

84-222

MAR 21 1988

The Honorable Dick Schulze
Member, U.S. House of
Representatives
2 East Lancaster Avenue
Paoli, Pennsylvania 19301

Dear Mr. Schulze:

Thank you for your letter on behalf of Mr. John Stump regarding the proposed regulations governing the certification of respirators.


The current regulations under which the Mine Health and Safety Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health test and certify respirators (30 CFR Part 11) were originally promulgated in 1972. During the last several years, there has been a growing consensus among the respirator manufacturers and user community that these requirements need revision to reflect the technical advances in the field and the increased knowledge regarding environmental factors in the workplace. Some of the steps taken to develop the proposed rule are outlined in the enclosed preamble (52 FR 32402).

We are, of course, anxious to receive comments on both the technical and policy elements of this proposed rule. Toward that end, in October we announced two public hearings (52 FR 37639). The first took place in San Francisco on January 20, 1988, and the second was January 27-28, 1988, in Washington, D.C. Enclosed is a copy of the opening statement from those hearings which clarifies many of the misunderstandings of this regulation. We have also extended the comment period until March 28, 1988 (53 FR 5595).

We look forward to hearing from all parties concerned, and I assure you that all comments received will be placed into the record and will be carefully considered in any final rulemaking decision.

A copy of this correspondence is being mailed to your Washington Office.

Sincerely yours,



J. Donald Miller, M.D.
Assistant Surgeon General
Director

Enclosure

Page 2 - The Honorable Dick Schulze

cc:

Congressman's Washington Office

NIOSH/W

NIOSH/Docket Office

CDC:NIOSH:LSparks

NIOSH #3198

Doc. 3195C

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives • Washington, DC 20515

January 4, 1988

Mrs. Linda Marston
Regional Director
Dept. of Health and Human Services
P.O. Box 13716
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Dear Mrs. Marston:

Enclosed please find a letter from Mr. John Stump, North Safety Equipment, High & Market Streets, Suite 405, West Chester, PA 19382 concerning proposed regulations of industrial repirators by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH).


At the constituent's request, I am sending the enclosed information which addresses North Safety Equipment's concerns and recommended changes.

Would you please see that proper consideration is given this matter. Please advise my district office in writing of your findings, address listed below.

Thank you for your consideration.

With all best wishes, I am,

Sincerely,



DICK SCHULZE
Member of Congress

DS/th

Enclosure

NORTH

Congressman Richard T. Schulze
2 East Lancaster Avenue
Paoli, PA 19301

Dear Congressman Schulze:

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction. On August 27, 1987, NIOSH proposed (42 CFR 84, Federal Register) regulations which will have a disastrous impact on worker safety and on our industry.

The Industrial Safety Equipment Association, of which Siebe North Inc. is a part, feels strongly that this proposal must be withdrawn.

The proposal provides no protocol, nor specific requirements, so it is impossible for us to exercise our right to comment on it in a meaningful way. This denies us due process.

While our industry recognizes the value of regulation and, by and large, has little problem with the concept of workplace testing, the fact of the matter is that the technology is not available to conform to the proposed change. This is not the way to improve a process; it will, in fact, destroy a process which works but needs tuning.

Even though 90 percent of the respirators in use today are used for non-mining (industrial and construction) purposes, the proposal requires that we test all respirators under mining conditions thereby ignoring the safety interest of the majority of workers who use respirators.

Finally, the proposed changes will cost our industry up to \$700,000,000 which will threaten the very viability of the industry as well as worker safety.

The management and employees of Siebe North Inc. trust that we can count on your support in this matter of critical interest to labor and industry.

We urge you to immediately contact Health and Human Services Secretary, Dr. Otis Bowen, to request that the proposed ruling be withdrawn.

Enclosed is a fact sheet which outlines the proposal, our objections and recommendations.

North Safety Equipment
A Division of Siebe North, Inc.

2000 Plainfield Pike
Cranston, RI 02920
401-943-4400
Telex: 6814009 VIA WUI

I look forward to hearing from you once you have contacted Secretary Bowen as we are very anxious about the resolution of this problem.

Thanks for your assistance on this matter.

Sincerely,


John H. Stump
Field Sales Manager

ISEA FACT SHEET

(NIOSH PROPOSAL TO CHANGE CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR RESPIRATORS)

I. CURRENT SITUATION:

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction.

II. PROPOSED CHANGES:

On August 27, 1987, NIOSH proposed (42 CFR part 84, Federal Register) to limit its certification activities to respirators used in mining, thereby requiring manufacturers of respirators used in general industry and construction to "self-certify" their products.

Manufacturers will be required to test their own respirators in the workplace or a simulated environment. However, the proposed "workplace" stipulation requires that all testing be conducted in mining operations.

All respirators currently in use will have to be recertified under the new process and manufacturers will be required to retest any respirators which are modified in the most minor ways.

III. CONCERNS ABOUT PROPOSED CHANGES:

(1) Testing in and for the Wrong Environment:

Ninety percent of respirators used in the United States are for non-mining use. By limiting respirator testing to mining, NIOSH is ignoring the safety and health needs of the vast majority of respirator users.

(2) Economic Impact:

The costs of developing new standards, recertification of existing respirators and workplace testing (with no proven protocols) would create an unbearable burden on manufacturers and end users. The net effect would be a major setback to worker safety.

(3) Effects on Industries which Provide Respirator Protection for Workers:

It is likely that modifications required to make general industry respirators meet mine standards as well as the increased costs of the end product will adversely affect worker safety. Employers who have workers in marginal need areas may no longer provide respirators. Moreover, workers may not be willing to use respirators which are potentially too large, too unwieldy and uncomfortable.

(4) Requirement for Workplace Testing:

While the Industrial Safety Equipment Association (representing every major respirator manufacturer in the United States) is not in principle opposed to workplace testing, consensus standards and procedures must first be developed.

For example, it is presently impossible to test the broad array of different respirators in the workplace because the technology is not yet developed.

Even if the technology for workplace testing existed, there are not enough mines in the United States in which the tests can be performed without threatening the safety of workers.

(5) Discontinuation of NIOSH Testing:

Departing from current respirator certification practices, NIOSH will discontinue pre-certification testing of respirators and will only review test results submitted by manufacturers, validating those results.

(6) Proposed Rule is Major Ruling and not a Minor Ruling:

Implementation of the proposed rule would cost manufacturers up to \$700,000,000 making the proposed rule a "major ruling" and not a "minor ruling" as portrayed by NIOSH. This would cause hardship on manufacturers and end users and be in conflict with Executive Order 12291.

(7) No Protocol Issued with Proposed Regulation:

While NIOSH has issued its proposed standards for certification, it has not released a protocol outlining the requirements, rules, details and procedures for the required workplace testing. This omission denies respirator manufacturers due process and, furthermore, makes it impossible for them to respond to the proposal in a meaningful way because it is not complete.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- (1) The Proposed 42 CFR 84 must be withdrawn.
- (2) NIOSH should continue testing respirators as part of the certification process.
- (3) Workplace testing should not be instituted until technology is developed to make it reliable.