

Miller, Diane M. (CDC/NIOSH/EID)

From: nefe@aol.com
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 2:11 PM
To: NIOSH Docket Office (CDC)
Cc: Chen, Jihong (Jane) (CDC/NIOSH/EID) (CTR)
Subject: 083-B - Supplied Air Respirators (SAR) Comments

Name
James Feehan

Organization
NEFEA

Email
nefea@aol.com

Address
10 stillman rd
north haven, ct 06473
usa

Comments

I am against the inclusion of the Cylinders and Cylinder Valves ("Cylinders") as part of the approval process for Supplied Air Respirators (SAR's & SAR/SCBA) for the following reasons.

1. Increase cost of Cylinders
2. User will have fewer cylinder choices to select from
3. Reduced Cylinder innovation
4. Interoperability issues
5. Most importantly you are violating my right to choose who I want as my vendor. When none of the SCBA manufacturers actually make their own bottle or valve.
6. You can buy car parts from anyone; even though you bought a Ford you can buy them from NAPA, Fleetpride etc.... What you are doing and proposing is monopoly for the SCBA manufacturers -which is wrong.