse particles due to elutriation, but its selection characteristics should have little effect on the collection efficiency for asbestos fibers. However, when Chen and Baron [1996] evaluated the sampling cassette with a conductive cowl used in sampling for asbestos fibers, they found inlet deposition was higher in field measurements than predicted by models.

Unlike the WHO [1997], NIOSH has not recommended an upper limit for width of asbestos fibers to be counted because airborne asbestos fibers typically have widths <3 μm. The absence of an upper width criterion for the NIOSH Method 7400 A rules has generated criticism that some EMPs counted by this method may not be thoracic-size. Others have recommended NIOSH Method 7400 B rules for the sampling and analysis of various types of fibers and EPs, including asbestos fibers [Baron 1996], because the B

rules specify an upper limit of 3 μm for EP width. However, Method 7400 B rules have not been field-tested for occupational exposures to asbestos and many types of EPs.

Two separate but complementary investigations have examined the performance of thoracic samplers for EMPs [Jones et al. 2005; Maynard 2002]. Thoracic samplers allow the collection of airborne particles that meet the aerodynamic definition of thoracic-size EMPs (i.e., with physical widths equal to or less than 3 μm for the typical length distributions of fibers of silicate composition), collecting only those EMPs considered most pathogenic. The results of studies have indicated that penetration of some thoracic samplers is independent of EMP length, at least up to 60 μm, indicating that the samplers' penetration characteristics for an EP aerosol should be no different than that of an isometric aerosol. In the Jones et al. [2005] study, the relative ability of the thoracic samplers to produce adequately uniform distributions of EPs on the surface of the membrane filter was also tested. Based on results of these studies, two samplers appeared to meet the criteria of minimal selection bias with respect to EP length and uniform distribution on the collection filters. However, neither of these samplers has been tested under conditions of field use. NIOSH is currently evaluating these two thoracic samplers and the traditional cowled sampler in three different mining environments. The results from the first of these environments have been published [Lee et al. 2008]. In this study, one sampler provided results comparable to the standard 25-mm cowled cassette, while the other did not. Additional results are required to clarify this conclusion.

3.7 From Research to Improved Public Health Policies for Asbestos Fibers and Other EMPs

Section 3 of this *Roadmap* proposes several strategic goals and associated objectives for a multi-disciplinary research program on asbestos fibers and other EMPs. In summary, accomplishing these goals is intended: (1) to further elucidate the physicochemical properties that contribute to their pathogenicity; (2) to improve existing analytical tools and develop new analytical tools for identifying and measuring exposures to EMPs using metrics that reflect the important determinants of toxicity (e.g., dimension, composition, etc.); (3) to better understand the nature and extent of occupational exposures to EMPs and their relationships to EMP-related health outcomes among exposed worker populations; and (4) to improve clinical tools for screening, diagnosis, secondary prevention, and treatment of EMP-related diseases.

Results of much of the research to date (e.g., animal and human studies with asbestos and other EMPs) are readily available and should be considered in developing the research program, including the specification of minerals to be studied. Much of this evidence supports the important role of particle dimension as a determinant of lung deposition and retention and the concomitant role of particle composition and crystalline structure as a determinant of durability and biopersistence. Despite this body of research, several fundamental issues are not clearly understood and a broad systematic approach to further

toxicological and epidemiological research would help to reduce remaining uncertainties. Although long, thin asbestos fibers clearly cause respiratory disease, the role of unregulated short (i.e., <5μm) asbestos fibers is not entirely clear. It also remains unclear to what extent each of the various physicochemical parameters of asbestos fibers is responsible for respiratory disease outcomes (e.g., asbestosis, lung cancer, and mesothelioma) observed in asbestos-exposed individuals. Limited evidence from studies with other EMPs confirms the importance of particle dimension and biopersistence in causing a biological response. However, uncertainty remains as to whether the respiratory disease outcomes observed in workers exposed to asbestos fibers can be anticipated for workers exposed to other EMPs of thoracic-size and with elemental compositions similar to asbestos.

Results of much of the research to date, conducted on materials that are readily available or of specific interest, should be considered in developing the research program, including the specification of materials to be studied. Another important effort that can inform development of the research program will involve a systematic collection and review of available information on: (1) industries and occupations with exposure to EMPs; (2) airborne exposure in these industries and occupations; and (3) numbers of workers potentially exposed in these industries and occupations. Additional relevant minerals and mineral habits identified should also be considered for study. The minerals identified through these efforts should be carefully and comprehensively characterized with respect to both structure and elemental composition. In the characterization of minerals, consideration should also be given to: (1) purity of the mineral; (2) particle morphology (range of dimensions and sizes); (3) surface area; (4) surface chemistry; and (5) surface reactivity. Care must be taken to ensure that a sufficient amount of the studied material is available, not only for current studies, but also as reference material for possible future studies. The information developed from all of these efforts should be entered into a database which can serve as a tool for selection of minerals for testing and validation of toxicological tests, as well as to assist in identification of worker populations for possible epidemiological studies.

An objective of the proposed research is to achieve a level of mechanistic understanding that can provide a basis for developing biologically-based models for extrapolating results of animal inhalation and other types of *in vivo* studies to predict risks to worker health associated with exposure conditions typically encountered in workplaces. Presently, little information exists on the mechanisms by which asbestos fibers and some other EMPs produce lung cancer, mesothelioma, and non-malignant respiratory diseases. As these mechanisms become understood, biologically based models can be developed to extrapolate from exposure-dose-response relationships observed in animals to estimates of disease risk in exposed humans. In addition, such studies would provide: (1) an opportunity to measure molecular and cellular outcomes that can be used to determine why one animal species responds differently from another; and (2) information on EMP characteristics associated with eliciting or potentiating various biological effects. The outcomes of these studies can then be evaluated in subsequent experiments to provide:

(1) risk assessors with a useful understanding of the various disease mechanisms by which animals respond to EMP exposures; and (2) regulatory agencies and industrial hygiene and occupational health professionals with information needed to implement appropriate exposure limits and risk management programs for monitoring worker exposure and health.

It is anticipated that it may be difficult to find populations of workers that are exposed to EMPs with characteristics (e.g., dimension, composition) of interest that are sufficiently large to provide adequate statistical power, and where exposures are unconfounded or where confounding can be effectively controlled in the analysis. NIOSH retains exposure information and, in some cases, personal air sample filters collected and archived from past epidemiological studies of workers exposed to asbestos. Such existing data might be used to update and extend findings from these studies. Where appropriately balanced epidemiological studies can be identified, it may be possible to conduct meta-analyses to investigate important EMP characteristics. The analysis of archived samples may help to elucidate how more detailed characteristics of exposure (e.g., particle dimension) relate to disease outcomes. New epidemiological (retrospective and prospective) studies should not be undertaken unless feasibility studies (e.g., preliminary assessments of study population size, exposure latencies, records of exposure, confounders, etc.) have been appropriately considered.

Because the opportunities for informative epidemiological studies are likely to be limited, it will be necessary to complement them with toxicological testing, and an integrated approach to toxicological research will be needed to understand how various types of EMPs induce disease. Where epidemiological studies of new cohorts are possible, or where epidemiological studies of previously studied cohorts can be updated, attempts should be made to link their results with those of toxicological studies to assess the ability of various types of toxicological testing to predict health outcomes in humans. Toxicological testing should be done with attention to collecting more specific information, including: (1) physical characteristics (e.g., dimension); (2) chemical composition; (3) *in vitro* acellular data (dissolution, durability); and (4) *in vitro/in vivo* cellular data (e.g., cytotoxicity, phagocytosis, chromosomal damage, mediator release).

To help elucidate which physicochemical properties are important for inducing a biological effect, it may be necessary to generate exposures to EMPs of specific dimensions and composition. Several approaches are being pursued by NIOSH to overcome technological difficulties in generating sufficient quantities of well-characterized and dimensionally-restricted EMPs. Efforts to generate mineral samples of appropriate particle size dimensions using grinding techniques have met with some success, but have not consistently generated EMPs in restricted size ranges of interest or in sufficient quantity to enable toxicity testing. Another approach has used a fiber size classifier [Deye et al. 1999], but this has not provided large enough quantities of EMPs for long-term inhalational exposure studies in animals. NIOSH researchers are currently evaluating the possibility of developing a fiber size classifier with increased output to

generate much larger quantities of particles in restricted size-ranges for toxicological testing.

An outcome of the proposed research programs should be an understanding of the relationships between and among the results of human observational studies and *in vitro*, short-term *in vivo*, and long-term *in vivo* experimental studies. Any research undertaken should be designed to ensure that results can be interpreted and applied within the context of other studies. For example, EMPs used in long-term animal inhalation studies should also be tested in *in vitro/in vivo* assay systems so that findings can be compared. The results of such experiments can help to develop and standardize *in vitro/in vivo* assay systems for use in predicting the potential toxicity of various types of EMPs.

Government agencies, other organizations, and individual researchers have already recommended similar research strategies for evaluating the toxicity of mineral and synthetic fibers [Greim 2004; ILSI 2005; Mossman et al. 2007; Schins 2002; Vu et al. 1996]. These published strategies should be used as a foundation for developing a research program.

Some research and improvements in sampling and analytical methods used to routinely assess exposures to EMPs can be done in the short term, and as the results of the toxicological studies provide a clearer understanding of EMP characteristics that determine toxicity, it will be necessary to ensure that the measurement techniques used in evaluating workplace exposures incorporate the exposure metrics used in determining the dose-response effect found in animal studies. The development of such exposure measurement techniques should: (1) reduce the subjectivity inherent in current methods of particle identification and counting; (2) closely quantify EMPs based on characteristics that are important to toxicity; and (3) reduce cost and shorten turnaround times compared to current EM methods.

Toxicological, exposure assessment, and epidemiological research should be conducted with the overarching goal of developing information necessary for risk assessments. Improved risk assessments and analytical methodology are needed to inform the development of new and revised occupational exposure limits for control of exposures associated with the production of EMP-caused disease.

For those individuals who have an asbestos-related disease or are at a high risk of developing an asbestos-related disease, research is needed to improve methods and clinical guidance for screening, diagnosis, secondary prevention, and treatment of EMP-caused diseases. The development and validation of biomarkers of disease and improved lung imaging technologies can lead to earlier diagnosis of asbestos-related disease. It will also be important to advance knowledge on how to effectively treat EMP-caused diseases, especially malignant mesothelioma, which is currently a fatal a disease in most cases. Accomplishing the goals of early diagnosis and development of treatment options

can improve the quality and quantity of life for those who develop asbestos-related disease.

4 THE PATH FORWARD

Developing an interdisciplinary research program and prioritizing research projects to implement the research agenda envisioned in *Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongate Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research* will require a substantial investment of time, scientific talent, and resources by NIOSH and its partners. However, achieving the proposed goals will be well worth the investment because it will improve the quality of life of U.S. workers by preventing workplace exposure to potentially hazardous EMPs, and it will reduce future healthcare costs. As with any strategic approach, unintended and unforeseen results and consequences will require program adjustments as information is produced and time goes on.

4.1 Organization of the Research Program

To ensure that the scientific knowledge created from implementation of the *Roadmap* is applied as broadly as possible, NIOSH plans to partner with other federal agencies, including the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), the National Toxicology Program (NTP), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the United States Geological Survey (USGS), as well as with labor, industry, academia, practitioners, and other interested parties including international groups. Partnerships and collaborations will be used to help focus the scope of the research to be undertaken, enhance extramural research activities, and assist in the development and dissemination of educational materials describing the outcomes of the research and their implications for occupational and public health policies and practices.

Some of the next steps in development will involve organizing study groups with representatives from federal agencies, industry, academia, and workers' groups to identify the specific priorities for the research programs developed within the overarching research framework. Study groups should be assembled from among the partners to identify specific research elements needed to address the information gaps and data needs outlined in this *Roadmap*. Although it may be appropriate to organize separate study groups around the scientific disciplines needed to conduct the research, such as epidemiology, toxicology, exposure assessment, mineralogy, particle characterization and analysis, and risk assessment, each of the study groups will need to include members from other disciplines to ensure the multi-disciplinary nature of the research is considered and addressed. Also important will be coordination between and among study groups to ensure the efforts in the various research areas are complementary and move toward common goals and the eventual development of sufficient information for risk assessment. These study groups should be maintained over the lifetime of the research

program to oversee and help guide the research. An independent group could provide oversight of the overall research effort, periodically reviewing the various discipline-specific research programs to help ensure that the most appropriate research is accomplished in a timely, and coordinated manner and to help maintain the scientific quality of the research.

4.2 Research Priorities

The key issues discussed in Section 2.10 include several research needs: (1) for the asbestos minerals, development of a clearer understanding of the important dimensional and physicochemical determinants of pathogenicity; (2) for other EMPs, such as those from nonasbestiform habits of the asbestos minerals and erionite, development of a deeper understanding of the determinants of toxicity; and (3) development of analytical methods that can differentiate EMPs and quantify airborne exposures to EMPs. To begin addressing these issues, infrastructure projects should be developed and initiated with input from the study groups.

One of the infrastructure projects to be initiated with input from the study groups is the development of a standardized set of terms that can be used to clearly and precisely describe minerals and other scientific concepts. This is needed to help with the planning of research projects and to effectively communicate research results. This effort should involve representatives from each of the relevant scientific disciplines.

Another infrastructure project that should be considered at the onset of prioritizing research is the development of criteria and logistics for establishing a mineral reference repository. Initially, representative samples from the known asbestos deposits should be procured and carefully and comprehensively characterized. If samples of these repository minerals are further processed in the course of conducting research, the processed materials will need to be fully characterized as well. Concomitant with this characterization effort should be the development of a mineralogical research effort addressing issues pertaining to the identification of minerals that might be found on airborne samples collected at various workplace environments and to develop further and deeper understanding of mineralogical properties which may contribute to the toxicity of particles.

One of the earliest research efforts will be preliminary systematic collection and evaluation of available information on: (1) industries/occupations/job tasks/processes with exposure to various types of asbestos fibers and other EMPs; (2) numbers of workers exposed; (3) characteristics and levels of exposures; and (4) associated particulate exposures. The knowledge generated from these efforts will be needed to identify the EMPs that workers are exposed to and worker populations that have the potential to be included in epidemiological studies. In addition to ascertaining EMP exposures and EMP-exposed populations in the U.S., networking and other tools should be used to identify potential international populations for epidemiological studies.

Representative samples of the EMPs identified through these efforts should be procured, characterized, and included in the mineralogical reference repository. After thorough characterization, these samples can be classified and prioritized for use in the toxicological studies.

A part of this early effort should be the development of a comprehensive and integrated public-use information management system to warehouse: (1) the mineral characterization information generated on the reference samples; (2) data generated from hazard and health surveillance activities; (3) information on the minerals tested and the methods used as well as the results of toxicological studies; and (4) the data gathered from epidemiological and other surveillance investigations. By having the results of previous studies available in the information management system, it could be used to promote the development of an efficient, non-duplicative research program. It could also be a resource for data exploration and additional analyses of accumulated results.

After comprehensive review of current knowledge and the available data in the above-described information management system, the study groups should identify specific research aims and plan, prioritize, and conduct mineralogical, toxicological, epidemiological, and clinical research within the general framework laid out in this *Roadmap*. The results from early research will inform the need for later research and will dictate changes in priorities and directions for the research needed to accomplish the overall goals of the research program.

Ongoing research and study on improvements of the analytical methods currently used for regulatory purposes should be independent of other research. However, as surveillance and exposure assessment efforts proceed, research on analytical methods should advance the capability to identify and characterize worker exposures and to measure relevant exposure parameters identified by toxicological research. Eventually, after determinants of EMP toxicity are more fully elucidated, research should increasingly focus on sampling and analytical methods that can be routinely used in compliance exposure assessment.

4.3 Outcomes

NIOSH will promote integration of the research goals set forth in the *Roadmap* into the industry sector-based and research-to-practice-focused National Occupational Research Agenda (NORA), an agenda for the Nation involving public and private sectors. The goals and objectives of this *Roadmap* can be substantially advanced through robust public-private sector partnership.

The ideal outcome of a comprehensive research program for asbestos fibers and other EMPs would be to use the results of this research to develop recommendations to protect workers' health that are based on unambiguous science. Optimally, such recommendations may specify criteria, such as a range of chemical composition,

dimensional attributes (e.g., ranges of length, width, and aspect ratio), dissolution rate/fragility parameters, and other factors that can be used to indirectly assess the toxicity of EMPs. It would be particularly advantageous if the results of the research could be used to devise a battery of validated *in vitro* or short-term *in vivo* assays with sufficient predictive value to identify EMPs warranting concern based on their physical and chemical properties, without the need for comprehensive toxicity testing and/or epidemiological evaluation of each individual EMP. Newly identified EMPs could be compared to the criteria to determine a likelihood of toxicity. Coherent risk management approaches for EMPs that fully incorporate a clear understanding of the toxicity could then be developed to minimize the potential for EMP-related disease outcomes among exposed workers.

Although beyond the scope of this *Roadmap,* the extent to which a health-protective policy for EMPs could be extended to SVFs and other manufactured materials, such as engineered carbon nanotubes, warrants exploration. It has been noted that elongate nanoscale particles (e.g., single- and multi-walled carbon nanotubes) cause interstitial fibrosis in mice [Shvedova et al. 2005; Porter et al. 2009] and that peritoneal exposure of mice to carbon nanotubes has been reported to induce pathological responses similar to those caused by asbestos, suggesting potential for induction of mesothelioma [Poland et al. 2008]. Recommendations have been made elsewhere to systematically investigate the health effects of these manufactured nanomaterials within the next five years [Maynard et al. 2006; NIOSH 2008b]. Integrating results of nanoparticle toxicity investigations with the results of the research program developed as a result of this *Roadmap* may lead to a broader and more fundamental understanding of the determinants of toxicity of EPs.

Working towards achieving the goals delineated in the *Roadmap* is consonant with NIOSH's statutory mission to generate new knowledge in the field of occupational safety and health and to transfer that knowledge into practice for the benefit of workers. Advancing knowledge relevant for use in protecting workers from adverse health effects arising from exposure to asbestos fibers and other EMPs is the ultimate goal. Though further scientific research conducted by NIOSH researchers will continue to focus on the *occupational* environment, NIOSH intends to pursue partnerships to ensure that scientific research arising from the *Roadmap* will comprise an integrated approach to understanding and limiting EMP hazards incurred not only in work settings, but also in the general community and the general environment.

In addition to participation in the development of the research priorities and programs, partnerships and collaborations will assist in the development and dissemination of educational materials describing the outcomes of the research and their implications for occupational and public health policies and practices.

5 REFERENCES

Aadchi S, Yoshida S, Kawamura K, Takahashi M, Uchida H, Odagirl Y, Taekmoto K [1994]. Induction of oxidative DNA damage and mesothelioma by crocidolite with special reference to the presence of iron inside and outside of asbestos fiber. Carcinogenesis 15:753–758.

Addison J [2007]. Letter communication to the NIOSH Docket Office for Docket number NIOSH-099. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docket/pdfs/NIOSH-099/0099-052707-addison_sub.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Addison J, McConnell EE [2008]. A review of carcinogenicity studies of asbestos and non-asbestos tremolite and other amphiboles. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 52(Suppl 1): S187-S199.

Aderem A [2002]. How to eat something bigger than your head. Cell 110:5–8.

Allison AC, Ferluga J [1977]. Cell membranes in cytotoxicity. Adv Exp Med Biol 84:231–246.

Amandus HE, Wheeler R [1987]. The morbidity and mortality of vermiculite miners and millers exposed to tremolite–actinolite: Part II. Mortality. Am J Ind Med 11:15–26.

Amandus HE, Althouse R, Morgan WK, Sargent EN, Jones R [1987a]. The morbidity and mortality of vermiculite miners and millers exposed to tremolite-actinolite: Part III. Radiographic findings. Am J Ind Med 11:27–37.

Amandus HE, Wheeler R, Jankovic J, Tucker J [1987b]. The morbidity and mortality of vermiculite miners and millers exposed to tremolite–actinolite. Part I: Exposure estimates. Am J Ind Med 11:1–14.

American Geological Institute [2005]. Glossary of Geology 5th Edition. KKE Neuendorf, JP Mehl, and JA Jackson, eds. American Geological Institute, Alexandria, VA.

Anonymous [1997]. Asbestos, asbestosis, and cancer: the Helsinki criteria for diagnosis and attribution. Scand J Work Environ Health 23:311–316.

Ansari FA, Ahmad I, Ashqauin M, Yunus M, Rahman Q [2007]. Monitoring and identification of airborne asbestos in unorganized sectors, India. Chemosphere 68:716–723.

Asgharian B, Yu CP [1988]. Deposition of inhaled fibrous particles in the human lung. J Aerosol Med 1:37–50.

ATS (American Thoracic Society) [2004]. Diagnosis and initial management of nonmalignant diseases related to asbestos. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 170:691–715. [http://www.thoracic.org/sections/publications/statements/pages/eoh/asbestos.html]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

ASTM (American Society of Testing Materials) [2006]. Work Item WK3160 "New Standard Test Method for Sampling and Counting Airborne Fibers, Including Asbestos Fibers, in Mines and Quarries, by Phase Contrast Microscopy," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry) [2001]. Toxicological profile for asbestosis. [http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp61.html]. Date accessed: January 26, 2007.

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry) [2003]. Report on the expert panel on health effects of asbestos and synthetic vitreous fibers: The influence of fiber length. Report prepared by Eastern Research Group, Inc. [http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/asbestospanel/]. Date accessed: January 26, 2007.

Aung W, Hasegawa S, Furukawa T, Saga T [2007]. Potential role of ferritin heavy chain in oxidative stress and apoptosis in human mesothelial and mesothelioma cells: implications for asbestos-induced oncogenesis. Carcinogenesis 28:2047–2052.

Axelson O, Steenland K [1988]. Indirect methods of assessing the effects of tobacco use in occupational studies. Am J Ind Med 13:105–118.

Axelson O [1989]. Confounding from smoking in occupational epidemiology. Br J Ind Med 46:505–507.

Bang KM, Pinheiro GA, Wood JM, Syamlal G [2006]. Malignant mesothelioma mortality in the United States, 1999–2001. Int J Occup Environ Health 12:9–15.

Baris YI, Grandjean P [2006]. Prospective study of mesothelioma mortality in Turkish villages with exposure to fibrous zeolite. J Natl Cancer Inst 98:414–417.

Bariş B, Demir AU, Shehu V, Karakoca Y, Kisacik G, Bariş YI [1996]. Environmental fibrous zeolite (erionite) exposure and malignant tumors other than mesothelioma. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 15:183–189.

Baris YI, Saracci R, Simonato L, Skidmore JW, Artvinli M [1981]. Malignant mesothelioma and radiological chest abnormalities in two villages in Central Turkey. An epidemiological and environmental investigation. Lancet 1:984–987.

Baron P [1996]. Application of the thoracic sampling definition to fiber measurement. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 57:820–824.

Barrett CJ [1994]. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of asbestos carcinogenicity: implications for biopersistence. Environ Health Perspect 102:19–23.

Beckett ST, Jarvis JL [1979]. A study of the size distribution of airborne amosite fibers in the manufacture of asbestos insulating boards. Ann Occup Hyg 22:273–284.

Bellmann B, Muhle H, Pott F, Konig H, Kloppel H, Spurny K [1987]. Persistence of man-made mineral fibres (MMMF) and asbestos in rat lungs. Ann Occup Hyg 31:693–709.

Bergstrand H [1990]. The generation of reactive oxygen-derived species by phagocytes. Agents Actions Suppl 30:199–211.

Berman DW, Crump KS, Chatfield EJ, Davis JMG, Jones AD [1995]. The sizes, shapes, and mineralogy of asbestos structures that induce lung tumors or mesothelioma in AF/HAN rats following inhalation. Risk Anal 15:181–195.

Bernstein DM, Morscheidt C, Grimm HG, Thevenaz P, Teichert U [1996]. Evaluation of soluble fibers using the inhalation biopersistence model, a nine-fiber comparison. Inhal Toxicol 8:345–385.

Bernstein DM, Sintes JMR, Ersboell BK, Kunert J [2001]. Biopersistence of synthetic mineral fibers as a predictor of chronic inhalation toxicity in rats. Inhal Toxicol 13:823–849.

Bernstein DM, Donaldson K, Decker U, Gaering S, Kunzendorf P, Chevalier J, Holm SE [2008]. Biopersistence study following exposure to chrysotile asbestos alone or in combination with fine particles. Inhal Toxicol 20:1009-1028.

Bertino P, Marconi A, Palumbo L, Bruni BM, Barbone D, Germano S, Dogan AU, Tassi GF, Porta C, Mutti L, Gaudino G [2007]. Erionite and asbestos differently cause transformation of human mesothelial cells. Int J Cancer 121:12–20.

Blair A, Stewart P, Lubin JH, Forastiere F [2007]. Methodological issues regarding confounding and exposure misclassification in epidemiological studies of occupational exposures. Am J Ind Med 50:199–207.

Blake T, Castranova V, Schwegler-Berry D, Baron P, Deye GJ, Li C, Jones W [1998]. Effect of fiber length on glass microfiber cytotoxicity. J Toxicol Environ Health 54:243–259.

Boettcher AL [1966]. The Rainy Creek igneous complex near Libby, Montana [PhD dissertation]. University Park, PA, The Pennsylvania State University.

Bonneau L, Malard C, Pezerat H [1986]. Role of dimensional characteristics and surface properties of mineral fibers in the induction of pleural tumors. Environ Res 41:268–275.

Brain JD, Godleski J, Kreyling W [1994]. *In vivo* evaluation of chemical biopersistence of nonfibrous inorganic particles. Environ Health Perspect 102(Suppl 5):119–125.

British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee [2001]. Statement on malignant mesothelioma in the United Kingdom. Thorax 56:250–265.

Brody AR, Hill LH [1983]. Interactions of chrysotile asbestos with erythrocyte membranes. Environ Health Perspect 51:85–89.

Brown DM, Beswick PH, Donaldson K [1999]. Induction of nuclear translocation of NF-κB in epithelial cells by respirable mineral fibres. J Pathol 189:258–264.

Brown DP, Dement JM, Wagoner JK [1979]. Mortality patterns among miners and millers occupationally exposed to asbestiform talc. In: Lemen R, Dement J, eds. Dusts and Disease: Occupational and Environmental Exposures to Selected Fibrous and Particulate Dusts. Park Forest South, IL: Pathotox Publishers, Inc., pp. 317–324.

Brown DP, Sanderson W, Fine LJ [1990]. NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation Report. R. T. Vanderbilt Company, Gouverneur, New York. HETA 90-390-2065, MHETA 86-012-2065. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/hhe/reports/pdfs/1990-0390-2065.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Brown DP, Kaplan SD, Zumwalde RD, Kaplowitz M, Archer VE [1986]. Retrospective cohort mortality study of underground gold mine workers. In: Goldsmith D, Winn D, Shy C, eds. Silica, Silicosis, and Lung Cancer. New York: Praeger, pp. 335–350.

Brunner WM, Williams AN, Bender AP [2008]. Investigation of exposures to commercial asbestos in northeastern Minnesota iron miners who developed mesothelioma. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 52(Suppl 1):S116–S120

Campbell WJ, Steel EB, Virta RL, Eisner MH [1979]. Relationship of mineral habit to size characteristics of tremolite cleavage fragments and fibers. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations #8367.

Carbone M, Bedrossian CW [2006]. The pathogenesis of mesothelioma. Semin Diagn Pathol 23:56–60.

Carbone M, Kratzke RA, Testa JR [2002]. The pathogenesis of mesothelioma. Semin Oncol 29:2–17.

Cardinali G, Kovacs D, Maresca V, Flori E, Dell'Anna ML, Campopiano A, Casciardi S, Spagnoli G, Torrisi MR, Picardo M [2006]. Differential *in vitro* cellular response induced by exposure to synthetic vitreous fibers (SVFs) and asbestos crocidolite fibers. Exp Mol Pathol 81:31–41.

Chen C, Baron PA [1996]. Aspiration efficiency and wall deposition in the fiber sampling cassette. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 57:142–152.

Chen W, Hnizdo E, Chen JQ, Attfield MD, Gao P, Hearl F, Lu J, Wallace WE [2005]. Risk of silicosis in cohorts of Chinese tin and tungsten miners, and pottery workers (I): an epidemiological study. Am J Ind Med 48:1–9.

Chisholm, JE [1973]. Planar defects in fibrous amphiboles. J. Materials Sci. 8:475-483.

Churg A, Stevens B [1995]. Enhanced retention of asbestos fibers in the airways of human smokers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 151:1409–1413.

Churg A, Wright JL, Hobson J, Stevens B [1992]. Effects of cigarette smoke on the clearance of short asbestos fibres from the lung and a comparison with the clearance of long asbestos fibres. Int J Exp Pathol 73:287–297.

Comba P, Gianfagna A, Paoletti L [2003]. Pleural mesothelioma cases in Biancavilla are related to a new fluoro-edenite fibrous amphibole. Arch Environ Health 58:229–232.

Constantopoulos SH, Saratzis NA, Kontogiannis D, Karantanas A, Goudevenos JA, Katsiotis P [1987]. Tremolite whitewashing and pleural calcifications. Chest 92:709–712.

Cooper WC, Wong O, Graebner R [1988]. Mortality of workers in two Minnesota taconite mining and milling operations. J Occup Med 30:506–511.

Cooper WC, Wong O, Trent LS, Harris F [1992]. An updated study of taconite miners and millers exposed to silica and nonasbestiform amphiboles. J Occup Med 34:1173–1180.

Crawford NP, Thorpe HL, Alexander W [1982]. A Comparison of the Effects of Different Counting Rules and Aspect Ratios on the Level and Reproducibility of

Asbestos Fiber Counts. Part I: Effects on Level. Institute of Occupational Medicine, Edinburgh, UK.

Cullen MR [2005]. Serum osteopontin levels—Is it time to screen asbestos-exposed workers for pleural mesothelioma. N Engl J Med 353:1617–1618.

Cullen RT, Miller BG, Davis JMG, Brown DM, Donaldson K [1997]. Short-term inhalation and *in vitro* tests as predictors of fiber pathogenicity. Environ Health Perspect 105(suppl):1235–1240.

Cummins AB, Palmer C, Mossman BT, Taatjes DJ [2003]. Persistent localization of activated extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1/2) is epithelial cell-specific in an inhalation model of asbestosis. Am J Pathol 162:713–720.

Dai YT, Yu CP [1988]. Alveolar deposition of fibers in rodents and humans. J Aerosol Med 11:247–258.

Davis JMG [1994]. The role of clearance and dissolution in determining the durability or biopersistence of mineral fibers. Environ Health Perspect 102:113–117.

Davis JM, Addison J, Bolton RE, Donaldson K, Jones AD [1986]. Inhalation and injection studies in rats using dust samples from chrysotile asbestos prepared by a wet dispersion process. Br J Exp Pathol 67:113–129.

Davis JM, Addison J, McIntosh C, Miller BG, Niven K [1991]. Variations in the carcinogenicity of tremolite dust samples of differing morphology. Ann NY Acad Sci 643:473–490.

Davis LK, Martin TR, Kligler B [1992]. Use of death certificates for mesothelioma surveillance. Public Health Rep 107:481–483.

Davis JMG, Brown DM, Cullen RT, Donaldson K, Jones AD, Miller BC, Mcintosh C, Searl A [1996]. A comparison of methods of determining and predicting the pathogenicity of mineral fibers. Inhal Toxicol 8:747–770.

Dement JM, Wallingford KE [1990]. Comparison of phase contrast and electron microscopic methods for evaluation of occupational asbestos exposures. Appl Occup Environ Hyg 5:242–247.

Dement JM, Brown DP, Okun A [1994]. Follow-up study of chrysotile asbestos textile workers: cohort mortality and case-control analyses. Am J Ind Med 26:431–447.

Dement JM, Kuempel E, Zumwalde R, Smith R, Stayner L, Loomis D [2008]. Development of a fibre size-specific job-exposure matrix for airborne asbestos fibres. Occup Environ Med 65: 605–612.

Dement JM, Zumwalde RD, Wallingford KM [1976]. Discussion paper: Asbestos fiber exposures in a hard rock gold mine. Ann NY Acad Sci 271:345–352.

De Vuyst P, Karjalainen A, Dumortier P, Pairon J-C, Monsó E, Brochard P, Teschler H, Tossavainen A, Gibbs A [1998]. Guidelines for mineral fibre analyses in biological samples: report of the ERS Working Group. Eur Respir J 11:1416–1426.

De Vuyst P, Gevenois PA [2002]. Asbestosis. In: Hendrick DJ, Burge PS, Beckett WS, Churg A, eds. Occupational Disorders of the Lung: Recognition, Management, and Prevention. Oxford, UK: WB Saunders, pp. 143–162.

Deye GJ, Gao P, Baron PA, Fernback J [1999]. Performance evaluation of a fiber length classifier. Aerosol Sci Technol 30:420–437.

Ding M, Dong Z, Chen F, Pack D, Ma WY, Ye J, Shi X, Castranova V, Vallyathan V [1999]. Asbestos induces activator protein-1 transactivation in transgenic mice. Cancer Res 59:1884–1889.

Dodson RF, Atkinson MAL, Levin JL [2003]. Asbestos fiber length as related to potential pathogenicity: A critical review. Am J Ind Med 44:291–297.

Dogan AU, Baris YI, Dogan M, Emri S, Steele I, Elmishad AG, Carbone M [2006]. Genetic predisposition to fiber carcinogenesis causes a mesothelioma epidemic in Turkey. Cancer Res 66:5063–5068.

Dogan AU, Dogan M [2008]. Re-evaluation and re-classification of erionite series minerals. Environ Geochem Health 4:355–366.

Donaldson K, Tran CL [2002]. Inflammation caused by particles and fibers. Inhal Toxicol 14:5–27.

Dorling M, Zussman J [1987]. Characteristics of asbestiform and non-asbestiform calcic amphiboles. Lithos 20:469–489.

Driscoll KE, Carter JM, Borm PJA [2002]. Antioxidant defense mechanisms and the toxicity of fibrous and nonfibrous particles. Inhal Toxicol 14:101–118.

Driscoll KE, Carter JM, Howard BW, Hassenbein D, Janssen YM, Mossman BT [1998].

Crocidolite activates NF-κB and MIP-2 gene expression in rat alveolar epithelial cells. Role of mitochondrial-derived oxidants. Environ Health Perspect 106(Suppl 5):1171–1174.

Drumm K, Messner C, Kienast K [1999]. Reactive oxygen intermediate-release of fibre-exposed monocytes increases inflammatory cytokine-mRNA level, protein tyrosine kinase and NF-κB activity in co-cultured bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B). Eur J Med Res 4:257–263.

Egerton RF [2005]. Physical Principles of Electron Microscopy: An Introduction to TEM, SEM, and AEM. Springer, 202 pp.

Enterline PE, Henderson VL [1987]. Geographic patterns for pleural mesothelioma deaths in the United States, 1968–81. J Natl Cancer Inst 79:31–37.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) [1986]. Airborne asbestos health assessment update. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Health and Environment Assessment. EPA/600/8-84/003F. [http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyNET.exe/20009EBT.TXT?ZyActionD=ZyDocument&Client=EPA&Index=1981+Thru+1985&Docs=&Query=&Time=&EndTime=&SearchMethod=1&TocRestrict=n&Toc=&TocEntry=&QField=pubnumber%5E%22600884003F%22&QFieldYear=&QFieldMonth=&QFieldDay=&UseQField=pubnumber&IntQFieldOp=1&ExtQFieldOp=1&XmlQuery=&File=D%3A%5Czyfiles%5CIndex%20Data%5C81thru85%5CTXT%5C00000002%5C20009EBT.TXT&User=ANONYMOUS&Password=anonymous&SortMethod=h%7C-&MaximumDocuments=10&FuzzyDegree=0&ImageQuality=r75g8/r75g8/x150y150g16/i425&Display=p%7Cf&DefSeekPage=x&SearchBack=ZyActionL&Back=ZyActionS&BackDesc=Results%20page&MaximumPages=1&ZyEntry=1&SeekPage=x]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) [1987]. Asbestos-containing materials in schools; final rule and notice. 40 CFR Part 763. Fed Regist 52:41826–41905.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) [2000]. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel Meeting, September 26, 2000. Test Guidelines for Chronic Inhalation Toxicity and Carcinogenicity of Fibrous Particles, SAP Report N. 2001-01, January 5, 2001. [http://www.epa.gov/scipoly/sap/meetings/2000/september/final_fibers.pdf]. Date accessed: November 16, 2006.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) [2001]. OPPTS 870.8355 Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity testing of respirable fibrous particles. EPA 712-C01-352. [http://www.epa.gov/opptsfrs/home/guidelin.htm]. Date accessed: January 15, 2010.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) [2003]. Report on the Peer Consultation Workshop to Discuss a Proposed Protocol to Assess Asbestos-Related Risk, Final Report. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington D.C. p. viii. [http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/asbestos/pdfs/asbestos_report.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

European Commission [1997]. Commission Directive 97/69/EC of 5.XII.97 (23 adaptation) O.J. L 343/1997.

European Commission [1999]. Sub-chronic inhalation toxicity of synthetic mineral fibres in rats (ECB/TM/16 (97) Rev 1). In: Bernstein DM, Riego-Sintes JM, eds. Methods for the determination of the hazardous properties for human health of man made mineral fibres (MMMF). European Commission Joint Research Centre, report EUR 18748 EN (1999). [http://ecb.jrc.it/testing-methods]. Date accessed: November 16, 2006.

European Parliament and Council [2003]. Directive 2003/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 March 2003 amending Council Directive 83/477/EEC on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to asbestos at work. Official Journal of the European Union, 15 April 2003, L97/48-52.

Faux SP, Houghton CE, Hubbard A, Patrick G [2000]. Increased expression of epidermal growth factor receptor in rat pleural mesothelial cells correlates with carcinogenicity of mineral fibres. Carcinogenesis 21:2275–2280.

Faux SP, Howden PJ, Levy LS [1994]. Iron-dependent formation of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in isolated DNA and mutagenicity in Salmonella typhimurium TA102 induced by crocidolite. Carcinogenesis 15:1749–1751.

Franzblau A, Kazerooni EA, Sen A, Goodsitt M, Lee S-Y, Rosenman K, Lockey J, Meyer C, Gillespie B, Wang ML, Petsonk EL [2009]. Comparison of digital radiographs with film radiographs for the classification of pneumoconiosis. Acad Radiol 16:669-677.

Friesen MC, Davies HW, Tescheke K, Ostry AS, Hertzman C, Demers PA [2007]. Impact of the specificity of the exposure metric on exposure-response relationships. Epidemiol 18:88–94.

Fubini B [1993]. The possible role of surface chemistry in the toxicity of inhaled fibers. In: Warheit DB, ed., Fiber Toxicology. Boston: Academic Press, pp. 229–257.

Gamble JF [1993]. A nested case control study of lung cancer among New York talc workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 64:449–456.

Gamble JF, Gibbs GW [2008]. An evaluation of the risks of lung cancer and mesothelioma from exposure to amphibole cleavage fragments. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 52:S154–S186.

Gendek EG, Brody AR [1990]. Changes in lipid ordering of model phospholipid membranes treated with chrysotile and crocidolite asbestos. Environ Res 53:152–167.

Gillam J, Dement J, Lemen R, Wagoner J, Archer V, Blejer H [1976]. Mortality patterns among hard rock gold miners exposed to an asbestiform mineral. Ann NY Acad Sci 271:336–344.

Gilmour PS, Beswick PH, Brown DM, Donaldson K [1995]. Detection of surface free radical activity of respirable industrial fibers using supercoiled phi X174 plasmid DNA. Carcinogenesis 16:2973–2979.

Goldstein J [2003]. Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-ray Microanalysis. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 689 pp.

Goodglick LA, Kane AB [1986]. Role of reactive oxygen metabolites in crocidolite asbestos toxicity to mouse macrophages. Cancer Res 46:5558–5566.

Green GM [1973]. Alveolobronchiolar transport mechanisms. Arch Intern Med 131:109–114.

Green FHY, Harley R, Vallyathan V, Althouse R, Fick G, Dement J, Mitha R, Pooley F [1997]. Exposure and mineralogical correlates of pulmonary fibrosis in chrysotile asbestos workers. Occup Environ Med 54:549–559.

Greim HA [2004]. Research needs to improve risk assessment of fiber toxicity. Mut Res 553:11–22.

Griffis LC, Pickrell JA, Carpenter RL, Wolff RK, McAllen SJ, Yerkes, KL [1983]. Deposition of crocidolite asbestos and glass microfibers inhaled by the beagle dog. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 44:216–222.

Gross P, DeTreville RT, Tolker EB, Kaschak M, Babyak MA [1967]. Experimental asbestosis. The development of lung cancer in rats with pulmonary deposits of chrysotile asbestos dust. Arch Environ Health 15:343–355.

Guthrie GD [1997]. Mineral properties and their contributions to particle toxicity. Environ Health Perspect 105(Suppl 5):1003–1011.

Hansen K, Mossman B [1987]. Generation of superoxide (O_2-·) from alveolar macrophages exposed to asbestiform and nonfibrous particles. Cancer Res 47:1681–1686.

Harper M, Bartolucci A [2003]. Preparation and examination of proposed consensus reference standards for fiber-counting. AIHA J 64:283–287.

Harper M, Lee EG, Harvey B, Beard M [2007]. The effect of a proposed change to fiber-counting rules in ASTM International Standard D7200-06. J Occup Environ Hyg 4:D42–45.

Harper M, Slaven JE, Pang TWS [2009]. Continued participation in an asbestos fiber-counting proficiency test with relocatable grid slides. J Environ Monit 11:434–438.

Harper M, Lee EG, Doorn SS, Hammond O [2008b]. Differentiating non-asbestiform amphibole and amphibole asbestos by size characteristics. J Occup Environ Hyg 5:761–770.

Hansen K, Mossman B [1987]. Generation of superoxide (O_2-) from alveolar macrophages exposed to asbestiform and nonfibrous particles. Cancer Res 47:1681–1686.

HEI (Health Effects Institute) – Asbestos Research [1991]. Asbestos in public and commercial buildings: A literature review and synthesis of current knowledge. Cambridge, MA. [http://www.asbestos-institute.ca/reviews/hei-ar/hei-ar.html]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Hein M, Stayner LT, Lehman E, Dement J [2007]. Follow-up study of chrysotile textile workers: cohort mortality and exposure response. Occup Environ Med 64:616–625.

Henderson DW, Jones ML, deKlerk N, Leigh J, Musk AW, Shilkin KB, Williams VM [2004]. The diagnosis and attribution of asbestos-related diseases in an Australian context. Int J Occup Environ Health 10:40–46.

Hesterberg TW, Barrett JC [1984]. Dependence of asbestos- and mineral dust-induced transformation of mammalian cells in culture on fiber dimension. Cancer Res 44:2170–2180.

Hesterberg TW, Hart GA [2000]. Lung biopersistence and *in vitro* dissolution rate predict the pathogenic potential of synthetic vitreous fibers. Inhal Toxicol 31:91–97.

HHS (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services) [2005a]. National Toxicology Program Report on Carcinogens, Eleventh Edition. Washington, DC. http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/eleventh/profiles/s016asbe.pdf. Date accessed: December 28, 2006.

HHS (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services) [2005b]. National Toxicology Program Report on Carcinogens, Eleventh Edition. Washington, DC. [http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/eleventh/profiles/s083erio.pdf]. Date accessed: November 16, 2006.

Higgins ITT, Glassman JH, Oh MS, and Cornell RG [1983]. Mortality of Reserve Mining Company employees in relation to taconite dust exposure. Am J Epidemiol 118:710–719.

Hill GD, Mangum JB, Moss OR, Everitt JI [2003]. Soluble ICAM-1, MCP-1, and MIP-2 protein secretion by rat pleural mesothelial cells following exposure to amosite asbestos. Exp Lung Res 29:277–290.

Hill IM, Beswick PH, Donaldson K [1996]. Enhancement of the macrophage oxidative burst by immunoglobulin coating of respirable fibers: fiber-specific differences between asbestos and man-made fibers. Exp Lung Res 22:133–148.

Hodgson JT, Darnton H [2000]. The quantitative risks of mesothelioma and lung cancer in relation to asbestos exposure. Ann Occup Hyg 44:565–601.

Hochella MF [1993]. Surface chemistry, structure, and reactivity of hazardous mineral dust. In: Guthrie GD, Mossman BT, eds. Health Effects of Mineral Dusts. Washington, DC: Mineralogical Society of America, Reviews in Mineralogy Vol 28, pp. 275–308.

Holmes S [1965]. Developments in dust sampling and counting techniques in the asbestos industry. Ann. NY Acad Sci 132:288–297.

Honda Y, Beall C, Delzell E, Oestenstad K, Brill I, Matthews R [2002]. Mortality among workers at a talc mining and milling facility. Ann Occup Hyg 46:575–585.

HSE (Health and Safety Executive) [1995]. Asbestos Fibres in Air: Sampling and Evaluation by Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM) under the Control of Asbestos at Work Regulations (MDHS 39/4). Sudbury: HSE Books

Hull MJ, Abraham JL, Case BW [2002]. Mesothelioma among workers in asbestiform fiver-bearing talc mines in New York State. Ann Occup Hyg 46:132–132.

Hume LA, Rimstidt JD [1992]. The biodurability of chrysotile asbestos. Am Mineral 77:1125–1128.

Huuskonen O, Kivisaari L, Zitting A, Taskinen K, Tossavainen A, Vehmas T [2001]. High-resolution computed tomography classification of lung fibrosis for patients with asbestos-related disease. Scand J Work Environ Health 27:106–112.

Hwang C-Y, Gibbs GW [1981]. The dimensions of airborne asbestos fibres - I. crocidolite from Kuruman area, Cape Province, South Africa. Ann Occup Hyg 24:23–41.

Iakhiaev A, Pendurthi U, Idell S [2004]. Asbestos induces tissue factor in Beas-2B human lung bronchial epithelial cells *in vitro*. Lung 182:251–264.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [1977]. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Man, Vol. 14, Asbestos, Lyon, France pp. 11–106.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [1987a]. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans, Vol. 42, Silica and Some Silicates, Lyon, France pp. 33–249.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [1987b]. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Suppl. 7, Overall Evaluations of Carcinogenicity: An Updating of IARC Monographs, Vols. 1–42, Lyon, France pp. 106–117, 203.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [1997]. IARC Summaries & Evaluations: Zeolites Other Than Erionite (Group 3). [http://www.inchem.org/documents/iarc/vol68/zeol.html]. Date accessed: December 21, 2009.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [2002]. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: Man-made Vitreous Fibers. Vol. 81. Lyon, France. [http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol81/mono81.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

ICRP (International Commission on Radiation Protection) [1994]. Human respiratory tract model for radiological protection. ICRP publication 66 (1994) *Ann. ICRP* (UK), vol. 24 Nos. 1-3.

Ilgren EB, Browne K [1991]. Asbestos-related mesothelioma: evidence for a threshold in animals and humans. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 13:116–132.

ILO (International Labour Office) [2002]. Guidelines for the Use of the ILO International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses, Revised Edition 2000 (Occupational Safety and Health Series, No. 22). International Labour Office: Geneva.

ILSI (International Life Sciences Institute) [2005]. Testing of fibrous particles: short-term assays and strategies. Report of an ILSI Risk Science Institute Working Group. Inhal Toxicol 17:497–537.

IMA-NA (Industrial Minerals Association-North America) [2005]. Submission to MSHA RIN 1219-AB24 - Proposed Rule - Asbestos Exposure Limit. November 21, 2005. [http://www.msha.gov/regs/comments/05-14510/1219-ab24-comm-107.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

IOM (Institute of Medicine of the National Academies) Committee on Asbestos: Selected Health Effects [2006]. Asbestos: Selected Cancers. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC. [http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=11665&page=R1]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

ISO (International Organization for Standardization) [1993]. Air quality—Determination of the number concentration of airborne inorganic fibres by phase contrast optical microscopy - membrane filter method. ISO 8672, ISO Geneva.

ISO (International Organization for Standardization) [1995a]. Air quality – particle size fraction definitions for health-related sampling. ISO 7708, ISO Geneva.

ISO (International Organization for Standardization) [1995b]. Ambient air – determination of asbestos fibres —direct-transfer transmission electron microscopy method. ISO 10312, ISO Geneva.

ISO (International Organization for Standardization) [1999]. Ambient air – determination of asbestos fibres —indirect-transfer transmission electron microscopy method. ISO 13794, ISO Geneva.

ISO (International Organization for Standardization) [2002]. Ambient air – determination of numerical concentration of inorganic fibrous particles - scanning electron microscopy method. ISO 14966, ISO Geneva.

Iwagaki A, Choe N, Li Y, Hemenway DR, Kagan E [2003]. Asbestos inhalation induces tyrosine nitration associated with extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 activation in the rat lung. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 28:51–60.

Janssen Y, Heintz N, Marsh J, Borm P, Mossman B [1994]. Induction of c-fos and c-jun proto-oncogenes in target cells of the lung and pleura by carcinogenic fibers. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 11:522–530.

Järvholm B, Englund A, Albin M [1999]. Pleural mesothelioma in Sweden: an analysis of the incidence according to the use of asbestos. Occup Environ Med 56:110–113.

Jaurand MC [1991]. Mechanisms of fiber genotoxicity. In: Brown RC, Hoskins JA, Johnson NF, eds. Mechanisms in Fiber Carcinogenesis. New York: Plenum, pp. 287–307.

Jaurand MC [1997]. Mechanisms of fiber-induced genotoxicity. Environ Health Perspect 105 (Suppl 5):1073–1084.

Jaurand MC, Baillif P, Thomassin JH, Magne L, Touray JC [1983]. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and chemical study of the adsorption of biological molecules on the chrysotile asbestos surface. J Colloid Interface Sci 95:1–9.

Jaurand MC, Thomassin JH, Baillif P, Magne L, Touray JC, Bignon J [1980]. Chemical and photoelectron spectrometry analysis of the adsorption of phospholipid model membranes and red blood cell membranes on to chrysotile fibres. Br J Ind Med 37:169–174.

Jones AD, Aitken RJ, Fabriès JF, Kauffer E, Lidén G, Maynard A, Riediger G, Sahle W [2005]. Thoracic size-selective sampling of fibres: performance of four types of thoracic sampler in laboratory tests. Ann Occup Hyg 49:481–492.

Jones HA, Hamacher K, Hill AA, Clark JC, Krausz T, Boobis AR, Haslett C [1997]. 18-F fluoroproline (18FP) uptake monitored *in vivo* in a rabbit model of pulmonary fibrosis. [Abstract]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 155:A185.

Jurinski JB, Rimstidt JD [2001]. Biodurability of talc. Am Mineral 86:392–399.

Kane AB [1991]. Fiber dimensions and mesothelioma: a reappraisal of the Stanton hypothesis. In: Brown RC, Hoskins, JA Johnson NF, eds. Mechanisms in Fibre Carcinogenesis. New York: Plenum, pp. 131–141.

Kane AB [1996]. Mechanisms of mineral fibre carcinogenesis. In: Kane AB, Saracci R, Weilbourn JD, eds. Mechanisms of Fibre Carcinogenesis, Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer, IARC Science Publications No. 140, pp. 11–34.

Karakoca Y, Emri S, Cangur AK, Baris YI [1997]. Environmental pleural plaques due to asbestos and fibrous zeolite exposure in Turkey. Indoor Built Environ 6:100–105.

Keane MJ, Stephens JW, Zhong BZ, Miller WE, Wallace WE [1999]. A study of the effect of chrysotile fiber surface composition on genotoxicity *in vitro*. J Toxicol Environ Health 57:529–541.

Kelse JW [2005]. White Paper: Asbestos, health risk and tremolitic talc. RT Vanderbilt Co. Inc., Norwalk, CT.

Kenny LC, Rood AP [1987]. A direct measurement of the visibility of amosite asbestos fibres by phase contrast optical microscopy. Ann Occup Hyg 31:261–264.

Kleinfeld M, Messite J, Kooyman O, Zaki M [1967]. Mortality among talc miners and millers in New York State. Arch Environ Health 14:663–667.

Kleinfeld M, Messite J, Tabershaw IR [1955]. Talc pneumoconiosis. AMA Arch Ind Health 12:66–72.

Kleinfeld M, Messite J, Zacki MH [1974]. Mortality experiences among talc workers: a followup study. J Occup Med 16:345–349.

Kliment CR, Clemens K, Oury TD [2009]. North American erionite-associated mesothelioma with pleural plaques and pulmonary fibrosis: a case report. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2:407–410.

Kraus T, Raithel HJ, Hering KG, Lehnert G [1996]. Evaluation and classification of high-resolution computed tomographic findings in patients with pneumoconiosis. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 68:249–254.

Kuempel ED, O'Flaherty EJ, Stayner LT, Smith RJ, Green FHY, Vallyathan V [2001]. A biomathematical model of particle clearance and retention in the lungs of coal miners: Part I. Model development. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 34:69–87.

Kuempel ED, Stayner LT, Dement JD, Gilbert SJ, Hein MJ [2006]. Fiber size-specific exposure estimates and updated mortality analysis of chrysotile asbestos textile workers. [Abstract #349]. Toxicol Sci 90:71.

Lamm SH, Starr JA. [1988] Similarities in lung cancer and respiratory disease mortality of Vermont and New York State talc workers. In: Proceedings of the VIIth International Pneumoconioses Conference, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 90-108 Part II, pp1576-1581.

Lamm SH, Levine MS, Starr JA, Tirey SL [1988]. Analysis of excess lung cancer risk in short-term employees. Am J Epidemiol 127:1202–1209.

Langer AM, Nolan RP, and Addison J [1991]. Distinguishing between amphibole asbestos fibers and elongate cleavage fragments of their non-asbestos analogues. In: Brown RC, Hoskins JA, Johnson NF, eds. Mechanisms in Fibre Carcinogenesis. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 231–251.

Larsen ES [1942]. Alkalic rocks of Iron Hill, Gunnison County, Colorado. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 197-A, 64p.

Lawler AB, Mandel JS, Schuman LM, Lubin JH [1985]. A retrospective cohort mortality study of iron ore (hematite) miners in Minnesota. J Occup Med 27:507–517.

Leake BE [1978]. Nomenclature of amphiboles. Can Mineral 16:501–520.

Leake BE, Woolley AR, Arps CES, Birch WD, Gilbert CM, Grice JD, Hawthorne FC, Kato A, Kisch HF, Krivovichev VG, Linthout K, Laird J, Mandarino JA, Maresch WV, Nickel EH, Rock NMS, Schumacher JC, Smith DC, Stephenson NCN, Ungaretti L, Whittaker EJW, Youzhi G [1997]. Nomenclature of the amphiboles: report of the Subcommittee on Amphiboles of the International Mineralogical Association Commission on new minerals and mineral names. Can Mineral 35:219–246.

Lee EG, Harper M, Nelson J, Hintz PJ, Andrew ME [2008]. A comparison of the CATHIA-T sampler, the GK2.69 cyclone and the standard cowled sampler for thoracic fiber concentrations at a taconite ore-processing mill. Ann Occup Hyg 52:55–62.

Lee YCG, deKlerk NH, Henderson DK, Musk AW [2002]. Malignant mesothelioma. In: Hendrick DJ, Burge PS, Beckett WS, Churg A, eds. Occupational Disorders of the Lung: Recognition, Management, and Prevention. Oxford, UK: WB Saunders, pp. 359–379.

Leineweber JP [1984]. Solubility of fibers *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In: Biological Effects of Man-Made Mineral Fibers, Vol. 2. Copenhagen: World Health Organization, pp. 87–101.

Light WG, Wei ET [1977a]. Surface charge and hemolytic activity of asbestos. Environ Res 13:135–145.

Light WG, Wei ET [1977b]. Surface charge and asbestos toxicity. Nature 265:537–539.

Lilienfeld DE, Mandel JS, Coin P, Schuman LM [1988]. Projection of asbestos related diseases in the United States, 1985–2009. I. Cancer. Br J Ind Med 45:283–291.

Lippmann M [1988]. Asbestos exposure indices. Environ Res 46:86–106.

Lippmann M [1990]. Effects of fiber characteristics on lung deposition, retention, and disease. Environ Health Perspect 88:311–317.

Lippmann M, Esch JL [1988]. Effect of lung airway branching pattern and gas composition on particle deposition. I. Background and literature review. Exp Lung Res 14:311–320.

Lippmann M, Schlesinger RB [1984]. Interspecies comparisons of particle deposition and mucociliary clearance in tracheobronchial airways. J Toxicol Environ Health 14:141–169

Lippmann M, Yeates, Albert RE [1980]. Deposition, retention, and clearance of inhaled particles. Br J Ind Med 37:337–362.

Lowers H, Meeker G [2002]. Tabulation of Asbestos-Related Terminology Open-File Report 02-458, 70 pp. [http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-458/]. Date accessed: December 21, 2009.

Lu J, Keane MJ, Ong T, Wallace WE [1994]. *In vitro* genotoxicity studies of chrysotile asbestos fibers dispersed in simulated pulmonary surfactant. Mutat Res 320:253–259.

Luce D, Bugel I, Goldberg P, Goldberg M, Salomon C, Billon-Galland MA, Nicolau J, Quénel P, Fevotte J, Brochard P [2000]. Environmental exposure to tremolite and respiratory cancer in New Caledonia: a case-control study. Am J Epidemiol 151:259–265.

Lynch JR, Ayer HE, Johnson DL [1970]. The interrelationships of selected asbestos exposure indices. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 31:598–604.

Mandel J (mand0125@umn.edu) [2008]. Question about Conwed. Private e-mail message to Paul Middendorf (pkm2@cdc.gov), February 27.

Maples KR, Johnson NF [1992]. Fiber-induced hydroxyl radical formation: correlation with mesothelioma induction in rats and humans. Carcinogenesis 13:2035–2039.

Marchant GE, Amen MA, Bullock CH, Carter CM, Johnson KA, Reynolds JW, Connelly FR, Crane AE [2002]. A synthetic vitreous fiber (SVF) occupational exposure database: Implementing the SVF health and safety partnership program. App Occup Environ Hyg 17:276–285.

Marconi A, Menichini E, Paolleti L [1984]. A comparison of light microscopy and transmission electron microscopy results in the evaluation of the occupational exposure to airborne chrysotile fibres. Ann Occup Hyg 28:321–331.

Marsh JP, Mossman BT [1988]. Mechanisms of induction of ornithine decarboxylase activity in tracheal epithelial cells by asbestiform minerals. Cancer Res 48:709–714.

Mast RW, Maxim LD, Utell MJ, Walker AM [2000]. Refractory ceramic fiber: toxicology, epidemiology, and risk analyses – a review. Inhal Toxicol 12:359–399.

Maxim LD, McConnell EE [2001]. Interspecies comparisons of the toxicity of asbestos and synthetic vitreous fibers: a weight-of-the-evidence approach. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 33:319–342.

Maynard A [2002]. Thoracic size-selection of fibres: dependence of penetration on fibre length for five thoracic samplers. Ann Occup Hyg 46:511–522.

Maynard A, Aitken RJ, Butz T, Colvin V, Donaldson, K, Oberdorster G, Philbert MA, Ryan J, Seaton A, Stone V, Tinkle SS, Tran L, Walker NG, Warheit D [2006]. Safe handling of nanotechnology. Nature 444:267–269.

McDonald JC, McDonald AD [1997]. Chrysotile, tremolite and carcinogenicity. Ann Occup Hyg 41:699–705.

McDonald JC, Gibbs GW, Liddel FDK, McDonald AD [1978]. Mortality after long exposure to cummingtonite-grunerite. Am Rev Respir Dis 118:271–277.

McDonald JC, Harris J, Armstrong B [2004]. Mortality in a cohort of vermiculite miners exposed to fibrous amphibole in Libby, Montana. Occup Environ Med 61:363–366.

MDH (Minnesota Department of Health) [2007]. Mesothelioma in Northeastern Minnesota and Two Occupational Cohorts: 2007 Update. Center for Occupational Health and Safety, Chronic Disease and Environmental Epidemiology Section, Minnesota Department of Health, St. Paul, MN. [http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/hpcd/cdee/mcss/documents/nemeso1207.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Meeker GP, Bern AM., Brownfield IK, Lowers HA, Sutley SJ, Hoefen TM, Vance JS [2003]. The composition and morphology of amphiboles from the Rainy Creek Complex, near Libby, Montana. Am Mineral 88:1955–1969.

Menvielle G, Luce D, Févotte J, Bugel I, Salomon C, Goldberg P, Billon-Galland MA, Goldberg M [2003]. Occupational exposures and lung cancer in New Caledonia. Occup Environ Med 60:584–589.

Mesothelioma Virtual Bank [2007]. Mesothelioma Tissue Resources Available for Your Research. (Website update November 29, 2007). [http://www.mesotissue.org/]. Date accessed: January 10, 2007.

Middendorf P, Graff R, Keller L, and Simmons C [2007]. National Occupational Exposure Database: AIHA-NIOSH alliance efforts to develop a pilot. American Industrial Hygiene Conference and Exhibition (AIHce), Philadelphia, PA.

Miller A [2007]. Radiographic readings for asbestosis: misuse of science—validation of the ILO classification. Am J Ind Med 50:63–67.

Miller AL, Hoover, MD, Mitchell DM, Stapleton BP [2007]. The Nanoparticle Information Library (NIL): A prototype for linking and sharing emerging data. J Occup Environ Hyg 4:D131–D134.

Moolgavkar SH, Brown RC, Turim J [2001]. Biopersistence, fiber length, and cancer risk assessment for inhaled fibers. Inhal Toxicol 13:755–772.

Morrow PE [1985]. Pulmonary clearance. In: Hatch TF, Esmen NA, Mehlman MA, eds. Advances in Modern Environmental Toxicology, Vol. VIII, Occupational and Industrial Hygiene: Concepts and Methods. Princeton: Princeton Scientific Publishers, pp. 183–202.

Mossman BT [2008]. Assessment of the pathogenic potential of asbestiform vs. nonasbestiform particulates (cleavage fragments) in *in vitro* (cell or organ culture) models and bioassays. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 52(Suppl 1):S200–S203.

Mossman BT, Marsh JP [1989]. Evidence supporting a role for active oxygen species in asbestos-induced toxicity and lung disease. Environ Health Perspect 81:91–94.

Mossman B, Sesko A [1990]. *In vitro* assays to predict the pathogenicity of mineral fibers. Toxicology 60:53–61.

Mossman BT, Borm PJ, Castranova V, Costa DL, Donaldson K, Kleeberger SR [2007]. Mechanisms of action of inhaled fibers, particles and nanoparticles in lung and cardiovascular diseases. Part Fibre Toxicol 4:4 doi:10.1186/1743-8977-4-4.

Mossman BT, Faux S, Janssen Y, Jimenez LA, Timblin C, Zanella C, Goldberg J, Walsh E, Barchowsky A, Driscoll K [1997]. Cell signaling pathways elicited by asbestos. Environ Health Perspect 105(Suppl 5):1121–1125.

Mossman BT, Jean L, Landesman JM [1983]. Studies using lectins to determine mineral interactions with cellular membranes. Environ Health Perspect 51:23–25.

Mossman BT, Lounsbury KM, Reddy SP [2006]. Oxidants and signaling by mitogen-activated protein kinases in lung epithelium. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 34:666–669.

MSHA (Mine Safety and Health Administration) [2002]. Mine employment and commodity data. Arlington, VA: U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Directorate of Program Evaluation and Information Resources. Unpublished. [http://www.msha.gov/STATS/STATINFO.htm]. Date accessed: August 13, 2008.

MSHA (Mine Safety and Health Administration) [2005]. Asbestos exposure limit; Proposed rule. Fed Regist July 29, 2005, pp. 43950–43989. [http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2005/pdf/05-14510.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

MSHA (Mine Safety and Health Administration) [2008]. Asbestos exposure limit; Final Rule. Fed Regist February 29, 2008, pp.11283–11304. [http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2008/pdf/E8-3828.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Muhle H, Pott F [2000]. Asbestos as a reference material for fiber-induced cancer. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 73:53–59.

Muhle H, Pott F, Bellmann B, Takenaka S, Ziem U [1987]. Inhalation and injection experiments in rats for testing MMMF on carcinogenicity. Ann Occup Hyg 31:755–764.

Myojo T [1999]. A simple method to determine the length distribution of fibrous aerosols. Aerosol Sci Technol 30:30–39.

Nagle JF [1993]. Area/lipid of bilayers from NMR. Biophys J 64:1476–1481.

NIOSH [1976]. Revised Recommended Asbestos Standard. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-169. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/77-169/]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [1980]. Occupational Exposure to Talc Containing Asbestos. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 80-115. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/review/public/099/pdfs/TalcContainingAsbestosTR.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [1990a]. Comments of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health on the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite. OSHA Docket No. H-033d, April 9, 1990. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/review/public/099/pdfs/AsbestosTestimony_April%209_1990.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [1990b]. Testimony of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health on the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite. OSHA Docket No. H-033d, May 9, 1990. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/review/public/099/pdfs/asbestos_testimony_May9.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [1994a]. Method 7400 'Asbestos and Other Fibers by PCM', Issue 2 (8/15/94). In: NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (Fourth Edition). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2003-154. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/pdfs/7400.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [1994b]. Method 7402 'Asbestos by TEM', Issue 2 (8/15/94). In: NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (Fourth Edition). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2003-154. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/pdfs/7402.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [1998]. Criteria for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Exposure to Metalworking Fluids. Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 98-102. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/98-102.html]. Date accessed: March 14, 2008.

NIOSH [2002]. Comments of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health on the Mine Safety and Health Administration Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Measuring and Controlling Asbestos Exposure. June 27, 2002. [http://www.msha.gov/regs/comments/asbestos/docket/comments/ab24comm-31.pdf]. Date accessed: June 29, 2008.

NIOSH [2003a]. Monitoring of Diesel Particulate Exhaust in the Workplace, Chapter Q. In: NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (Fourth Edition). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2003-154. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/pdfs/chapter-q.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [2003b]. Method 7603 'Quartz in Coal Mine Dust, by IR (redeposition)', Issue 3 (3/15/03). In: NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (Fourth Edition). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2003-154. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/pdfs/7603.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [2005]. Comments on the MSHA Proposed Rule on Asbestos Exposure Limit, October 13, 2005. [http://www.msha.gov/regs/comments/05-14510/1219-ab24-comm-103.pdf]. Date accessed: June 29, 2008.

NIOSH [2006]. Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-149. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NIOSH [2007a]. Asbestos: Geometric mean exposures by major industry division, MSHA and OSHA samples, 1979–2003. [http://www2a.cdc.gov/drds/WorldReportData/FigureTableDetails.asp?FigureTableID=503&GroupRefNumber=F01-05]. Date accessed: June 29, 2008.

NIOSH [2007b]. National Occupational Respiratory Mortality System (NORMS). [http://webappa.cdc.gov/ords/norms.html]. Date accessed: January 26, 2007.

NIOSH [2007c]. Chest Radiography: B Reader information for medical professionals. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/chestradiography/breader-info.html]. Date accessed: April 15, 2008.

NIOSH [2007d]. Chest Radiography: Ethical considerations for B Readers (Topic Page posted March 28, 2007). [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/chestradiography/breader-ethics.html]. Date accessed: April 15, 2008.

NIOSH [2007e]. Chest Radiography: Recommended Practices for Reliable Classification of Chest Radiographs by B Readers (Draft Topic Page posted March 28, 2007). Found at http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/chestradiography/radiographic-classification.html]. Date accessed: January 9, 2008.

NIOSH [2008a]. Application of the ILO International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses to Digital Chest Radiographic Images: A NIOSH Scientific Workshop. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2008-139. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2008-139/]. Date accessed: November 5, 2008.

NIOSH [2008b]. Strategic Plan for NIOSH Nanotechnology Research and Guidance: Filling the Knowledge Gaps. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/nanotech/strat_plan.html]. Date accessed: June 11, 2008.

Nolan RP, Langer AM, Oechsle GE, Addison J, and Colflesh DE [1991]. Association of tremolite habit with biological potential. In: Brown RC, Hoskins JA, Johnson NF, eds. Mechanisms in Fibre Carcinogenesis. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 231–251.

Nordmann M, Sorge A [1941]. Lungenkrebs durch asbestsaub im tierversuch. Z Krebsforsch 51:168–182. [Abstracted in IARC 1977].

NRC (National Research Council) [1984]. Asbestiform Fibers - Nonoccupational Health Risks. National Academy Press. [http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?isbn=0309034469]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

NSSGA (National Stone Sand and Gravel Association) [2005]. Submission to MSHA RIN 1219-AB24 - Proposed Rule - Asbestos Exposure Limit. November 18, 2005. [http://www.msha.gov/regs/comments/05-14510/1219-ab24-comm-110.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Oberdorster G [1994]. Macrophage-associated responses to chrysotile. Ann Occup Hyg 38:601–615.

Oberdorster G, Morrow PE, Spurny K [1988]. Size dependent lymphatic short term clearance of amosite fibers in the lung. Ann Occup Hyg 32:149–156.

Oehlert GW [1991]. A reanalysis of the Stanton et al. pleural sarcoma data. Environ Res 54:194–205.

Oestenstad K, Honda Y, Delzell, E, Brill I [2002]. Assessment of historical exposures to talc at a mining and milling facility. Ann Occup Hyg 46:587–596.

Okayasu R, Wu L, Hei TK [1999]. Biological effects of naturally occurring and man-made fibres: *in vitro* cytotoxicity and mutagenesis in mammalian cells. Br J Cancer 79:1319–1324.

Ollikainen T, Linnainmaa K, Kinnula VL [1999]. DNA single strand breaks induced by asbestos fibers in human pleural mesothelial cells *in vitro*. Environ Mol Mutagen 33:153–160.

OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [1986]. U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Fed Regist 51:22612-22790.

OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [1990]. U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Fed Regist 55:29712–29753.

OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [1992]. Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite and Actinolite, Preamble to Final Rule, Section 5 - V. Health Effects. 57 Fed Regist 24310–24330, June 8, 1992.

OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [1998]. Sampling and Analytical Methods, Asbestos in Air, Method ID-160. [http://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/inorganic/id160/id160.html]. Date accessed: April 8, 2008.

OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [2008]. Safety and Health Topics: Asbestos. [http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/asbestos/index.html]. Date accessed: January 28, 2008.

Pang TWS [2000]. Precision and accuracy of asbestos fiber counting by phase contrast microscopy. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 61:529–538.

Pang TWS [2002]. The Quality of Fiber Count Data of Slides with Relocatable Fields. Paper presented at the 2002 Johnson Conference: A Review of Asbestos Monitoring

Methods and Results for the New York World Trade Center, Libby Vermiculite, and Fibrous Talc, July 21–25, 2002, Johnson State College, Johnson, VT.

Pang TWS, Harper M [2008]. The quality of fiber counts using improved slides with relocatable fields. J Environ Monit 10:89–95.

Pang TWS, Dicker WL, Nazar MA [1984]. An evaluation of the precision and accuracy of the direct transfer method for the analysis of asbestos fibers with comparison to the NIOSH method. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 45:329–335.

Pang TWS, Schonfeld FA, Patel K [1989]. An improved membrane filter technique for evaluation of asbestos fibers. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 50:174–180.

Pelé JP, Calvert R [1983]. Hemolysis by chrysotile asbestos fibers. I. Influence of the sialic acid content in human, rat, and sheep red blood cell membranes. J Toxicol Environ Health 12:827–840.

Petersen E U, Totten F, and Guida M [1993]. Tremolite-Talc occurrences in the Balmat-Edwards District: In Petersen EU, Slack J, eds., Selected Mineral Deposits of Vermont and the Adirondack Mountains, N.Y. Volume 17, pp. 54-64.

Peto J, Seidman H, Selifoff IJ [1982]. Mesothelioma mortality in asbestos workers: implications for models of carcinogenesis and risk assessment. Br J Cancer 45:124–135.

Plumlee GS, Ziegler TL [2006]. The medical geochemistry of dusts, soils, and other earth materials. In; Lollar BS, ed. Treatise on Geochemistry. Volume 9. Elsevier, online version. [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/referenceworks/0080437516]. Date accessed: August 8, 2008.

Plumlee GS, Morman SA, Ziegler TL [2006]. The toxicological geochemistry of earth materials: an overview of processes and the interdisciplinary methods used to understand them. Rev Mineral Geochem 64:5–57.

Poland CA, Duffin R, Kinloch I, Maynard A, Wallace WAH, Seaton A, Stone V, Brown S, MacNee W, Donaldson K [2008]. Carbon nanotubes introduced into the abdominal cavity of mice show asbestos-like pathogenicity in a pilot study. Nat Nanotechnol, 3:423-428.

Porter DW, Hubbs AF, Mercer RR, Wu N, Wolfarth MG, Sriram K, Leonard SS, Tatelli L, Schwegler-Berry D, Friend S, Andrew M, Chen Bt, Tsuruoka S, Endo M, Castranova V [2009]. Mouse pulmonary dose-and time course-responses induced by exposure to multi-walled carbon nanotubes. Toxicology doi:10.1016/j.tox.2009.10.017

Pott F, Huth F, Friedrichs KH [1974]. Tumorigenic effect of fibrous dusts in experimental animals. Environ Health Perspect 9:313–315.

Pott F, Ziem U, Reiffer FJ, Huth F, Ernst H, Mohr U [1987]. Carcinogenicity studies on fibres, metal compounds, and some other dusts in rats. Exp Pathol 2:129–152.

Potter RM [2000]. Method for determination of in vitro fiber dissolution rate by direct optical measurement of diameter decrease. Glastech Ber 43:456–455.

Rice C, Heineman EF [2003]. An asbestos job exposure matrix to characterize fiber type, length, and relative exposure intensity. App Occup Environ Hyg 18:506–512.

Riganti C, Aldieri E, Bergandi L, Tomatis M, Fenoglio I, Costamagna C, Fubini B, Bosia A, Ghigo D [2003]. Long and short fiber amosite asbestos alters at a different extent the redox metabolism in human lung epithelial cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 193:106–115.

Robinson BWS, Lake RA [2005]. Advances in malignant mesothelioma. N Eng J Med 353:1591–1603.

Robinson C, van Bruggen I, Segal A, Dunham M, Sherwood A, Koentgen F, Robinson BW, Lake RA [2006]. A novel SV40 TAg transgenic model of asbestos-induced mesothelioma: malignant transformation is dose dependent. Cancer Res 66:10786–10794.

Rohs AM, Lockey JE, Dunning KK, Shukla R, Fan H, Hilbert T, Borton E, Wiot J, Meyer C, Shipley RT, Lemasters GK, Kapil V [2008]. Low-level fiber-induced radiographic changes caused by Libby vermiculite: a 25-year follow-up study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 177:630–637.

Rooker SJ, Vaughan NP, Le Guen JM [1982]. On the visibility of fibers by phase contrast microscopy. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 43:505–515.

Ross RM [2003]. The clinical diagnosis of asbestosis in this century requires more than a chest radiograph. Chest 124:1120–1128.

Ross M, Virta RL [2001]. Occurrence, production and uses of asbestos. In: Nolan RP, Langer AM, Ross M, Wicks FJ, Martin RF, eds. The Health Effects of Chrysotile Asbestos–Contribution of Science to Risk-Management Decisions. Can Mineral (Special Publication 5):79–88.

Ross M, Nolan RP, Nord GL [2007]. The search for asbestos within the Peter Mitchell Taconite iron ore mine, near Babbitt, Minnesota. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 52(Suppl 1): S43–S50.

Sakellariou K, Malamou-Mitsi V, Haritou A, Koumpaniou C, Stachouli C, Dimoliatis ID, Constantopoulos SH [1996]. Malignant pleural mesothelioma from nonoccupational asbestos exposure in Metsovo (north-west Greece): slow end of an epidemic? Eur Respir J 9:1206–1210.

Scherpereel A, Lee YC [2007]. Biomarkers for mesothelioma. Curr Opin Pulm Med 13:339–443.

Schimmelpfeng J, Drosselmeyer E, Hofheinz V, Seidel A [1992]. Influence of surfactant components and exposure geometry on the effects of quartz and asbestos on alveolar macrophages. Environ Health Perspect 97:225–231.

Schins RPF [2002]. Mechanisms of genotoxicity of particles and fibers. Inhal Toxicol 14:57–78.

Schlesinger RB [1985]. Comparative deposition of inhaled aerosols in experimental animals and humans: a review. J Toxicol Environ Health 15:197–214.

Scholze H, Conradt R [1987]. An *in vitro* study of the chemical durability of siliceous fibres. Ann Occup Hyg 31:683–692.

Scott CC, Botelho RJ, Grinstein S [2003]. Phagosome maturation: a few bugs in the system. J Memb Biol 193:137–152.

Searl A [1994]. A review of the durability of inhaled fibres and options for the design of safer fibrils. Ann Occup Hyg 38:839–855.

Selevan SG, Dement JM, Wagoner JK, Froines JR [1979]. Mortality patterns among miners and millers of nonasbestiform talc: preliminary report. J Environ Pathol Toxicol 2:273–284.

Senyiğit A, Babayiğit C, Gökirmak M, Topçu F, Asan E, Coşkunsel M, Işik R, Ertem M [2000]. Incidence of malignant pleural mesothelioma due to environmental asbestos fiber exposure in the southeast of Turkey. Respiration 67:610–614.

Sesko A, Mossman B [1989]. Sensitivity of hamster tracheal epithelial cells to asbestiform minerals modulated by serum and by transforming growth factor β-1. Cancer Res 49:2743–2749.

Shatos MA, Doherty JM, Marsh JP, Mossman BT [1987]. Prevention of asbestos-induced cell death in rat lung fibroblasts and alveolar macrophages by scavengers of active oxygen species. Environ Res 44:103–116.

Shvedova AA, Kisin ER, Mercer R, Murray AR, Johnson VJ, Potapovich AI, Tyurina YY, Gorelik O, Arepalli S, Schwegler-Berry D Hubbs AF, Antonini J, Evans DE, Ku B, Ramsey D, Maynard A, Kagan VE, Castranova V, Baron P [2005]. Unusual inflammatory and fibrogenic pulmonary responses to single-walled carbon nanotubes in mice. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 289:L698–L708.

Shvedova AA, Kisin E, Murray AR, Johnson VJ, Gorelik O, Arepalli S, Hubbs AF, Mercer RR, Keohavong P, Sussman N, Jin J, Yin J, Stone S, Chen BT, Deye G, Maynard A, Castranova V, Baron PA, Kagan VE [2008]. Inhalation versus aspiration of single walled carbon nanotubes in C57BL/6 mice: inflammation, fibrosis, oxidative stress and mutagenesis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 295:L552–L565.

Siegel W, Smith AR, Greenburg L [1943]. The dust hazard in tremolite talc mining, including roentgenological findings in talc workers. Am J Roentogenol 49:11–29.

Siegrist HG, Wylie AG [1980]. Characterizing and discriminating the shape of asbestos particles. Environ Res 23:348–361.

Singh SV, Rahman Q [1987]. Interrelationship between hemolysis and lipid peroxidation of human erythrocytes induced by silicic acid and silicate dusts. J Appl Toxicol 7:91–96.

Smith WE, Hubert DD, Sobel HJ, Marquet E [1979]. Biologic tests of tremolite in hamsters. In: Dement JA, Lemen RA, eds., Dusts and Disease. Pathtox Publishers, Inc. Park Forest South, Illinois, pp. 335–339.

Snipes MB [1996]. Current information on lung overload in nonrodent mammals: contrast with rats. In: Mauderly JL, McCunney RJ, eds. Particle Overload in the Rat Lung and Lung Cancer: Implications for Human Risk Assessment. Proceedings of a conference held at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, March 29–30, 1995. Washington, DC: Taylor and Francis, pp. 73–90.

Speit G [2002]. Appropriate *in vitro* test conditions for genotoxicity testing of fibers. Inhal Toxicol 14:79-90.

Spurny KR [1983]. Measurement and analysis of chemically changed mineral fibers after experiments *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Environ Health Perspect 51:343–355.

Stanton MF, Laynard M, Tegeris A, Miller E, May M, Kent E [1977]. Carcinogenicity of fibrous glass: pleural response in the rat in relation to fiber dimension. J Natl Cancer Inst 58:587–603.

Stanton MF, Layard M, Tegeris A, Miller E, May M, Morgan E, A Smith [1981]. Relation of particle dimension to carcinogenicity in amphibole asbestoses and other fibrous minerals. J Natl Cancer Inst 67:965–975.

Stayner LT, Dankovic D, Lemen RA [1996]. Occupational exposure to chrysotile asbestos and cancer risk: a review of the amphibole hypothesis. Am J Pub Health 86:179–186.

Stayner L, Kuempel E, Gilbert S, Hein M, Dement J [2007]. An epidemiologic study of the role of chrysotile asbestos fiber dimensions in determining respiratory disease risk in exposed workers. Occup Environ Med 65:613–619.

Stayner LT, Smith R, Bailer J, Gilbert S, Steenland K, Dement J, Brown D, Lemen R [1997]. Exposure-response analysis of respiratory disease risk associated with occupational exposure to chrysotile asbestos. Occup Environ Med 54:646–652.

Steenland K, Beaumont J, Halperin W [1984]. Methods of control for smoking in occupational cohort mortality studies. Scand J Work Environ Health 10:143–149.

Steenland K, Brown D [1995]. Mortality study of gold miners exposed to silica and nonasbestiform amphibole minerals: an update with 14 more years of followup. Am J Ind Med 27:217–229.

Stille WT, Tabershaw IR [1982]. The mortality experience of upstate New York talc workers. J Occup Med 24:480–484.

Straif K, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan R, Grosse Y, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Guha N, Freeman C, Galichet L, Cogliano V; WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group [2009]. A review of human carcinogens – part C: metals, arsenic, dusts, and fibres. Lancet Oncol 10:453–454.

Su WC, Cheng Y [2005]. Deposition of fiber in the human nasal airway. Aerosol Sci Technol 29:888–901.

Sullivan P [2007]. Vermiculite, respiratory disease and asbestos exposure in Libby, Montana: Update of a cohort mortality study. Environ Health Perspect 115:579–585.

Sussman RG, Cohen BS, Lippmann M [1991a]. Asbestos fiber deposition in human tracheobronchial cast. I. Experimental. Inhal Toxicol 3:145–160.

Sussman RG, Cohen BS, Lippmann M [1991b]. Asbestos fiber deposition in human tracheobronchial cast. II. Empirical model. Inhal Toxicol 3:161–178.

Suzuki Y, Yuen S [2001]. Asbestos tissue burden study on human malignant mesothelioma. Ind Health 39:150–160.

Suzuki Y, Yuen S [2002]. Asbestos fibers contributing to the induction of human malignant mesothelioma. Ann NY Acad Sci 982:160–176.

Suzuki Y, Yuen S, Ashley R [2005]. Short thin asbestos fibers contribute to the development of human malignant mesothelioma: pathological evidence. Int J Hyg Environ Health 208:201–210.

Swain WA, O'Byrne KJ, Faux SP [2004]. Activation of p38 MAP kinase by asbestos in rat mesothelial cells is mediated by oxidative stress. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 286:L859–L865.

Takeuchi T, Nakajima M, Morimoto K [1999]. A human cell system for detecting asbestos cytogenotoxicity *in vitro*. Mutat Res 438:63–70.

Taylor LE, Brown TJ, Benham AJ, Lusty PAJ, Minchin DJ [2006]. World Mineral Production 2000–2004. British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham.

Timbrell V [1982]. Deposition and retention of fibres in the human lung. Ann Occup Hyg 26:347–369.

Tossavainen A [2005]. World asbestos epidemic. Paper J1, Presented at The First International Occupational Hygiene Association (IOHA) International Scientific Conference (ISC) in Africa and IOHA 6th ISC, Pilanesberg, South Africa. [http://www.saioh.org/ioha2005/Proceedings/Papers/SSJ/PaperJ1web.pdf]. Date accessed: November 20, 2006.

Tran CL, Buchanan D [2000]. Development of a biomathematical lung model to describe the exposure-dose relationship for inhaled dust among U.K. coal miners. Institute of Occupational Medicine Research Report TM/00/02. Edinburgh, UK: Institute of Occupational Medicine.

Unfried K, Schürkes C, Abel J [2002]. Distinct spectrum of mutations induced by crocidolite asbestos: clue for 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine-dependent mutagenesis *in vivo*. Cancer Res 62:99–104.

USBOM (U.S. Bureau of Mines) [1996]. Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms 2nd Edition. [http://xmlwords.infomine.com/USBM.htm]. Date Accessed: December 18, 2009.

U.S. Code of Federal Regulations [2001]. 40 CFR Part 763 (Asbestos), Subpart A (Asbestos-Containing Materials in Schools), Appendix E (Interim Transmission Electron Microscopy Analytical Method).

U.S. Senate [2007]. S. 742 Ban Asbestos in America Act of 2007 (Engrossed as Agreed to or Passed by Senate).

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) [2006]. Worldwide Asbestos Supply and Consumption Trends from1900 through 2003. [http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/2006/1298/c1298.pdf]. Date accessed: March 12, 2008.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) [2007]. Mineral commodity summaries 2007: U.S. Geological Survey, 199 p. [http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/mcs/2007/mcs2007.pdf]. Date accessed: March 12, 2008.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) [2008]. Mineral commodity summaries 2008: U.S. Geological Survey, 199 p. [http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/mcs/2008/mcs2008.pdf]. Date accessed: February 2, 2008.

Vallyathan V, Hanon N, Booth J, Schwegler D, Sepulveda M [1985]. Cytotoxicity of native and surface-modified asbestos. In: Beck EG, Bignon J, eds. *In Vitro* Effects of Mineral Dusts. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, NATO ASI Series, Vol. G3, pp.159–165.

Vallyathan V, Schwegler D, Reasor M, Stettler L, Clere J, Green FHY [1988]. Comparative *in vitro* cytotoxicity and relative pathogenicity of mineral dusts. Ann Occup Hyg 32:279–289.

Van Gosen B [2007]. The geology of asbestos in the United States and its practical applications. Environ Eng Geosci 13:55–68.

Vastag E, Matthys H, Kohler D, Gronbeck G, Daikeler G [1985]. Mucociliary clearance and airways obstruction in smokers, ex-smokers and normal subjects who never smoked. Eur J Respir Dis 66:93–100.

Vianna NJ, Maslowsky J, Robert S, Spellman G, Patton B [1981]. Malignant mesothelioma: epidemiologic patterns in New York State. NY State J Med 81:735–738.

Vincent JH [2005]. Health-related aerosol measurement: a review of existing sampling criteria and proposals for new ones. Environ Monit 7:1037–1053.

Virta RL [2002]. Asbestos: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-149, 35 pp. [http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/of02-149/of02-149.pdf]. Date accessed: June 30, 2008.

Vu V, Barrett JC, Roycroft J, Schuman L, Dankovic D, Bbaro P, Martonen T, Pepelko W, Lai D [1996]. Chronic inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity testing of respirable fibrous particles. Workshop report. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 24:202–212.

Wagner CJ [1986]. Mesothelioma and mineral fibers, Accomplishments in cancer research 1985 prize year. General Motors Cancer Research Foundation, pp. 60–72.

Wagner JC, Skidmore JW, Hill RJ, Griffiths DM [1985]. Erionite exposure and mesotheliomas in rats. Br J Cancer 51:727–730.

Wagner GR, Attfield MD, Parker JE [1993]. Chest radiography in dust-exposed miners: promise and problems, potential and imperfections. Occup Med: 8:127–141.

Wagner JC, Berry G, Skidmore JW, Timbrell V [1974]. The effects of the inhalation of asbestos in rats. Br J Cancer 29:252–269.

Wagner JC, Chamberlain M, Brown RC, Berry G, Pooley FD, Davies R, Griffiths DM [1982]. Biological effects of tremolite. Br J Cancer 45:352–360.

Walker AM, Loughlin JE, Friedlander ER, Rothman KJ, Dreyer NA [1983]. Projections of asbestos–related disease, 1980-2009. J Occup Med 25:409–425.

Wallace WE, Gupta NC, Hubbs AF, Mazza SM, Bishop HA, Keane MJ, Battelli LA, Ma J, Schleiff P [2002]. Cis-4-[F-18] fluoro-L-proline positron emission tomographic (PET) imaging of pulmonary fibrosis in a rabbit model. J Nucl Med 43:413–420.

Wallace WE, Keane MJ, Mike PS, Hill CA, Vallyathan V, Regad ED [1992]. Contrasting respirable quartz and kaolin retention of lecithin surfactant and expression of membranolytic activity following phospholipase A2 digestion. J Toxicol Environ Health 37:391–409.

Walton WH [1954]. Theory of size classification of airborne dust clouds by elutriation. The physics of particle size analysis. Brit J Appl Phys 5(Suppl 3):s29–s37.

Walton WH [1982]. The nature, hazards and assessment of occupational exposure to airborne asbestos dust: a review. Part 1. Nature and occurrence of asbestos dust. Ann Occup Hyg 25:121–154.

Wang Y, Faux SP, Hallden G, Kirn DH, Houghton CE, Lemoine NR, Patrick G [2004]. Interleukin-1β and TNF-α promote the transformation of human immortalised mesothelial cells by erionite. Int J Oncol 25:173–178.

Warheit D [1989]. Interspecies comparisons of lung responses to inhaled particles and gases. Crit Rev Toxicol 20:1–29.

Warheit DB, Overby LH, Gerwyn G, Brody AR [1988]. Pulmonary macrophages are attracted to inhaled particles through complement activation. Exp Lung Res 14:51–66.

Watts JF, Wolstenholme J [2003]. An introduction to surface analysis by XPS and AES. New York: Wiley, 224 pp.

Weill H [1994]. Biological effects: asbestos-cement manufacturing. Ann Occup Hyg 38:533–538.

Weill H, Hughes JM, Churg AM [2004]. Changing trends in US mesothelioma incidence. Occup Environ Med 61:438–441.

Weitzman SA, Graceffa P [1984]. Asbestos catalyzes hydroxyl and superoxide radical generation from hydrogen peroxide. Arch Biochem Biophys 228:373–376.

Werner AJ, Hochella MF, Guthrie GD, Hardy JA, Aust AE, Rimstidt JD [1995]. Asbestiform riebeckite (crocidolite) dissolution in the presence of Fe chelators: implications for mineral-induced disease. Am Mineral 80:1093–1103.

WHO (World Health Organisation) [1997]. Determination of Airborne Fibre Number Concentrations; A Recommended Method, by Phase Contrast Optical Microscopy (Membrane Filter Method). WHO, Geneva.

Woodworth C, Mossman B, Craighead J [1983]. Induction of squamous metaplasia in organ cultures of hamster trachea by naturally occurring and synthetic fibers. Cancer Res 43:4906–4912.

Wylie AG [1988]. The relationship between the growth habit of asbestos and the dimensions of asbestos fibers. SME Annual Meeting, Phoenix AZ. January 25–28.

Wylie AG, Bailey KF [1992]. The mineralogy and size of airborne chrysotile and rock fragments: ramifications of using the NIOSH 7400 method. Am Ind Hyg Assn J 53:442–447.

Wylie AG, Virta RL, Russek E [1985]. Characterizing and discriminating airborne amphibole cleavage fragments and amosite fibers: Implications for the NIOSH method. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 46:197–201.

Wylie AG, Virta RL, Segreti JM [1987]. Characterization of mineral population by index particle: implications for the Stanton hypothesis. Environ Res 43:427–439.

Wylie AG, Bailey KF, Kelse JW, Lee RJ [1993]. The importance of width in asbestos fiber carcinogenicity and its implications for public policy. Am Ind Hyg Assn J 54:239–252.

Wylie AG, Skinner HC, Marsh J, Snyder H, Garzione C, Hodkinson D, Winters R, Mossman BT [1997]. Mineralogical features associated with cytotoxic and proliferative effects of fibrous talc and asbestos on rodent tracheal epithelial and pleural mesothelial cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 147:143–150.

Yamaguchi R, Hirano T, Ootsuyama Y, Asami S, Tsurudome Y, Fukada S, Yamato H, Tsuda T, Tanaka I, Kasai H [1999]. Increased 8-hydroxyguanine in DNA and its repair activity in hamster and rat lung after intratracheal instillation of crocidolite asbestos. Jpn J Cancer Res 90:505–509.

Yang H, Bocchetta M, Kroczynska B, Elmishad AG, Chen Y, Liu Z, Bubici C, Mossman BT, Pass HI, Testa JR, Franzoso G, Carbone M [2006]. TNF-α inhibits asbestos-induced cytotoxicity via a NF-κB-dependent pathway, a possible mechanism for asbestos-induced oncogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:10397–10402.

Yano E, Wang Z, Wang X [2001]. Cancer mortality among workers exposed to amphibole-free chrysotile asbestos. Am J Epidemiol 154:538–543.

Yu CP, Asgharian B, Yen BM [1986]. Impaction and sedimentation deposition. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 47:72–77.

Zeidler-Erdely PC, Calhoun WJ, Amercedes BT, Clark MP, Deye GJ, Baron P, Jones W, Blake T, Castranova V [2006]. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of Manville Code 100 glass fibers: Effect of fiber length on human alveolar macrophages. Part Fibre Toxicol 3:5–11.

Zhou Y, Su WC, Cheng YS [2007]. Fiber deposition in the tracheobronchial region: experimental measurements. Inhal Toxicol 19:13 1071-1078.

Zoltai T [1981]. Amphibole asbestos mineralogy. In: Veblen DR, ed. Amphiboles and Other Hydrous Pyriboles. Rev Mineral 9A:237–278.

Zumwalde RD, Ludwig HR, Dement JM [1981]. Industrial Hygiene Report, Homestake Mining Company, Lead, South Dakota. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NTIS # PB85-243640, 255 pp.

6 GLOSSARY

6.1 Definitions of New Terms Used in this *Roadmap*

***Countable elongate mineral particle*:** A particle that meets specified dimensional criteria and is to be counted according to an established protocol. A countable elongate mineral particle under the NIOSH REL for *Airborne Asbestos Fibers and Related Elongate Mineral Particles* is any asbestiform fiber, acicular or prismatic crystal, or cleavage fragment of a c*overed mineral* which is longer than 5 µm and has a minimum aspect ratio of 3:1 based on a microscopic analysis of an air sample using NIOSH Method 7400 or an equivalent method.

***Covered mineral*:** Minerals encompassed by a specified regulation or recommended standard. Under the NIOSH REL for *Airborne Asbestos Fibers and Related Elongate Mineral Particles*, covered minerals include those minerals having the crystal structure and elemental composition of the asbestos varieties [chrysotile, riebeckite asbestos (crocidolite), cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos (amosite), anthophyllite asbestos, tremolite asbestos, and actinolite asbestos], or their nonasbestiform analogs (the serpentine minerals antigorite and lizardite, and the amphibole minerals contained in the cummingtonite-grunerite mineral series, the tremolite-ferroactinolite mineral series, and the glaucophane-riebeckite mineral series).

***Elongate mineral particle (EMP)*:** Any fragment or crystal of a mineral with a minimum aspect ratio of 3:1. The *Roadmap* is focused on EMPs that are of inhalable, thoracic, or respirable size as described below in Section 6.2.

***Elongate particle (EP)*:** Any particle with a minimum aspect ratio of 3:1. The research described in the *Roadmap* is focused on EPs that are of inhalable size, thoracic size, or respirable size as described below in Section 6.2.

6.2 Definitions of Inhalational Terms

Inhalable particulate matter: particles which deposit anywhere in the respiratory tract. This varies by species, but for humans can be approximated as those particles captured according to the following collection efficiency regardless of sampler orientation with respect to wind direction:

$IPM(d_{ae}) = 0.5\,(1 + \exp[-0.06\,d_{ae}]) \pm 10$; for $0 < d_{ae} \leq 100$ µm

Where: $IPM(d_{ae})$ = the collection efficiency and d_{ae} is the aerodynamic diameter in µm. [ACGIH 1999]

Respirable particulate matter: particles which deposit anywhere in the gas-exchange region of the lung. This varies by species, but for humans can be approximated as those particles captured according to the following collection efficiency: $RPM(d_{ae}) = IPM(d_{ae})[1-F(x)]$

Where F(x) = cumulative probability function of the standardized normal variable, x.
$x = \ln(d_{ae}/4.25\ \mu m)/\ln(1.5)$. [ACGIH 1999]

***Thoracic particlulate matter*:** particles which deposit anywhere within the lung airways and the gas-exchange region. This varies by species, but for humans can be approximated as those particles captured according to the following capture efficiency: $TPM(d_{ae}) = IPM(d_{ae})[1-F(x)]$

Where F(x) = cumulative probability function of the standardized normal variable, . X
$x = \ln(d_{ae}/11.64\ \mu m)/\ln(1.5)$. [ACGIH 1999]

6.3 Definitions of General Mineralogical Terms and Specific Minerals

Definitions from several sources are provided in the following table for many of the mineralogical terms used in the *Roadmap*. However, the definitions of these same terms, as used by various authors whose work has been cited in the *Roadmap*, may vary from those provided here. It is not possible to know and/or provide each of the variant definitions.

1
2

Table 1. Definitions of General Mineralogical Terms and Specific Minerals

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
General Mineralogical Terms				
Acicular[5]	1. A mineral consisting of fine needlelike crystals; e.g., natrolite. 2. Slender needlelike crystal. 3. Refers to needlelike crystals.[6]	[crystal]: Said of a crystal that is needlelike in form.		
Amphibole	A mineral group; characterized by double chains of silica tetrahedra having the composition $A_{0-1}B_2Y_5Z_8O_{22}(OH,F,Cl)$, where (A=Ca,Na,K,Pb,B), (B=Ca,Fe,Li,Mg,Mn,Na), (Y=Al,Cr,Fe,Mg,Mn,Ti), and (Z=Al,Be,Si,Ti); in the orthorhombic or monoclinic crystal systems, including actinolite, anthophyllite, arfvedsonite, cummingtonite, hornblende, richterite, glaucophane, grunerite, anthophyllite, riebeckite, tremolite, and others. All display a diagnostic prismatic cleavage in two directions parallel to crystal	1. A group of dark [sic] rock-forming ferromagnesian silicate minerals, closely related in crystal form and composition and having the general formula: $A_{2-3}B_5(Si,Al)_8O_{22}(OH)_2$, where A = Mg, Fe^{2+}, Ca, or Na, and B = Mg, Fe^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, Li, Mn, or Al. It is characterized by a cross-linked double chain of tetrahedral with silicon:oxygen ratio of 4:11, by columnar or fibrous prismatic crystals, and by good prismatic cleavage in two directions parallel to the crystal faces and intersecting at angles of 56° and 124°; colors range from white to	A mineral comprising a double silicate chain with the general formula $AB_2^{VI}C_5^{IV}T_8O_{22}(OH)_2$ with the components of the formula conventionally described as *A*, *B*, *C*, *T* and "OH" corresponding to the following crystallographic sites: *A* one site per formula unit; *B* two *M*4 sites per formula unit; *C* a composite of five sites made up of 2 *M*1, 2 *M*2 and 1 *M*3 sites per formula unit; *T* eight sites, in two sets of four, that need not be distinguished; "OH" two sites per formula unit. The ions considered normally to occupy these sites are in the	Minerals in the amphibole group are widely distributed in the earth's crust in many igneous or metamorphic rocks. In some instances, the mineral deposits contain sufficient quantities of the asbestiform minerals to be economically minable for commercial use. The minerals and mineral series of the amphibole group have variable compositions with extensive elemental substitutions. They are found in forms ranging from massive to fibrous. The most common commercially exploited asbestiform varieties of this

[5] Additional definitions can be found at: Lowers H, Meeker G [2002]. Tabulation of Asbestos-Related Terminology Open-File Report 02-458, 70 pp. [http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-458/]. Date accessed: December 21, 2009.

[6] Nelson, A [1965] Dictionary of Mining. 523 pp. Philosophical Library, Inc., New York

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	faces and intersecting at angles of about 54° and 124°. Some members may be asbestiform.	black. Most amphiboles crystallize in the monoclinic system, some in the orthorhombic. They constitute an abundant and widely distributed constituent in igneous and metamorphic rocks (some are wholly metamorphic), and they are analogous in chemical composition to the pyroxenes. 2. A mineral of the amphibole group, such as hornblende, anthophyllite, cummingtonite, tremolite, actinolite, riebeckite, glaucophane, arfvedsonite, etc. 3. A term sometimes used a synonym for hornblende. Etymol: Greek "amphibolos", "ambiguous, doubtful", in reference to its many varieties.	following categories: (empty site) and K at *A* only; Na at *A* or *B*; Ca at *B* only; *L*-type ions: Mg, Fe^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Li and rarer ions of similar size, at *C* or *B*; *M*-type ions: Al at *C* or *T*, Fe^{3+} and, more rarely Mn^{3+}, Cr^{3+} at *C* only; high-valency ions: Ti^{4+} at *C* or *T*, Zr^{4+} at *C* only, Si at *T* only; anions: OH, F, Cl, O at "OH". *M*-type ions normally occupy *M*2 sites and so are normally limited to two of the five *C* sites. Exceptions may occur to the above "normal" behavior. Four groups are classified depending on the occupancy of the *B* sites: Mg-Fe-Mn-Li group; calcic group; sodic-calcic group; and sodic group. Asbestiform amphiboles should be named according to their precise mineral name (when known) followed by the suffix –asbestos, e.g. anthophyllite-asbestos, tremolite-asbestos.	mineralogical group include crocidolite, amosite, anthophyllite, tremolite, and actinolite. Crocidolite, amosite, and anthophyllite are selectively mined for commercial use, whereas tremolite and actinolite are most often found as a contaminant in other mined commodities such as talc and vermiculite. The amphiboles have good thermal and electrical insulation properties, and they have moderate to good resistance to acids.
Asbestiform[7]	1. Said of a mineral that is fibrous, i.e., like asbestos.	Said of a mineral that is composed of separable fibers.		A specific type of mineral fibrosity in which the growth is primarily in one dimension and the crystals form naturally as long, flexible

[7] See footnote #5

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
				fibers. Fibers can be found in bundles that can be easily separated into smaller bundles or ultimately into fibrils.
Asbestos[8]	1. A commercial term applied to silicate minerals that separate readily into thin, strong fibers that are flexible, heat resistant, and chemically inert, thus making them suitable for uses (as in yarn, cloth, paper, paint, brake linings, tiles, insulation, cement, fillers, and filters) where incombustible, nonconducting, or chemically resistant material is required. Since the early 1970's, there have been serious environmental concerns about the potential health hazards of asbestos products, which has resulted in strong environmental regulations. 2. Any asbestiform mineral of the serpentine group (chrysotile, best adapted for spinning and the principal variety in commerce) or amphibole group (esp. actinolite, anthophyllite, gedrite,	1. A commercial term applied to a group of silicate minerals that readily separate into thin, strong fibers that are flexible, heat resistant, and chemically inert, and are therefore suitable for uses (as in yarn, cloth, paper, paint, brake linings, tiles, insulation, cement, fillers, and filters) where incombustible, nonconducting, or chemically resistant material is required. 2. A mineral of the asbestos group [sic], principally chrysotile (best adapted for spinning) and certain fibrous varieties of amphibole (esp. amosite, anthophyllite, and crocidolite). 3. A term strictly applied to the fibrous variety of actinolite. Certain varieties are deleterious to health.		Asbestos is a generic term for a number of silicate minerals with a fibrous crystalline structure. The quality of commercially used asbestos depends on the mineralogy of the asbestiform variety, the degree of fiber development, the ratio of fibers to acicular crystals or other impurities, and the length and flexibility of the fibers. The asbestiform varieties of these minerals can be found in both the amphibole and serpentine mineral groups. The asbestiform varieties occur in veins or small veinlets within rock containing or composed of the common (nonasbestiform) variety of the same mineral. The major asbestiform varieties of minerals used commercially are chrysotile, tremolite-actinolite asbestos, cummingtonite-grunerite asbestos,

[8] See footnote #5

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	cummingtonite, grunerite, riebeckite, and tremolite). 3. A term strictly applied to asbestiform actinolite.			anthophyllite asbestos, and crocidolite. Asbestos is marketed by its mineral name (e.g., anthophyllite asbestos), its variety name (e.g., chrysotile or crocidolite), or its trade name (e.g., Amosite).
Cleavage fragment[9]		A fragment of a crystal that is bounded by cleavage faces.		A fragment produced by the breaking of crystals in directions that are related to the crystal structure and are always parallel to possible crystal faces. Minerals with perfect cleavage can produce perfect regular fragments. Amphiboles with prismatic cleavage will produce prismatic fragments. *Note:* These fragments can be elongated and may meet the definition of a fiber upon microscopic examination.
Crystal habit	The forms typically appearing on specimens of a mineral species or group, rarely all the forms permitted by its point group. Crystal habits range from highly diverse, e.g. calcite, to almost	The general shape of crystals, e.g. cubic, prismatic, fibrous. For a given type of crystal, the habit may vary from locality to locality depending on environment of growth.		

[9] See footnote #5

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	never showing crystal faces, e.g. turquoise. In addition to describing mineral habits with form names, e.g. prismatic, pyramidal, or tetrahedral, other names for appearances are used, e.g. fibrous, columnar, platy, or botryoidal. Intergrowths are given by specific description.[10]			
Fiber[11]	The smallest single strand of asbestos or other fibrous material.[12]	A strengthening cell, usually elongated, tapering, and thick-walled, occurring in various parts of vascular plants. *[Note: The definition provided does not refer to mineral fibers.]*		An acicular single crystal or similarly elongated polycrystalline aggregate particles. Such particles have macroscopic properties such as flexibility, high aspect ratio, silky luster, and axial lineation. These particles have attained their shape primarily because of manifold dislocation planes that are randomly oriented in two axes but parallel in the third. *Note:* Upon microscopic examination, only particles that have a 3:l or greater aspect ratio are defined as fibers. Other macroscopic properties used to define fibers cannot be ascertained for individual particles

[10] Pryor, Edmund J. (1963) Dictionary of Mineral Technology. 437 pp. Mining Publications, Ltd., London
[11] See footnote #5
[12] Mersereau, Samuel Foster. (1947) Materials of Industry, 4th ed. 623pp. McGraw-Hill, NY

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
				examined microscopically.
Fibril[13]	1. A single fiber, which cannot be separated into smaller components without losing its fibrous properties or appearance.[14]			A single fiber that cannot be separated into smaller components without losing its fibrous properties or appearances.
Fibrous[15]	1. Applied to minerals that occur as fibers, such as asbestos. Syn: asbestiform 2. Consisting of fine threadlike strands, e.g., satin spar variety of gypsum.			
Fibrous habit		The tendency of certain minerals, e.g. asbestos, to crystallize in needlelike grains or fibers.		
Fibrous structure	If the crystals in a mineral aggregate are greatly elongated and have a relatively small cross-section, the structure or texture is fibrous. The fibers may be parallel, as in crocidolite and sometimes in calcite and cerussite. When the fibers are very fine, they may impart a silky luster to the aggregate, as in crocidolite or satin-spar gypsum. There is also a	Fibrous prismatic structure: A prismatic structure in which each first-order prism is like a simple prism in showing nonspherulitic prismatic and noncomposite prismatic substructure, but the prisms have much higher length/width ratios than typical simple prisms, occurring as long fibers.		

[13] See footnote #5
[14] Campbell, W.J., et al. Selected Silicate Minerals and their Asbestiform Varieties. USBM Circular 8751
[15] See footnote #5

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	feltlike type. Fibrous crystals may radiate from a center, forming asteriated or starlike groups, either coarse or fine, as frequently observed in pyrolusite, wavellite, natrolite and tourmaline, and sometimes in stibnite and other minerals. Also called fibrous texture.[16]			
Fibrous texture	In mineral deposits, a pattern of finely acicular, rod-like crystals, e.g. in chrysotile and amphibole asbestos.[17]	In mineral deposits, a pattern of finely acicular, rod-like crystals, e.g. in chrysotile and amphibole asbestos.		
Mineral	1. A naturally occurring inorganic element or compound having an orderly internal structure and characteristic chemical composition, crystal form, and physical properties. CF: metallic. 2. In miner's phraseology, ore. See also: ore. 3. See: mineral species; mineral series; mineral group.	1. A naturally occurring inorganic element or compound having a periodically repeating arrangement of atoms and characteristic chemical composition, resulting in distinctive physical properties. 2. An element or chemical compound that is crystalline and formed as a result of geologic processes. Materials formed by geological processes from artificial		A homogeneous, naturally occurring, inorganic crystalline substance. Minerals have distinct crystal structures and variation in chemical composition, and are given individual names.

[16] Chemical Publishing Co. (1948) Chamber's Mineralogical Dictionary. 47 pp. New York

[17] American Geological Institute. (1987) Glossary of geology, 3rd ed. 788 pp. AGI, Alexandria, VA; (1957) Glossary of Geology and Related Sciences. 325 pp. supplement, 1969, 72 pp.

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	4. Any natural resource extracted from the earth for human use; e.g. ores, salts, coal, or petroleum. 5. In flotation, valuable mineral constituents of ore as opposed to gangue minerals. 6. Any inorganic plant or animal nutrient. 7. Any member of the mineral kingdom as opposed to the animal and plant kingdoms.[18]	substances are no longer accepted (after 1995) as new minerals (Nickel, 1995). Mercury, a liquid, is a traditional exception to the crystallinity rule. Water is not a mineral (although ice is), and crystalline biological and artificial materials are not minerals (cf. mineraloid). 3. Any naturally formed inorganic material, i.e. a member of the mineral kingdom as opposed to the plant or animal kingdom.		
Mineral series				A mineral series includes two or more members of a mineral group in which the cations in secondary structural position are similar in chemical properties and can be present in variable but frequently limited ratios (e.g., cummingtonite-actinolite). The current trend in referring to a mineral series is to simplify long series names by using the mineral name of only one (end or

[18] American Geological Institute. (1987) Glossary of geology, 3rd ed. 788 pp. AGI, Alexandria, VA
(1957) Glossary of Geology and Related Sciences. 325 pp. supplement, 1969, 72 pp.

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
				intermediate) member (i.e., tremolite-actinolite-ferroactinolite).
Mineral variety				The variety distinguishes minerals that are conspicuously different from (1) those considered normal within the common crystallization l habits, polytypes, and other structural variants, and (2) those with different physical properties such as color. Varieties are named by mineralogists, miners, gemologists, manufacturers of industrial products, and mineral collectors.
Needle	5. A needle-shaped or acicular mineral crystal.	[crystal]: A needle-shaped or acicular mineral crystal.		
Nonasbestiform habit				Each of the six commercially exploited asbestiform minerals also occurs in a nonasbestiform mineral habit. These minerals have the same chemical formula as the asbestiform variety, but they have crystal habits where growth proceeds in two or three dimensions instead of one dimension. When milled, these minerals do not break into fibrils but rather into fragments resulting from cleavage along the two or

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
				three growth planes. Particles thus formed are referred to as cleavage fragments and can meet the definition of a fiber for regulatory purposes.
Prism	3. An open crystal form with faces and their intersecting edges parallel to the principle crystallographic axis. Prisms have three (trigonal), four (tetragonal), six (ditrigonal or hexagonal), eight (ditetragonal), or twelve (dihexagonal) faces. The nine-sided prisms of tourmaline are a combination of trigonal and hexagonal prisms.	[crystal] A crystal form having three, four, six, eight, or twelve faces, with parallel intersection edges, and which is open only at the two ends of the axis parallel to the intersection edges of the faces.		
Prismatic	3. Pertaining to a crystallographic prism. 4. Descriptive of a crystal with one dimension markedly longer than the other two. 5. Descriptive of two directions of cleavage.	[crystal] Said of a crystal that shows one dimension markedly longer than the other two.		
Serpentine Minerals		A rock consisting almost wholly of serpentine-group minerals, e.g., antigorite, chrysotile, or lizardite, derived from the hydration of ferromagnesian silicate minerals such as olivine and pyroxene.		The serpentine minerals belong to the phyllosilicate group of minerals. The commercially important variety is chrysotile, which originates in the asbestiform habit. Antigorite and lizardite are

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
		Accessory chlorite, talc, and magnetite may be present.		two other types of serpentine minerals that are structurally distinct. The fibrous form of antigorite is called picrolite.
Zeolite	1. A generic term for class of hydrated silicates of aluminum and either sodium or calcium or both, of the type $Na_2O.Al_2O_3.nSiO_2 \bullet xH_2O$. The term originally described a group of naturally occurring minerals. The natural zeolites are analcite, chabazite, heulandite, natrolite, stilbite, and thomsonite. Artificial zeolites are made in a variety of forms, ranging from gelatinous to porous and sandlike, and are used as gas adsorbents and drying agents as well as water softeners. Both natural and artificial zeolites are used extensively for water softening. The term zeolite now includes such diverse groups of compounds as sulfonated organics or basic resins, which act in a similar manner to effect either cation or anion exchange. 2. A group of hydrous aluminosilicates that are similar to the feldspars. They easily lose and	a generic term for a large group of white or colorless (sometimes tinted red or yellow by impurities) hydrous aluminosilicate minerals that have an open framework structure of interconnected $(Si,Al)O_4$ tetrahedra with exchangeable cations and H2O molecules in structural cavities. They have a ratio of (Al + Si) to nonhydrous oxygen of 1:2, and are characterized by their easy and reversible loss of water of hydration and by their ready fusion and swelling when strongly heated under the blowpipe. Zeolites have long been known to occur as well formed crystals in cavities in basalt. Of more significance is their occurrence as authigenic minerals in the sediments of saline lades and the deep sea and esp. in beds of tuff. They form "during and after burial, generally by reaction of pore		

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	regain their water of hydration and they fuse and swell when heated. Zeolites are frequently used in water softening, ion exchange and absorbent applications.	waters with solid aluminosilicate materials (e.g., volcanic glass, feldspar, biogenic silica, and clay minerals)" [19]		
Specific Mineral Terms				
Actinolite	A monoclinic mineral, $2[Ca_2(Fe,Mg)_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2]$; in the hornblende series $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+})$ = 0.50 to 0.89 of the amphibole group; forms a series with tremolite; green, bladed, acicular, fibrous (byssolite asbestos), or massive (nephrite jade); prismatic cleavage; in low-grade metamorphic rocks.	A bright-green or grayish-green monoclinic mineral of the amphibole group: $Ca_2(Fe,Mg)_5(OH)_2[Si_8O_{22}]$. It may contain manganese. It sometimes occurs in the form of asbestos, and also in fibrous, radiated, or columnar forms in metamorphic rocks (such as schists) and in altered igneous rocks.	A monoclinic calcic amphibole intermediate between ferroactinolite and tremolite: $Ca_2(Fe,Mg)_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+})$ between 0.5 and 0.9 (otherwise if ≤ 0.5 it is ferroactinolite, and if ≥ 0.9 it is tremolite)	Actinolite can occur in both the asbestiform and nonasbestiform mineral habits and is in the mineral series tremolite-ferroactinolite[20]. The asbestiform variety is often referred to as actinolite asbestos.
Amosite	1. A monoclinic mineral in the cummingtonite-grunerite series[21]. 2. A commercial asbestos composed of asbestiform gedrite,	A commercial term for an iron-rich, asbestiform variety of amphibole occurring in long fibers. It may consist of an orthorhombic amphibole		Amosite is the commercial term derived from the acronym "Asbestos Mines of South Africa." Amosite is in the mineral series cummingtonite-grunerite[22], in

[19] Hay RL [1978]. Geologic occurrence of zeolites. In: Natural Zeolites, Sand LB, Mumpton FA eds p. 135-143, NY, Pergamon.

[20] Mineral series such as cummingtonite-grunerite and tremolite-ferroactinolite are created when one cation is replaced by another in a crystal structure without significantly altering the structure. There may be a gradation in the structure in some series, and minor changes in physical characteristics may occur with elemental substitution. Usually a series has two end members with an intermediate substitutional compound being separately named, or just qualified by being referred to as members of the series. Members of the tremolite-ferroactinolite series are hydroxylated calcium-magnesium, magnesium-iron, and iron silicates, with the intermediate member of this series being named actinolite.

[21]. Sinclair, W.E. (1959) Asbestos; Its Origin, Production and Utilization. Mining, 2nd ed. 512 pp. Publications, Ltd. London

[22] See Footnote #9.

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	grunerite, or anthophyllite of the amphibole group; has typically long fibers.	(anthophyllite or gedrite) or of a monoclinic amphibole (cummingtonite or grunerite).		which both asbestiform and nonasbestiform habits of the mineral can occur. This mineral type is commonly referred to as "brown asbestos."
Antigorite	A monoclinic mineral, $(Mg,Fe)_3Si_2O_5(OH)_4$; kaolinite-serpentine group; polymorphous with clinochrysotile, lizardite, orthochrysotile, parachrysotile; greasy variegated green; used as an ornamental stone.	A macroscopically lamellar brown to green monoclinic serpentine mineral, which consists structurally of alternating wave forms in which the 1:1 T-O layer reverses sides and direction of curvature at each wave null point. In most specimens the repeat distance of the wave pattern measures between 25.5 and 51.0 Å: $(Mg, Fe^{2+})_3Si_2O_3(OH)_4$.		
Anthophyllite	An orthorhombic mineral, $4[Mg,Fe)_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2]$; amphibole group; commonly lamellar or fibrous, green to clove-brown; in schists from metamorphosed ultramafic rocks; a nonspinning grade of asbestos.	A clove-brown to colorless orthorhombic mineral of the amphibole group: $(Mg, Fe^{2+})_2(Mg,Fe^{2+})_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$. It is dimorphous with cummingtonite; with increase in aluminum it grades into gedrite. Anthophyllite occurs in metamorphosed ultrabasic rocks, typically with olivine or talc or in monominerallic aggregates of parallel or radiating asbestiform fibers. It has been mined for asbestos.	An orthorhombic Mg-Fe-Mn-Li amphibole: $Mg_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain divalent iron but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) \geq 0.50$ (otherwise ferro-anthophyllite), and with Si > 7.00 (otherwise it is gedrite).	Anthophyllite can occur in both the asbestiform and nonasbestiform mineral habits. The asbestiform variety is often referred to as anthophyllite asbestos.
Attapulgite	A light-green, magnesium-rich clay	palygorskite		

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	mineral, named from its occurrence at Attapulgus, GA, where it is quarried as fuller's earth. Crystallizes in the monoclinic system.			
Byssolite	An olive-green asbestiform variety of tremolite-actinolite.	An olive-green asbestiform variety of tremolite-actinolite.		
Clinoptilolite	A monoclinic mineral, $(Na,K,Ca)_2Al_3(Al,Si)_2Si_{13}O_{36}.12H_2O$; of the zeolite group.	A group name for a monoclinic zeiolite mineral with the general formula $A_{2-3}(Si,Al)_{18}O_{36}\bullet 11H_2O$, where A=Na, K, or Ca		
Chrysotile	A monoclinic mineral (clinochrysotile), or orthorhombic mineral (orthochrysotile, parachrysotile), $[Mg_6(OH)_8Si_4O_{10}]$; serpentine group; forms soft, silky white, yellow, green, or gray flexible fibers as veins in altered ultramafic rocks; the chief asbestos minerals. (Not to be confused with chrysolite.)	A white, gray, or greenish orthorhombic or monoclinic mineral of the serpentine group: $Mg_3(OH)_4Si_2O_5$. It is a highly fibrous, silky variety of serpentine, and constitutes the most important type of asbestos. Not to be confused chrysolite.		Chrysotile generally occurs segregated as parallel fibers in veins or veinlets and can easily separate into individual fibers or bundles. Often referred to as "white asbestos," it is used commercially for its good spinnability in the making of textile products, and as an additive in cement' or friction products.
Crocidolite	An asbestiform variety of riebeckite; forms lavender-blue, or indigo-blue, or leek-green silky fibers and massive and earthy forms; suited for spinning and weaving. Also spelled krokitolit.	An asbestiform variety of riebeckite; forms lavender-blue, or indigo-blue, or leek-green silky fibers and massive and earthy forms. Also spelled krokidolit.		Crocidolite is from the fibrous habit of the mineral riebeckite and is in the mineral series glaucophane-riebeckite, in which both asbestiform and nonasbestiform habits can occur. This mineral type is commonly referred to as "blue asbestos."

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
Cummingtonite	A monoclinic mineral, $(Fe,Mg)_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; amphibole group; has $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) = 0.30$ to 0.69; prismatic cleavage; may be asbestiform; in amphibolites and dacites; fibrous varieties (amosite, magnesium rich, and montasite, iron rich) are used as asbestos.	A dark green, brown, gray, or beige monoclinic member of the amphibole group: $(Mg,Fe^{2+})_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$. It is dimorphous with anthophyllite, and typically contains calcium and manganese. Cummingtonite occurs in metamorphosed ironstone, mafic and ultrabasic rocks, some dacites and rhyolites, and as a component of uralite. Its iron-rich variety is grunerite.	A monoclinic Mg-Fe-Mn-Li amphibole: $Mg_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain divalent iron but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) \geq 0.50$ (otherwise it is grunerite)	
Erionite		A white hexagonal zeolite mineral. [Ed. Note: Designated as Erionite (Ca,K,Na) depending on the dominant cation substitution.		
Ferroactinolite	A monoclinic mineral, $Ca_2(Fe^{2+},Mg)_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2]$; amphibole group; has $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) = 0$ to 0.50; forms a series with tremolite and actinolite. Formerly called ferrotremolite.	A green-black monoclinic mineral component representing a theoretical end-member of the amphibole group: $Ca_2Fe^{2+}{}_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$. Syn: ferrotremolite.	A monoclinic calcic amphibole: $Ca_2Fe^{2+}{}_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain magnesium but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) \leq 0.5$ (otherwise it is actinolite).	
Fluoro-edenite		A vitreous dark brown monoclinic mineral of the amphibole group: $(Na,K)Ca_2(Mg,Fe^{2+})_5(Si_7Al)O_{22}(F,OH)$. It represents edenite with F>OH.		
Grunerite	A monoclinic mineral, $(Fe,Mg)_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; amphibole group; with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) = 0$-		A monoclinic Mg-Fe-Mn-Li amphibole: $Fe^{2+}{}_7Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain magnesium but with	

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	0.30; forms series with cummingtonite and magnesiocummingtonite; fibrous or needlelike, commonly in radial aggregates; characteristic of iron formations in the Lake Superior and Labrador Trough regions. Also spelled gruenerite.		$Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) < 0.50$ (otherwise it is cummingtonite)	
Halloysite	1. A monoclinic mineral, $2[Al_4Si_4(OH)_8O_{10}]$; kaolinite-serpentine group; made up of slender tubes as shown by electron microscopy; a gangue mineral in veins. 2. Used as a group name to include natural "halloysite minerals" with different levels of hydration, as well as those formed artificially.	A 1:1 aluminosilicate clay mineral $Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 \bullet X(H_2O)$ similar to kaolinite but perhaps with some Al(IV) and interlayer cations to compensate for the Al(IV). Probably because of this it is able to incorporate water in the interlayer space [Bailey 1989]. The terms "halloysite (7Å)" and halloysite (10Å)" were recommended for the anhydrous and dihydrae forms, respectively [Brindley and Pegro 1976][23]; the term "endellite" should not be used [Bailey et al. 1980][24]		
Lizardite	A trigonal and hexagonal mineral, $Mg_3Si_2O_5(OH)_4$; kaolinite-serpentine group; polymorphous with antigorite, clinochrysotile,	The most abundant form of the trioctahedral serpentine minerals. It crystallizes as flat platelets. Variable amounts of Al substitute		

[23] Brindley GW, Pedro G [1976]. Meeting of the nomenclature committee of AIPEA; Mexico City, July 12, 1975. AIPEA Newsletter No. 12, p. 5-6.
[24] No matching reference was found in the *References Cited* section.

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	orthochrysotile, and parachrysotile; forms a series with nepouite; in platy masses as an alteration product of ultramafic rocks; the most abundant serpentine mineral.	for both Mg and Si in the ideal serpentine formula of $Mg_3Si_2O_5(OH)_4$ to create a better lateral fit between the component octahedral and tetrahedral sheets than found in antigorite and chrysotile. Several polytypes exist: rhombohedral, trigonal, hexagonal, or monoclinic.		
Mordenite	A white, yellowish, or pinkish member of the zeolite group of minerals with the formula $(Ca,Na_2K_2)Al_2Si_{10}O_{24}.7H_2O$.	A white, yellowish, or pinkish orthorhombic zeolite mineral: $(Na_2,Ca,K_2)Al_2Si_{10}O_{24}•7H_2O$.		
Palygorskite	1. A monoclinic and orthorhombic mineral, $(OH)_2(Mg,Al)_4(Si,Al)_8O_{20}•8H_2O$; fibrous; in desert soils. 2. A general name for lightweight fibrous clay minerals showing significant substitution of aluminum for magnesium; characterized by distinctive rodlike shapes under an electron microscope.	(a)Awhite, grayish, yellowish, or grayish-green chain-structure clay mineral: $(Mg,Al)_2Si_4O_{10}(OH)•4H_2O$. It crystallizes in several monoclinic and orthorhombic polytypes. (b) A group name for monoclinic minerals with an analogous composition, but with Mg replaced by Mn or Na, and al replaced by Fe^{3+} or Mn^{3+}.		
Phillipsite	A monoclinic mineral, $(K,Na,Ca)_{1-2}(Si,Al)_8O_{16}.6H_2O$; zeolite group; commonly occurs in complex twinned crystals; in basalt amydules, in pelagic red clays, in palagonite tuffs, in alkaline saline lakes from silicic vitric volcanic ash,	A colorless or white monoclinic zeolite mineral. Usually designated as phillipsite – (Ca, K, or Na) depending on which is the dominant exchangeable cation: $(Ca,K,Na)_2(Si,Al)_8O_{16}•6H_2O$.		

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	in alkaline soils, and around hot springs in Roman baths.			
Richterite		A brown, yellow, or rose-red monoclinic member of the amphibole group: $Na_2CaMg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$. Cf: soda tremolite	A monoclinic sodic-calcic amphibole: $Na(CaNa)Mg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain divalent iron but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) \geq 0.5$ (otherwise it is ferrorichterite)	
Riebeckite	A monoclinic mineral, $Na_2Ca(Mg,Fe^{2+})_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$ [*sic*]; amphibole group with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) = 0$ to 0.49 and $Fe^{3+}/(Fe^{3+}+Al) = 0.7$ to 1.0; forms a series with magnesioriebeckite; fibrous; in soda-rich rhyolites, granites, and pegmatites; crocidolite variety is blue asbestos; tiger eye is crocidolite replaced by quartz.	A dark blue or black monoclinic mineral of the amphibole group: $Na_2Fe^{2+}{}_3Fe^{3+}{}_2Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$. It occurs as a primary constituent in some acid or sodium-rich igneous rocks. See also: crocidolite	A monoclinic sodic amphibole: $Na_2(Fe^{2+}{}_3Fe^{3+}{}_2)Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain aluminum in place of trivalent iron but with $^{VI}Al < Fe^{3+}$ otherwise it is ferroglaucophane, and may also contain sodium and potassium in the *A* position but with $(Na+K)_A < 0.50$ otherwise it is arfvedsonite, and may also contain magnesium in place of divalent iron but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) < 0.5$ otherwise it is magnesioriebeckite	
Sepiolite	A monoclinic mineral, $Mg_4Si_6O_{15}(OH)_2.6H_2O$; soft; sp gr, 2, but fibrous dry masses float on water; occurs in veins in calcite and in alluvial deposits formed from weathering of serpentine masses, chiefly in Asia Minor, as meerschaum; may be used in making pipes, ornamental carvings.	An orthorhombic chain-structure clay mineral: $Mg_4Si_6O_{15}(OH)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$. It is a white to light gray or light yellow material, extremely lightweight, absorbent, and compact, that is found chiefly in Asia Minor and is used for making tobacco pipes, cigar and cigarette holders and ornamental carvings. Sepiolite occurs in veins with calcite, and in		

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
		alluvial deposits formed from weathering of serpentine masses.		
Tremolite	A monoclinic mineral, $2[Ca_2Mg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2]$; amphibole group with magnesium replaced by iron, and silicon by aluminum toward actinolite; white to green, long-bladed or stout prismatic crystals, may show columnar, fibrous, or granular masses or compact aggregates; in low-grade metamorphic rocks such as dolomitic limestones and talc schists; the nephrite variety is the gemstone jade; the asbestiform variety is byssolite.	A white to dark-gray monoclinic mineral of the amphibole group: $Ca_2Mg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$. It has varying amounts of iron, and may contain manganese and chromium. Tremolite occurs in long blade-shaped or short stout prismatic crystals and also in columnar, fibrous, or granular masses or compact aggregates, generally in metamorphic rocks such as crystalline dolomitic limestones and talc schists. It is a constituent of much commercial talc.	A monoclinic calcic amphibole: $Ca_2Mg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain divalent iron but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) \geq 0.9$ (otherwise it is actinolite)	Tremolite can occur in both the asbestiform and nonasbestiform mineral habits and is in the mineral series tremolite-ferroactinolite[25]. The asbestiform variety is often referred to as tremolite asbestos.
Winchite		A blue or gray monoclinic member of the amphibole group: $NaCa(Mg_4Al)Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$	A monoclinic sodic-calcic amphibole: $(CaNa)Mg_4(Al,Fe^{3+})Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2$; may also contain divalent iron but with $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+}) \geq 0.5$ (otherwise it is ferrorichterite)	
Wollastonite	A triclinic mineral of the pyroxenoid group: $CaSiO_3$. It is dimorphous with parawollastonite. Wollastonite is found in contact-metamorphosed limestones, and	A triclinic or monoclinic chain silicate mineral of the pyroxenoid type: $CaSiO_3$. It [*Note: missing word?*[26]] dimorphous with parawollastonite. Wollastonite is		

[25] See Footnote #9.

[26] A word is apparently missing from the definition

TERM	Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms [U.S. Bureau of Mines 1996] *[Note: Footnotes identify the Primary Source Citation for the definition]*	Glossary of Geology 5th ed. [American Geological Institute 2005]	Leake et al. [1997]	NIOSH [1990a]
	occurs usually in cleavable masses or sometimes in tabular twinned crystals; it may be white, gray, brown, red, or yellow. It is not a pyroxene. Symbol, Wo.	found in contact-metamorphosed limestones, and occurs usually in cleavable masses or sometimes in tabular twinned crystals; it may be white, gray, brown, red, or yellow. It is not a pyroxene. Several polytypes have been characterized. Symbol: Wo.		

1

6.4 References for Definitions of General Mineralogical Terms, Specific Minerals, and Inhalational Terms

ACGIH (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists) [1999]. Particle Size-selective Sampling for Particulate Air Contaminants. JH Vincent, ed. ACGIH®, Cincinnati, OH.

American Geological Institute [2005]. Glossary of Geology 5th Edition. KKE Neuendorf, JP Mehl, and JA Jackson, eds. American Geological Institute, Alexandria, VA.

Leake BE, Woolley AR, Arps CES, Birch WD, Gilbert CM, Grice JD, Hawthorne FC, Kato A, Kisch HF, Krivovichev VG, Linthout K, Laird J, Mandarino JA, Maresch WV, Nickel EH, Rock NMS, Schumacher JC, Smith DC, Stephenson NCN, Ungaretti L, Whittaker EJW, Youzhi G [1997]. Nomenclature of the amphiboles: report of the Subcommittee on Amphiboles of the International Mineralogical Association Commission on new minerals and mineral names. Can Mineral 35:219–246.

NIOSH [1990a]. Comments of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health on the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite. OSHA Docket No. H-033d, April 9, 1990. [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/review/public/099/pdfs/AsbestosTestimony_April%209_1990.pdf]. Date accessed: December 17, 2009.

USBOM (U.S. Bureau of Mines) [1996]. Dictionary of Mining, Mineral, and Related Terms 2nd Edition. [http://xmlwords.infomine.com/USBM.htm]. Date Accessed: December 18, 2009.