Publisher: CDC; Journal: Emerging Infectious Diseases

Article Type: Dispatch; Volume: 15; Issue: 3; Year: 2009; Article ID: 08-0572

DOI: 10.3201/eid1502.080572; TOC Head: Dispatch

Appendix Table. Hematologic findings in cattle tested for epizootic hemorrhagic disease, Turkey, 2007*

	WBCs,	Neutrophils,	Lymphocytes,	Monocytes,	Eosinophils,	Basophils,	RBCs,	HGB,				MCHC,		
EPH status†	×10 ³ /µL	%	%	%	%	%	×10 ⁶ /µL	g/dL	HCT, %	MCV, μm ³	MCH, pg/cell	g/dL	RDW, %	PLT, $\times 10^3/\mu$ L
Suspected (n = 41) ‡	4.3 ± 0.3	55.1 ± 2.7	33.2 ± 2.8	9.1 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.3	6.4 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.2	25.7 ± 0.7	40.8 ± 0.9	15 ± 0.5	36.7 ± 0.8	22.9 ± 0.4	359.4 ± 32
PCR+(n=1)	1.99	66.5	22.8	8.59	0.224	1.82	5.42	9.27	24.8	45.8	17.1	37.3	20	168
Seropositive $(n = 1)$	5	30	56	6	8	0.8	6.43	8.5	24	41	13.4	35.2	19.8	150
Virus isolated $(n = 6)$	1.6-5.13	36.2-70.3	14.6-59.2	3.03-23.5	0-2.5	0.48-4.2	3.9-6.7	7.0-11.8	17.8–29.9	45.1-48.8	17.2-19.9	37.5-40.7	18.9–20.9	152-603

^{*}EPH, epizootic hemorrhagic disease; WBCs, white blood cells; RBCs, red blood cells; HGB, hemoglobin; HCT, hematocrit; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, me

[†]PCR, ELISA, and virus isolation were performed on selected samples from the 41 samples (11 whole blood samples, 4 serum samples, and 15 supernatant samples from the baby hamster kidney cells). The virus-positive animals were PCR negative.
‡Mean ± standard error of the mean.